1	REPORTER'S RECORD VOLUME 1 OF 1 VOLUME
2	TRIAL COURT CAUSE NO. 2011-76724
3	
4	HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS, * IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF * Plaintiff, and THE STATE OF *
5	TEXAS, acting by and through * The TEXAS COMMISSION ON *
6	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY, a * Necessary and indispensable *
7	Party * *
8	v. * HARRIS COUNTY, T E X A S
9	INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY, * MCGINNES INDUSTRIAL *
10	MAINTENANCE CORPORATION, * WASTE MANAGEMENT, INC., AND *
11	WASTE MANAGEMENT OF TEXAS, * INC., Defendants. * 295TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
12	
13	REPORTER'S RECORD
14	DAILY COPY
15	OCTOBER 30, 2014
16	
17	0 the 20th day of 0
18	On the 30th day of October, 2014, the trial came on to be heard in the above-entitled and -numbered cause;
19	and the following proceedings were had before the Honorable Caroline Baker, Judge Presiding, held in
20 21	Houston, Harris County, Texas:
	Proceedings reported by computerized stenotype machine; Reporter's Record produced by computer-assisted
22	transcription.
23	
25	
20	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF, HARRIS COUNTY:
4	CONNELLY BAKER WOTRING, LLP
5	700 JPMORGAN CHASE TOWER 600 TRAVIS STREET
6	HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002 PHONE: 713.980.1713
7	BY: MR. EARNEST W. WOTRING
8	MS. DEBRA TSUCHIYAMA BAKER MR. DAVID GEORGE MR. JOHN MUIR
10	- AND -
11	THE OFFICE OF VINCE RYAN
12	COUNTY ATTORNEY 1019 CONGRESS, 15TH FLOOR
13	HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002 PHONE: 713.755.7880
14	BY: MR. ROCK OWENS FIRST ASSISTANT COUNTY ATTORNEY
15	TIRST ASSISTANT COUNTY ATTORNET
16	
17	COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF, THE STATE OF TEXAS:
18	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION DIVISION
19	P.O. BOX 12548, CAPITOL STATION AUSTIN, TEXAS 78711-2548
20	PHONE: 512-463.2012
21	BY: MS. MARY E. SMITH MR. ANTHONY W. BENEDICT
22	ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	
4	COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT, WASTE MANAGEMENT, INC.
5	AND WASTE MANAGEMENT OF TEXAS, INC.:
6	GIBBS & BRUNS, LLP 1100 LOUISIANA, SUITE 5300
7	HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002 PHONE: 713.650.8805
8	BY: MR. BARRETT H. REASONER MR. ROBIN GIBBS
9	MR. MARK GIUGLIANO
10	MR. ANTHONY KAIM MS. SYDNEY BALLESTEROS MR. COLIN POGGE
11	MR. BRIAN T. ROSS
12	
13	
13 14	COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT, INTERNATIONAL PAPER COMPANY:
	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP
14	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP 1000 LOUISIANA STREET, SUITE 4000 HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002
14 15	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP 1000 LOUISIANA STREET, SUITE 4000 HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002 PHONE: 713.890.5000
14 15 16	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP 1000 LOUISIANA STREET, SUITE 4000 HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002 PHONE: 713.890.5000 BY: MR. WINN CARTER MR. CRAIG A. STANFIELD
14 15 16 17	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP 1000 LOUISIANA STREET, SUITE 4000 HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002 PHONE: 713.890.5000 BY: MR. WINN CARTER
14 15 16 17	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP 1000 LOUISIANA STREET, SUITE 4000 HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002 PHONE: 713.890.5000 BY: MR. WINN CARTER MR. CRAIG A. STANFIELD MR. CHRIS AMANDES
14 15 16 17 18	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP 1000 LOUISIANA STREET, SUITE 4000 HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002 PHONE: 713.890.5000 BY: MR. WINN CARTER MR. CRAIG A. STANFIELD MR. CHRIS AMANDES MR. DAVID SCHRADER - AND - GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP
14 15 16 17 18 19	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP 1000 LOUISIANA STREET, SUITE 4000 HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002 PHONE: 713.890.5000 BY: MR. WINN CARTER MR. CRAIG A. STANFIELD MR. CHRIS AMANDES MR. DAVID SCHRADER - AND - GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-5306
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP 1000 LOUISIANA STREET, SUITE 4000 HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002 PHONE: 713.890.5000 BY: MR. WINN CARTER MR. CRAIG A. STANFIELD MR. CHRIS AMANDES MR. DAVID SCHRADER - AND - GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-5306 PHONE: 202.955.8500
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP 1000 LOUISIANA STREET, SUITE 4000 HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002 PHONE: 713.890.5000 BY: MR. WINN CARTER MR. CRAIG A. STANFIELD MR. CHRIS AMANDES MR. DAVID SCHRADER - AND - GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-5306
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP 1000 LOUISIANA STREET, SUITE 4000 HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002 PHONE: 713.890.5000 BY: MR. WINN CARTER MR. CRAIG A. STANFIELD MR. CHRIS AMANDES MR. DAVID SCHRADER - AND - GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP 1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-5306 PHONE: 202.955.8500

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	COUNCEL FOR REFERENCE
4	COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT, MCGINNES INDUSTRIAL MAINTENANCE CORP.:
5	
6	WINSTON & STRAWN, LLP 1111 LOUISIANA STREET, 25TH FLOOR HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002-5242
7	HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002-5242 PHONE: 713.651.2663
8	BY: MS. PAULA W. HINTON
9	MS. MELANIE GRAY
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
-	

OCTOBER 30, 2014

(Whereupon the following is a discussion outside the presence of the jury:)

THE COURT: We can go on the record.

We heard the defendants' motions for directed verdict. I have gone back and considered all the motions and am making the following rulings:

With respect to International Paper's

Motion for Directed Verdict under the Spill Act, that
motion is denied at this time without prejudice.

However, I want to advise the parties that I am
continuing to look at the fixture issue and that I have
some questions about that, so I may well revisit that at
the Charge Conference.

With respect to IP's Motion for Directed

Verdict as to one facility, I have gone back and

considered that. I have re-reviewed the Slay case; and

considering all of the authority and the evidence in the

case and the purpose behind those issues, I believe that

I must grant that motion for directed verdict as to one

facility, meaning that, for purposes of our record, that

we're talking about one violation per day.

With regard to International Paper's Motion for Directed Verdict as to daily discharge, that motion

is denied.

With regard to International Paper's Motion for Directed Verdict, and I guess it also may be Champion's because of the language having to do with cause, suffer, allow or permit, that motion is denied without prejudice. I have already stated I'm continuing to look at the issue with regard to fixture and ownership of the waste, for continued ownership of the waste.

International Paper's Motion for Directed Verdict on attorney's fees is denied.

Waste Management of Texas's Motion for Directed Verdict is denied without prejudice. I'll repeat what I said before, which is that I think this case has some unique aspects to it that may be addressed in another forum, but that's not for me to decide. And that with the language of the statute, while I understand the defendants' position about corporate law and the tension between those two, I believe the broad language of the statute requires me to deny that motion for directed verdict.

The Motion for Directed Verdict of Waste
Management of Texas as to the Spill Act is denied.
However, we may need to have further discussion at the
Charge Conference with regard to the issue of the

statutory exemption. I'm not indicating which way I would rule on that; we just may need to have further discussion about that.

Waste Management of Texas' Motion for Directed Verdict on Chapter 41 is denied.

MIMC's Motion for Directed Verdict is denied. However, I do think we may have to have further discussion at the Charge Conference with regard to the issue of -- if it's to be part of the Charge, and I'm not saying that it is, but I can imagine that we may have further discussion around the issue of ownership of the waste vis-a-vis MIMC.

The motions for directed verdict with regard to the three statutes, in other words, that they can't all be presented, is denied.

And I believe I previously ruled on the issue with regard to the Solid Waste Disposal Act. My ruling continues to be the same on that issue, so any Motion for Directed Verdict on the Solid Waste Disposal Act is denied.

I think we all are aware that there are potential challenges in how this Charge is going to be worded, and nothing in my rulings addresses for one party or the other what my opinion is on how we need to word things in the Charge. And I imagine we will have

```
lots of further discussion about those issues and
1
2
   instructions and definitions and things like that, so
3
   I'm not taking a position for either party on those
   issues at this time. I'm simply ruling on the motions
4
   before me.
5
                               Thank you, Your Honor.
                 MR. WOTRING:
6
                                                        Could
7
   we go off the record for a minute?
                 THE COURT: Yes.
8
9
                 MS. HINTON: We do have a few more that
   weren't -- we didn't get a ruling on.
10
                 THE COURT: We're off the record.
11
12
                 (Discussion off the record)
                 THE COURT:
                             We're back on the record.
13
                 First, I would like to note on the record
14
   that all defendants joined in all defendants' motions
15
   for directed verdict, so my rulings on the motions for
16
   directed verdict are as to all defendants on the issues
17
18
   that are global. For instance, when I ruled on the
   issue with regard to IP's motion for directed verdict on
19
   the daily discharge issue, all parties joined in that
20
21
   motion, so I intended that ruling to apply to
22
   International Paper, Waste Management of Texas, and
   MIMC; and I've ruled similarly on other motions.
23
24
                 When I granted the motion for directed
25
   verdict as to one facility, that was intended to grant
```

all defendants' motions for directed verdict on that point. And, to be clear, what I was speaking about was one facility -- when I said one violation per day, I meant one violation per day under each of the statutes, to the extent that it can be established that there is a violation under each of the three statutes. It would not be three statutes times three violations per day. And I was specifically addressing this case as under the law, that in this case I do not believe that it qualifies as more than one facility, under the law.

On Waste Management of Texas' Motion for Directed Verdict as to the time frame that is prior to Section 7.101, I'm denying that motion for directed verdict at this time without prejudice. That is an issue that I'm allowing all parties to more closely scrutinize, and we may be talking about that more extensively at the informal Charge Conference on Monday.

MIMC's Motion for Directed Verdict on beneficial ownership is denied, but I think we all understand that there may be further discussion about MIMC's interest, however that is framed or phrased, and there was an issue that I specifically addressed with Harris County with regard to the Mr. Axe letter, where I did not allow the Mr. Axe letter in evidence, but allowed Harris County to reserve the right to raise some

```
of the factual pieces of information that are in the
1
   letter and potentially put those into evidence.
2
3
   in all fairness to all parties, I think we have further
   need to address that.
4
                 The motion for directed verdict that I
5
   denied with regard to the Spill Act is as to all
6
7
   parties, and it also was intended to cover the portion
   of the motion for directed verdict as to "harmful
8
9
   quantities."
                 And MIMC's Motion for Directed Verdict as
10
11
   to attorney's fees with the specific argument that
12
   Ms. Baker did not provide competent expert testimony is
   denied. And if memory serves, I believe all defendants
13
14
   joined in that motion for directed verdict, as well, so
   that ruling applies to all defendants.
15
16
                 Let's go off the record.
17
                 (Discussion off the record)
18
                 THE COURT: Let's start with -- why don't
19
   we start with Mr. Allen.
20
                 MR. STANFIELD: All righty. So I guess
   we'll start with Allen --
21
                 THE COURT: Allen 1?
22
23
                 MR. STANFIELD: Allen Volume 1. I don't
24
   know where their first objection is.
```

THE COURT:

It's actually on Page 10, Lines

25

```
3 through 5.
1
2
                 MR. REASONER: Your Honor, may I be excused
   to talk to a witness?
3
                 THE COURT: Yes, sir.
4
                 (Mr. Reasoner exits courtroom)
5
                 THE COURT: Where he's asked a question
6
7
   "And what you say will bind Harris County, right?" And
   he answers, "Yes." They argue that that calls for a
8
   legal conclusion.
                 MR. STANFIELD: So we are on --
10
                 THE COURT: Volume 1, Page 10, Line 3
11
12
   through Line 5.
13
                 MR. STANFIELD: For some reason I don't
   have Page 10. But it's -- the question is "You
14
15
   understand what you say is going to bind Harris County,"
   and the answer is, "Yes"?
16
                 THE COURT: Yes, sir.
17
18
                 MR. STANFIELD: There is no objection
19
   there, Your Honor. I think that would be fine to put it
   in there, though, still, to note that he's giving
20
21
   answers on behalf of Harris County. I don't think that
22
   is a legal conclusion. I think it's just stating you
   understand you're here to talk for Harris County and to
23
24
   give their positions.
25
                 THE COURT: I don't really have a
```

problem --1 2 MR. MUIR: It's the, "And what you say will bind Harris County, right," that's calling for a legal 3 conclusion. 4 THE COURT: So what he's saying is, I don't 5 understand why the question on Line 25, Page 9 through 6 7 Page 10, Line 2, doesn't accomplish your purpose without 8 getting into a further question that is a legal conclusion. 9 10 MR. STANFIELD: That's fine. Let's take it 11 out. So 10/3 through 5. 12 THE COURT: Comes out. The next one is 40, 13 Lines 2 through 11. This is their objection. 14 MR. STANFIELD: This is really more of a MIMC issue, me kind of springing my brothers in arms. 15 16 MS. HINTON: Yes, Your Honor. This is basically -- we're showing the deed, the Virgil McGinnes 17 18 deed. The second one is that they also have the Virgil 19 McGinnes deed --20 THE COURT: Can I stop you for just a 21 second? Their objection is it's cumulative. I will 22 overrule that objection, but I want to go down to Page

23 40, Lines 12 through 19, because Mr. Muir has an
24 additional objection there of hearsay. So let's look at
25 12 through 19.

```
1
                 MS. HINTON: And 12 through 19, Your Honor,
2
   are the Harris County appraisal documents, which are
3
   public records, where he's saying he sees that Virgil
   C. McGinnes, Trustee is still shown as the owner.
4
                                                      I
   don't know why that's hearsay. It is a public record
5
6
   coming out of HCAD.
7
                 THE COURT: Were you intending to address
8
   that one, Mr. Muir; or were you going on to the Patel
   letter?
9
10
                 MR. MUIR:
                           Both of them.
11
                 THE COURT: Why is the Harris County
12
   Appraisal District record hearsay?
13
                 MR. MUIR: I have not seen any certified
14
   public record that's been presented.
15
                 MS. HINTON: I believe we sent one over to
16
   your office when we put the group together. It did come
   out of the Harris County Appraisal District records.
17
18
                 Also, I believe this is on the pre-admit
19
   list, so my expert on the exhibit list is not present;
20
   but I'm pretty sure this is a pre-admit with no
21
   objection.
                 MR. MUIR: Which exhibit?
22
23
                              It's Exhibit No. 1437, is the
                 MS. HINTON:
24
   new one that had all -- the previous one had two or
25
           This runs it through all.
   three.
```

THE COURT: Well, that one is an issue of 1 2 I think it has clearly been addressed and I assume 3 that's an issue that a certified copy is not a hard thing to get from the HCAD records. I don't think 4 that's in dispute. I'll overrule that objection, but 5 let's talk about the Patel letter, which is his next 6 7 objection, Page 40, Line 20, through 41, Line 14. 8 MS. HINTON: I'm sorry, Your Honor? 9 Page 40 --10 THE COURT: 40, Line 20, through 41, Line 11 14. Mr. Muir is arguing hearsay and that it asks for a 12 legal conclusion. 13 MS. HINTON: Your Honor, this goes into 14 what --15 THE COURT: The memo from Patel. specifically what he's talking about, as I understand 16 it, is on Page 41. The question actually states, "And 17 18 in that the conclusion is..." and it's a legal opinion. 19 MS. HINTON: Your Honor, I believe really 20 this letter talks about the facts that they have found. 21 However, to the extent the testimony or the letter says 22 "And the legal conclusion is," I don't think they're asserting an attorney/client privilege on that 23 information, Your Honor, at all. No assertion was ever 24 25 made; and this was produced, that this is an

attorney/client privileged document. 1 THE COURT: We used part of that memo 2 3 before, did we not, but not the whole thing? 4 MS. HINTON: We used the whole thing 5 earlier in the testimony of Ms. Baker, who admitted on the stand she had seen this document and the conclusions 6 7 raised in it. 8 MR. MUIR: It was for a limited purpose 9 only, Your Honor. 10 MS. HINTON: I used this with Ms. Baker as Exhibit 7. It's dated 2009. I put it on the screen in 11 12 front of the jury. 13 THE COURT: Right, but it's not in 14 evidence. You just crossed her with it. 15 MS. HINTON: I crossed her with it, but she admitted she had seen it and had -- knew the legal 16 conclusions -- factual conclusions contained therein. 17 18 I believe she has properly identified that document as 19 coming from Harris County and it is admissible. 20 not on the pre-admit list, you are correct, Your Honor; 21 but I do think this is -- this was produced without 22 objection, no attorney/client privilege was asserted, and I'm entitled to use this, Your Honor, as to what the 23 24 County knew. The facts stated therein are also 25 relevant; but no attorney/client privilege has been

asserted as to this document, whatsoever.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WOTRING: The document, I think, bears a U.S. EPA production number on it, so at some point it was produced to the EPA. Evidently, it was not introduced into evidence in this case. It was flashed on the screen and Ms. Baker was asked if she was aware of it or not aware of it. We think it gets into a lot of the EPA issues that have been excluded because it talks about the Harris County review of title up to that point in time. And it's unclear -- it's clear that whoever was doing that didn't have the benefit of the information about the beneficial ownership. And to the extent that letters or admissions from attorneys to the EPA are going into evidence, I've got a copy of Exhibit No. 144, which is a February 22nd, 2010 letter from Al Axe to Barbara Nann, the first sentence of which says --MS. HINTON: That did not go to the EPA, Your Honor. MR. WOTRING: -- "This letter is sent on behalf of McGinnes Industrial Maintenance Corporation, ('MIMC'), one of the Respondents under the above-referenced UAO." So if we're going to put stuff

information that they sent to the EPA. So that would be

in, which we already have, that our attorneys sent to

the EPA, then we ought to be able to put their

our --

THE COURT: So Mr. Wotring's point is this is an October 28th, 2009 letter, which is outside the penalty period. It's clearly during the responses to the EPA time, and that if we're going to put this in, then they ought to be able to put in Mr. Axe's letter.

MS. HINTON: Actually, he didn't refer to Mr. Axe's letter. He referred to something else, I believe. You are referring to Axe?

MR. WOTRING: I am referring to Exhibit 144, which is a February 22nd letter from Al Axe.

THE COURT: What is the year?

MR. WOTRING: February 22nd of 2010. I have highlighted what I think is to be redacted and presented to the jury without raising the issues that have previously been excluded.

MS. HINTON: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I haven't seen those redactions. But with respect to this 2009 letter, this also goes into the issue relating to penalties, what did the County know about the ownership of the property, the status of the property in terms of who they went after and whom they didn't go after in this case. The Court is well aware I don't think the legal standard for beneficial ownership has been met for

```
MIMC -- I know you are taking that under
1
2
   consideration -- nor do they have standing to assert the
3
   beneficial ownership issue. But I believe this 2009
   letter from the County, the facts of which Ms. Baker was
4
   aware of, is very relevant to what the attorneys and the
5
   County knew before they filed this lawsuit for civil
6
7
   penalty purposes. These are facts, and never has an
8
   attorney/client privilege assertion been raised with
9
   respect to this letter. It was produced to the EPA
   without objection and has never been attempted to be
10
11
   clawed back in this proceeding.
12
                 THE COURT:
                             Let's assume that comes in.
13
   Why doesn't Mr. Wotring get to put in Mr. Axe's letter
14
   about what the County knew from MIMC at that point?
15
                 MS. HINTON:
                              This is not the County. This
   doesn't go to the County, Your Honor. This goes to the
16
         This relates solely to the EPA investigation.
17
   EPA.
18
   This is not anything he did with the County and the
19
   County's knowledge. It's an EPA letter not going to the
   County before they filed their lawsuit.
20
21
                 THE COURT:
                             Does the -- did the County have
   access to that letter that was sent to the EPA?
22
23
                 MS. HINTON:
                              They had to serve a Freedom of
24
   Information Act request after this case was filed to get
25
   the EPA material.
```

MR. WOTRING: I don't know the time period in which we got the letter, to be candid with the Court.

Okay.

MR. WOTRING: My point would be if we're going to be talking about information during the -- outside the penalty --

THE COURT:

THE COURT: Let me ask a more pointed question: Did you have that letter before you filed the lawsuit? Didn't you-all do the Freedom of Information request prior to the lawsuit?

MR. WOTRING: We did a Freedom of Information Act request prior to filing the lawsuit and got many thousands of pages of documents. I can't answer the Court's issue with respect to this particular document, and I would be concerned about doing so for the reasons I was concerned about Ms. Baker answering questions about what we reviewed before filing the lawsuit.

But the larger point is if MIMC is taking the position, as they are, that they have no beneficial ownership interest in this, I'm looking at a letter on behalf of their attorney, spelling out their conclusion they had a beneficial ownership interest in this property. It is from their attorney saying, "I am MIMC's attorney," sending it to the EPA. And we can

redact the portions of it that raise the issues we've 1 2 talked about, or not, as they want; but it should come 3 in --MS. HINTON: And let's say --4 MR. WOTRING: And I would like to finish. 5 And it should come into evidence, in all fairness, in 6 7 response to their claim that they don't have a 8 beneficial ownership. They can't -- they can't make 9 that claim and exclude relevant evidence that has been 10 presented to the EPA on that very issue. And it is 11 their statement contradicting their position in this 12 case. 13 MS. HINTON: Let me step back, Your Honor. 14 First, we do need to know if they had this document before they filed suit; and I believe the evidence will 15 show that they did not have this document before they 16 filed suit, and had not reviewed it. 17 18 Secondly, this letter does not state that 19 MIMC is a beneficial owner of this property. The status 20 for the -- it said it is possible. It states, "Therefore, it is possible that MIMC is the beneficial 21 22 owner of the VCM tract and, as such, can access the Site for purposes of compliance with the UAO and any 23 upcoming" -- and let me tell you the problem here if we 24

25

open this door, Your Honor.

The conclusion of the EPA was, "You're not a beneficial owner. We will not let you access this site, and we can only go on this site if all the McGinnes heirs," who are the proper owners of the property, "specifically sign access agreements." If they get this letter in, then I get to put before the jury the fact that the EPA determined we were not a beneficial owner in any way, we could not provide access to the site, and that the McGinnes heirs had to, in fact, sign those documents to allow access to occur, because that is what the conclusion of the attempt for MIMC to cooperate with the EPA, to get access to the site for the EPA, for the investigation, was "No, you can't. You cannot do that, MIMC."

Then the EPA had to go to each of the McGinnes heirs to sign access agreements. If this comes in, that comes in.

MR. WOTRING: That's not necessarily the case. Of course, the findings of fact of the EPA have not come into evidence. On counsel for this defendant and the other defendants' motion, all that has been excluded; and we worked that out through a stipulation, which we can do in this particular instance, as well.

Now, to address a subsidiary point, what we knew before we filed this lawsuit is not relevant. They

have taken no discovery on that particular issue, and that issue has passed us by.

They asked Ms. Baker certain limited issues on that from the stand. So I think that's a little bit of a distraction from the pertinent argument in front of us, which is they want to introduce evidence about what we said to the EPA during the Superfund process. And they have done that, and we should be able to do the same, with the same limiting instructions and the Court's guidance on how to focus the issue, especially if they're taking a position in a letter to a government entity that is inconsistent with what we're saying here.

THE COURT: On Page 40, for a variety of different reasons, I believe I need to sustain the objection to the questions with regard to that letter, which is, I believe, Exhibit 7 in the deposition. I don't have a problem with the rest of the testimony, but the portion that relates to the letter and the conclusions in the letter, the objection is sustained.

MS. HINTON: So we will do an Offer of Proof, then, on Page 40, Lines 20 through Page 41, Line 14, or where exactly?

THE COURT: It would start at Page 40, Line 20 and go down to 41/14.

MS. HINTON: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. WOTRING: Would the Court rather take 1 2 up Exhibit 144 after we do the depo cuts? 3 THE COURT: Yes, sir. MR. WOTRING: 4 Thank you. MS. HINTON: The next clip in here, they 5 had a hearsay objection, Your Honor; and it refers to 6 7 Exhibit 8, which is pre-admitted. 8 THE COURT: Yes. That objection is 9 overruled. The objection to Page 41, Line 15 through 10 42/2 is overruled. 11 The next issue starts on Page 48, and I 12 have a question about this. Mr. Muir has several 13 objections, including relevance, cumulative, the enforcement policy not being at issue and violating the 14 ruling on the Superfund process, as well as outside the 15 scope of what the witness was designated to testify 16 about. But here is where I have the question about 17 18 Mr. Allen, because I thought this one portion was 19 already in of, "And was it your decision to seek 20 penalties"; and he answered, "No, it was not." 21 I thought I ruled on that at some point and that part was in, but there were other portions that may 22 23 not be. 24 MR. STANFIELD: Right. So in opening we

had our slides, Your Honor, that we put in front of the

25

jury, and it was a different clip from Allen than this one. He was asked this question a couple of times; and, candidly, I think it probably just needs to be in here once. There may be other cleaner ones.

But to your point, you did allow us to show the jury kind of a modified question and answer where he was asked, "Was it your department who decided to bring this suit," and "You are no longer in control of this case or who is seeking the penalties," and we were allowed to put that question and answer in front of the jury in the opening slides. It was originally two questions and one answer, and we modified it to one question and one answer.

THE COURT: So part of the argument with regard to this is -- and I think we need to divide it into portions. The first is 48/11 through 13. The question is, "Do you remember when Harris County decided to seek penalties? What date?" And the answer is, "Not specifically, no."

And their argument, beyond the other arguments, is why is that relevant.

MR. STANFIELD: Well, it's relevant to show the jury that there really is no evidence in this case to support the daily releases. There is no volume of evidence. There was no investigation done to document

actual releases. And this plays into the overall theme of these questions, in part, which is you brought the lawsuit and then you basically, through paid experts, tried to gen up evidence later because there is no actual documented releases from the site, there is no data that was ever collected, either before, during or -- about that.

THE COURT: Just a second. So how do we divide that out into, one, the issue of you -- you don't have documented evidence of daily releases and, two, you brought a lawsuit improperly without doing any investigation or anything else and you did it not -- you did it for political reasons? I mean, that is one of their arguments of you are getting back into those things that the Court already has excluded.

MR. STANFIELD: Well, Your Honor, let me just be clear. The point I made at the end was not you brought it for political reasons; it is a point that I think that is brought up often in litigation, which has already been made to the jury here, which is these are paid experts who we believe have a bias and incentive to give certain testimony.

THE COURT: And that's fair.

MR. STANFIELD: And that's really the thrust of this, which is, frankly, what happens all the

time in litigation, which is that this is lawyer driven,
paid expert driven. It is not driven by the Pollution
Control Department who would have done, in our opinion,
we think, an unbiased scientific examination of the
evidence to document actual releases.

THE COURT: I think -- and then I'll let Mr. Wotring respond. I think it is okay, as I said at the beginning of the case, for you to put in front of the jury that Mr. Allen -- it was not Mr. Allen's decision.

MR. STANFIELD: Right.

THE COURT: Beyond that, I think we're getting into it was Vince Ryan, it was the attorneys, it was something else.

MR. WOTRING: I would like to respond to the repeated suggestions throughout the life of this case, up to and now past the point of directed verdict, that this case was brought for an improper purpose and there was not a valid basis for bringing the lawsuit. That has been repeated, not just by this counsel, by his predecessor, not just by current counsel for Waste Management of Texas, but the predecessor counsels for Waste Management of Texas, and the same for MIMC. It has been suggested over and over again.

It is just sadly ironic that the same

people who make those suggestions stood up at the very beginning of this trial and said, "Judge, if you let them put in one document, the EPA findings of fact, this case is over. We can't put our case on to the jury because it would just be too convincing and that one document kills us," in essence.

And number two, "Oh, my gosh. Don't let them mention the Public Health Assessment because that document will inflame the jury. They won't even be able to listen to our evidence."

So for them to suggest, knowing that we had the EPA findings of fact and the Public Health

Assessment prior to filing the lawsuit, that somehow this was done for an improper purpose, I just think is -- is incorrect and it's an unreasonable argument.

THE COURT: I agree with you on the improper purpose issue. I think that it is one thing to argue when you filed the lawsuit you did not have documented evidence of daily releases, which I think is appropriate for you-all to argue. I think it is another thing to argue that when you filed this lawsuit, you did it for improper purpose and you didn't have any valid basis whatsoever for even thinking about filing a lawsuit, because if you do that, I think if you take that too far, you are potentially opening the door for

```
them to get into the EPA information for a different
1
   reason for which I excluded it before.
2
                                            Because at that
3
   point, it would be offered to show that they had lots of
   information. Whether you think it's admissible or not
4
   is a different question, but they had lots of
5
   information to support their belief that these were --
6
7
   the river was being contaminated. Let's just put it
8
   that way.
9
                 MR. STANFIELD: I'm not making a Rule 13
10
   argument, Your Honor.
11
                 THE COURT: I know, but I think it is
12
   tricky. And I think with the way some of the deposition
13
   testimony is being presented, I'm -- I think some of
14
   that crosses over into that area. And I'm just
   cautioning you-all that if we go too far with it, I'm
15
   going to have to revisit their argument for a different
16
   reason, and I don't think anybody is wanting to do
17
18
   that --
19
                 MR. STANFIELD: Let me suggest a revision
20
   to this.
21
                 THE COURT: -- meaning to present to this
22
   jury an entirely new case. I don't think either party
23
   wants to do that.
24
                 MR. STANFIELD:
                                 No. So how about this,
25
                What if we kept in 48, starting at Lines 20
   Your Honor:
```

through 22, which is "Okay. Was it your decision to 1 seek penalties? 2 No, it was not." 3 "Answer: THE COURT: I think that is fine. 4 5 MR. STANFIELD: And then you can skip forward and say on 49, Line 2, just through 6, which 6 7 would be "Okay. Well, the lawsuit was filed by Vince 8 Ryan's office, right? "Yes. I know that, yes. 9 10 "Using Connelly Baker & Wotring, right? "Correct." 11 12 Then maybe we can just keep those, so that 13 we can kind of put the dichotomy up to the jury that 14 we're trying to do, which is -- and I know there is a disagreement on this -- that this is a lawyer driven 15 case with paid experts, as opposed to a Pollution 16 17 Control Department driven case; and, consequently, 18 that's why you've got paid experts who we believe are 19 submitting the evidence they are, that they have created 20 for the case. 21 And I think that's an entirely valid 22 argument that comes out in every products liability

suit, which is these are paid experts who are being paid

to offer the opinions they are being offered in order to

23

24

25

benefit the plaintiff.

THE COURT: I'm not saying that's an improper argument. What I'm saying is when you make those arguments, it can open the door to other evidence coming in. So I'm not saying there is anything improper about doing that, in general.

I don't think by you saying, "It's an expert-driven lawsuit," that that opens the door. You can make your arguments about their experts and that's who they used for corporate reps and all of that. I don't think that opens the door.

I think it's the lawyer driven, which is not just Connelly Baker, but also Vince Ryan's office, that gets into this "It's lawyer driven and that there was no basis," which gets back to their, "Well, that's because we weren't able to put in front of the jury that we had this whole document from the EPA." So I'm not saying that you can't do that in cases. You can do that in cases, but I think this is not a distinction without a difference. I think there is a difference between arguing the lawyers have an interest in the case, a financial interest in the case, and arguing it's lawyer driven, meaning that's why it was filed, which is what Mr. Wotring is reacting to at this point.

MR. STANFIELD: I'm really trying to make the first point you made, not that filing the lawsuit,

```
per se, was lawyer driven, although I think that's
1
2
   probably the case --
3
                 THE COURT: I understand that's your
   position and has been from the beginning of this case;
4
   but with the way those questions are asked --
5
6
                 MR. MUIR:
                            Those questions do exactly that.
7
   They go directly to the filing of the lawsuit.
8
                 THE COURT:
                             Exactly that, because it's
9
   about the filing of the lawsuit as opposed to the
10
   argument you've made, which is completely fair game
11
   about they have got a contingency fee, they have got a
12
   financial interest in the case, people make that
13
   argument. I don't think that opens the door to the EPA.
14
   But the lawyer-driven argument could, and I'm not making
   a ruling on that. I'm just raising the issue for
15
16
   purposes of your record.
17
                                 Then for now, Your Honor,
                 MR. STANFIELD:
18
   let's just do 48, Lines 20 through 22 and strike the
19
   rest.
20
                 THE COURT:
                             Okay.
21
                 MR. STANFIELD: I don't want to open the
   door to the UAO, Your Honor, or the PHA. So that will
22
   exclude 48, 11 through 13, 48, Line 23 going through 49,
23
   Line 10. That will take that out.
24
25
                 THE COURT:
                             Okav.
```

```
MR. MUIR: I'm sorry. Your Honor, so
1
   your -- here is one issue with this. He's asking and
2
3
   he's being presented as the corporate representative --
   he's asking the corporate representative, "Was it your
4
   decision" -- "Was it your" -- "Was it Harris County's
5
   decision to seek penalties," in essence. That's what
6
7
   the question says. It doesn't say "Bob Allen,
   individually," "Bob Allen as XYZ." This is a question
8
   to Harris County.
9
10
                 THE COURT: Okay. Let's look at -- I hear
11
   you on that point. Let's look at the other part.
                                                       Do
12
   you remember what page that is where he talks about it
13
   again?
14
                 MR. STANFIELD: Tell you what: How about
   Volume 3, Your Honor, Page 59?
15
16
                 THE COURT: He does, but let me look at
   that.
17
18
                 MR. STANFIELD: Volume 3, Page 59, Lines 6
   through 11. The question is, "Let's talk about your
19
   department, briefly. As I understand it, the
20
21
   circumstances of this case, your department was not
22
   involved in the development or initiation of this
   lawsuit; is that correct?
23
                 "ANSWER: Correct."
24
25
                 I mean, that would accomplish the same
```

```
thing.
1
                             I'll allow that.
2
                 THE COURT:
3
                 MR. STANFIELD: So I'll tell you what,
   we'll take out Page 48 from Volume 1, Lines 20 through
4
   22, as well, and we'll just --
5
6
                 THE COURT:
                             Okay.
7
                 MR. STANFIELD: For that point, it will be
8
   just Page 59 from Volume 3, Lines 6 through 11.
                 MR. MUIR: So all of 48 should be out?
9
10
                 MR. STANFIELD: Yes. So I had already
11
   withdrawn Lines 11 through 13, and starting at 23
12
   through 49, Line 10.
13
                 Your Honor, that was just one of those
14
   examples, like I said, where we can trim some fat,
15
   probably, as I -- we do this. I apologize I hadn't done
16
   that before.
17
                             Then I had a question on 58 --
                 THE COURT:
18
   58 and 59.
               Some of the testimony looked like it was the
19
   same thing.
20
                 MR. STANFIELD: You mean within this
21
   deposition?
22
                 THE COURT: Yes.
                                   It was -- and I'm looking
   kind of specifically -- right now, I'm just putting to
23
   the side for the moment Mr. Muir's objections about
24
25
   permitting is not in the case and this has already been
```

asked; but I'm just looking at 58 and 59 asking the same thing. So let me look at that.

I thought the portion on 58, starting on Line 17, you know, "Back in 1965 when this disposal activity occurred," and then he's asked again on Page 59, Line 21, somewhat the same question.

MR. STANFIELD: Right. So this sets up Pages 60 through 63, the cuts there. The point of that being -- as you know, part of our theme, Your Honor, is for Champion and IP, that we worked very cooperatively with the government. Every time there was a question raised, we were part of the solution there, et cetera, at the time period, which is why, then, after the disposal operation ended, in part, we didn't believe there was a further problem for us to address. And that's a factual dispute.

And so this is to set that up to say "There were no permits required; but you see this letter, we went to Harris County." And then it goes on at 59, 60 and 61, "But if you wanted to get permission, even though it wasn't required, here is who you would have gone to."

I mean, it's putting into context what we did at the time and why we did not believe there was an ongoing problem.

```
THE COURT:
                             There is a couple of things.
1
   One, I do think he's asked the same question twice on 58
2
3
   and 59.
                 MR. STANFIELD: Well, I think he may be --
4
                             Because he says on 58, "Okay."
                 THE COURT:
5
                 Line 17: "And back in 1965 when this
6
7
   disposal activity occurred in '65 and '66, were permits
8
   available from Harris County for this type of disposal
9
   activity?
10
                           Not my knowledge, no."
                 "ANSWER:
                 Then on 59, Line 21: "Okay. With respect
11
12
   to the June 11th letter of 1965, at that time, as you've
13
   said, permits were not available from Harris County in
14
   connection with this type of disposal activity, right?
                 "ANSWER: Correct."
15
16
                 That's the same question twice.
17
                 MR. STANFIELD:
                                 I see. Yeah, you are
18
   right.
           So take out 59, Lines 21 through 25.
19
                 THE COURT: 21 through 25. Then we've got,
   beyond Mr. Muir's objections, he's arguing for optional
20
21
   completeness on 60, where if you are going to put in all
22
   that information about what they did, he wants to put
   in, as I understand, Page 60, Lines 1 through 10, right,
23
   Mr. Muir?
24
25
                 MR. MUIR: Without the objection.
```

THE COURT: Without the objection.

MR. STANFIELD: Well, that's not really an answer. The answer is, "There could have been." That's speculation, lack of foundation; and he never identifies what other entity there would be. In fact, unless you were going to seek a water discharge permit, there was no permitting you could get for a landfill.

MR. MUIR: Well, that is counsel testifying; but he's not going to testify. He does answer that, with regard to the Texas Department of Health, which was another regulating -- he says that as another regulating entity in the State of Texas.

THE COURT: Well, his point is, though, but if you are going to go ask Harris County for permission -- he's just talking about permits from Harris County.

MR. STANFIELD: That's right.

MR. MUIR: And they don't object to the responsiveness of the answer, Your Honor, which they needed to do if that was the problem.

THE COURT: I understand. I'm not talking about that question and answer. I'm saying the other questions were specific to whether permits were available from Harris County. I think you would be right if we were to ask "Were permits available at all,"

but he's specifically talking about Harris County.

And so their point is that's not optional completeness because if they were to offer something that said, "That's about the best thing you can get in connection with this disposal activity from anyone," then you would get a question like they have on Page 60, Line 1, and the answer. But here, they're just saying that permits were not available from Harris County in connection with this type of disposal activity.

MR. MUIR: But under Rule 107, Your Honor, and the other part of the deposition -- of a document, including a deposition, it is admissible into evidence on the same subject; and they are still talking about the subject of what they did at that time and wasn't this -- they're making the case that "We did everything we could do at the time." They have said it over and over again. That's been their pitch. This indicates, well, maybe they didn't do everything they could.

THE COURT: Well, but look at their question on Page 60, Line 17. It says, "Since Harris County couldn't give the parties proposing to engage in the disposal activity a permit, this letter from Harris County approving that disposal activity was as good as they could do on a local level, right?"

And he answers. I think these questions

are confined to locally, so....

MR. MUIR: But the subject matter is, and what they have argued in this case so far is that "We have done everything that could have been done. There was no permits. So we did" -- that they have done everything they could have done.

THE COURT: Do you think they have been arguing that for the State? They have been arguing in Harris County there were no permits and, "We did everything we could do in Harris County," have they not?

MR. MUIR: I don't think it's been that limited, Your Honor. And the rule on the completion -- it's not limited to it has to be the exact same question answered a different way. That's, I believe, too restrictive a reading of Rule 107 and Rule 106.

THE COURT: Well, I don't think it has to be the exact same question answered the same way; but I don't think that optional completeness means you get into another subject matter. And since all their other questions were limited to the local level, I don't think it's optional completeness to add something that doesn't relate to the local level, that goes to a question that wasn't limited to a local level that was not offered. So I would take out that repetitive part and the rest I'll allow. You are taking out 59, Lines 21 through 25.

```
MR. STANFIELD: That's correct, 59, Lines
1
2
   21 through 25 are out.
                             I think we're on 63/17.
3
                 THE COURT:
                 MR. STANFIELD:
                                 All right. And this is
4
5
   just part of the --
6
                 THE COURT: And I have a question about
7
   that.
8
                 Mr. Muir, why do you think that question is
9
   misleading?
10
                 MR. MUIR: Well, Dr. Quebedeaux is the only
11
   one who has been reported to be at the site. They asked
12
   other questions: "Did Dr. Quebedeaux see the site?
                 "Yes."
13
14
                 But this implies, you know, that all of
15
   Harris County was out there.
16
                 And since they asked the question, you
17
   know, about Dr. Quebedeaux repeatedly in other places,
18
   it certainly isn't needed here, as well.
19
                 THE COURT: Mr. Stanfield?
20
                 MR. STANFIELD: Well, Dr. Quebedeaux was
21
   head of his department and he provides, for lack of a
22
   better term, corporate knowledge to Harris County as the
   head of the relevant department at the time. So, yes,
23
24
   of course Harris County knew. And of course, we know
25
   the result of this was a letter on Harris County
```

```
letterhead about the site. So it did get formalized
1
2
   into a Harris County document about the site, which
   Dr. Quebedeaux visited on behalf of Harris County.
3
4
                 THE COURT: Objection overruled. 69/25
   through 75, and 77 through 8.
5
6
                 MR. STANFIELD: To be clear, she's
7
   referencing Page 70 through Line 5 and Page 70, Lines 7
8
   and 8.
9
                 THE COURT: Yes.
10
                 MR. STANFIELD: Your Honor, I think you can
11
   understand why I'm proffering it.
12
                 THE COURT:
                             I do. And while I appreciate
13
   the objection, I think there is evidence on that issue.
14
   It's for the jury to consider one way or the other, so I
   do overrule that objection.
15
16
                 MR. STANFIELD: And 71 is just the
   follow-up, and Your Honor was very careful in cutting
17
18
   this to only include the unredacted portion from the
19
   1966 water board meeting -- testimony.
20
                 THE COURT: Okay. So --
21
                 MR. STANFIELD: Which is why I didn't go
22
   past Line 20 on Page 71, because that is not part of
   what is in evidence.
23
24
                 THE COURT: Okay. I guess the question to
25
   you, Mr. Muir, is why that is optional completeness when
```

```
he's talking about a different subject, starting on Line
1
   20?
2
3
                 MR. MUIR:
                           Well, Your Honor, it really is a
   Rule 106, as opposed to a Rule 107.
4
5
                 THE COURT: Okay. I only mentioned that
   because you said optional completeness.
6
7
                 MR. MUIR: Yes, and I apologize.
                                                    It is a
8
   remainder of a related writing or a reported statement,
9
   which includes a deposition.
10
                 MR. STANFIELD: My only concern about that,
11
   Your Honor, is that that specific portion of the
12
   testimony was redacted out.
13
                 MR. MUIR: And there has been testimony put
14
   in in this case that they believed this was nontoxic and
15
   inert, I believe was the phrasing. So since -- since
   they have put on evidence saying that they believed it
16
17
   was inert, they knew that it wasn't -- it wasn't
18
   necessarily considered inert at that time.
19
                 MR. STANFIELD:
                                 I want to be clear, that
20
   came out in Mr. Golemon's deposition that Harris County
   played, where he was asked to talk about the material
21
22
   and he goes "I would describe it as inert." And I think
23
   we talked about it before the case began, you know, you
24
   can't open your own door.
```

THE COURT:

Um-hmm, with cross.

```
MR. MUIR: I'm not sure that's the only
1
2
   time it's come up, Your Honor.
3
                 MR. WOTRING:
                               It's not. It's certainly
   been referenced throughout the case, that they had no
4
   reason to know that this was, you know, a harmful
5
   substance, in general.
6
7
                             Haven't they really just put it
                 THE COURT:
8
   in in terms of this was the testing? I don't know that
   they have -- I don't know that you even put in.
9
10
   ultimately, the test results, did you?
11
                 MR. STANFIELD: No. Your Honor.
12
                 THE COURT: Through the plaintiffs' case in
   chief?
13
14
                                 Right. We didn't get into
                 MR. STANFIELD:
15
   the BOD testing and those sorts of things.
16
                 THE COURT: I don't think you've said, "We
   had no reason to know this was toxic."
17
18
                 MR. STANFIELD: No. I think the closest we
   got was to say, "We basically had no reason to know
19
20
   prior to the 5-mill study and the dioxin work EPA was
21
   doing, that there would be dioxin in the waste," and it
   was not listed as a hazardous substance until 1985.
22
23
                 THE COURT: I don't remember any more
24
   specific testimony than that; but if you-all find a
25
   place where that's an issue, I'll reconsider it.
```

```
this time I'll -- I will sustain the objection.
1
                            And, Your Honor, I would reoffer
2
                 MR. MUIR:
3
   Page 60, Lines 1 through 10, under Rule 106, as opposed
   to 107.
4
                             Let's go back to 60, Lines 1
5
                 THE COURT:
   through 10.
6
7
                 MR. MUIR:
                           Yes, excluding the --
8
                 MR. STANFIELD: I reurge my objection.
9
                 THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
10
                 Okay. Now we're on 75, Line 22 through
   76/3.
11
12
                 MR. STANFIELD: So this connects up -- just
13
   in terms of why we're offering it, this then connects up
14
   to 81, Lines 6 through 12, just to talk about there was
   no monitoring that was done, there was no sampling that
15
   was done from '65 to 2000.
16
17
                 THE COURT: Okay. So this issue comes up a
18
   couple of times with Mr. Muir in the sense that "It's
19
   one thing to say there was a fire and so we don't have
20
   those records, those archived records; but it's another
21
   thing to say there was no monitoring or investigation or
22
   anything," because they believe that if you start saying
   that, they get to get into the TMDL.
23
                 MR. MUIR: Yes, Your Honor.
24
25
                             To show that they actually did
                 THE COURT:
```

participate in some of these things and that if you suggest that, they get to put that in to rebut that suggestion.

MR. MUIR: And in some of the places -- not this one, but in some of the offers with respect to the fire, it is -- it pointedly looks like they're saying there is a spoliation issue.

THE COURT: Are you talking about the part where they say there was a fire and then they say you didn't do anything to reconstruct those records?

MR. MUIR: To reconstruct, even though they're very important, et cetera, et cetera.

MR. STANFIELD: Yeah, Volume 3, that is particularly moving testimony; and I thought we might get there. But we will get there -- I think I only need the fire once.

THE COURT: I think you only need the fire once. And I don't have a problem with the fact that the records -- any records during that time period don't exist; but I think to the extent there is the suggestion of you didn't do anything afterwards to reconstruct these records, that makes it look more like you're saying that Harris County either was negligent or did something nefarious; and then, number two, I do think to the extent -- and we can talk about it when we get to

```
that particular point -- that we're talking about
1
2
   monitoring or things like that, that could lead to the
3
   TMDL issue.
                But we're not there yet.
                 So on 75/22 through 76/3, I don't think
4
   that, in and of itself, opens the door for the TMDL.
                                                          So
5
6
   I don't have a problem with that particular offer, but I
7
   know we get to it later.
8
                 MR. STANFIELD: 81, Lines 6 through 12.
                 THE COURT:
                             Wait a minute. We skipped over
9
   78, Lines 13 through 18.
10
11
                 MR. MUIR: I think that may have been one
12
   of the ones that was removed.
                 THE COURT: That's one of the ones that
13
14
   Mr. Muir is arguing that -- where he answers that there
   is no direct monitoring, that he should be able to get
15
   into the TMDL.
16
17
                 MR. STANFIELD: Your Honor, I have
18
   withdrawn that.
19
                 My next offer is on 81, Lines 6 through 12.
20
                 THE COURT:
                            Got it. Okay. He's arguing --
21
                 MR. STANFIELD: That it's the same thing.
22
                 THE COURT: -- that it's the same thing and
23
   that that's going to allow him to get into the TMDL.
24
                 MR. STANFIELD: Mr. Allen, on behalf of
25
   Harris County, was asked these questions and gave these
```

1 answers. 2 THE COURT: He did, but... 3 MR. MUIR: But he was asked questions more than once, and he went through the whole TMDL and then 4 they followed back up with this. It's all part of the 5 same thing. 6 7 MR. STANFIELD: Maybe there is a 8 counter-designation that Harris County would like to 9 offer on that and then we can discuss what that would 10 be. 11 THE COURT: Well, whether that's optional 12 completeness or whether -- not that that's an offer. The question is, does this open the door to that? 13 14 MR. STANFIELD: I don't know about that 15 without seeing what the specific testimony would be. 16 THE COURT: Well, one of the things they offered was 76/4 through 76/19. 17 18 MR. MUIR: There are two other offers, one 19 is in Volume 2, Page 168, Line 20 through 169, Line 18, 20 and Volume 3, beginning on Page 47, Line 21 and then it runs through 49/12, with some portions taken out. 21 22 THE COURT: Let's just start with 76/4 23 through 19. Whether it comes in, again, as optional 24 completeness or it's an offer, their position is that

that shows that they started participating in those

```
meetings back in 2001, and that there was lots of
1
   information available about dioxin and fish and other
2
3
   things.
4
                 MR. STANFIELD: So that part I would not
   agree to come in, Lines 11 through 16. I think,
5
   frankly -- I don't think it's optional completeness.
                                                          Ι
6
7
   think it's a counter-designation for them to cut and
8
   play. But I do think 76, Lines 4 through 10 and 76,
   Lines 17 through 19 would be fine; but I don't think
   they get lines 11 through 16. I don't think we've
10
   opened the door to fish tissue.
11
12
                 THE COURT: What about Lines 14 through 16?
                 MR. STANFIELD: Well, if you could cut --
13
14
   if you could do the cut to start with --
15
                 THE COURT:
                             "So those meetings" --
16
                 MR. STANFIELD: "So those meetings were
   part of that process to determine what are the levels of
17
18
   dioxin in the sediment and where is it coming from.
19
                 "QUESTION: Are you talking about meetings
20
   after 2008, when the EPA got involved?
21
                 "ANSWER:
                           No.
                                These meetings started
   around 2001."
22
23
                 Then I would have an optional completeness
24
   from Lines 20 through 25 on Page 76, if we're going to
25
   talk about those meetings.
```

```
1
                 THE COURT: Okay. What is your next part,
   Mr. Muir, in Volume 2?
2
                            It was page --
3
                 MR. MUIR:
                           Your Honor, actually, we had
   kind of split these up.
4
                 THE COURT:
                             Sure.
5
6
                 MR. MUIR: Lauren Hudson from the County
7
   Attorney's office was dealing with that.
8
                 THE COURT: Yes.
9
                 MR. MUIR: So I kind of stepped on some of
10
   her stuff already. I would like to give her an
11
   opportunity.
12
                 THE COURT: Yes, with regard to the TMDL.
13
                 MR. MUIR:
                            She's got that part.
14
                 MR. STANFIELD: Which page are we headed
15
   to?
16
                 THE COURT: We're in Volume 2.
17
                 MR. STANFIELD:
                                 Page 168?
18
                 MS. HUDSON: The TMDL in Volume 2 is 168/20
19
   through 169/18.
20
                 MR. STANFIELD:
                                 Okay. We would object to
21
   that as getting into public health and other areas that
22
   are not directed specifically to -- in a clean way like
   Page 76, Volume 1 is -- to rebutting our question about
23
24
   whether or not you did something specific at this site.
25
   This gets into other issues because it's asking a
```

So

specific question about a phrase in the pleading in this 1 2 case. MR. MUIR: We could cut that "Did Harris 3 County do anything to -- to try find the source of 4 dioxin?" 5 6 THE COURT: "Did Harris County do anything 7 to try to find the source of dioxin?" 8 MR. STANFIELD: Well, that is misleading 9 because there is no single source of dioxin that's the issue of the TMDL. I mean, that is a dioxin-loading 10 11 survey that I believe we've already established covers 12 different congeners. 13 THE COURT: I do understand. I think if 14 you're going to argue about not taking any steps to sample anything from the site, we're getting into the 15 TMDL. And the question is, do you want to do that? 16 17 MR. STANFIELD: Your Honor, I think that's 18 fine, but on Page 76 of Volume 1, it's just a very clean 19 question and answer, without talking about health 20 effects, the indicating there is a single source of 21 dioxin, as we've talked about, which is on Line 4: "So 22 what has Harris County done to monitor this site since you've been there in 1978? 23

"ANSWER: Harris County participates in

what is called the TMDL program. It's part of HGHC.

24

we participate" -- then he goes on from there. 1 2 a very clean question and answer that doesn't run afoul 3 of any other issues, if we take out the part about fish tissue and things like that. 4 5 THE COURT: Okay. So I think, Mr. Muir, what Mr. Stanfield is proposing is actually just to take 6 7 out on 76, Lines 12 and 13, "and the fish tissue." 8 MR. STANFIELD: Right. THE COURT: So it would read, "So it was 9 10 our participation in these meetings after the dioxin was 11 found in the sediments to try to figure out where this 12 dioxin is coming from. So those meetings were part of 13 that process to determine what are the levels of dioxin 14 in the sediment and where is it coming from." 15 So that's the only part of that answer on 16 76 that he is proposing to be taken out. 17 MR. MUIR: I thought we were on --18 THE COURT: Well, we're starting with 76 19 and then we're moving on to 168. 20 MR. MUIR: Okav. 21 THE COURT: So that entire answer would 22 come in, except the part that reads "and the fish 23 tissue." 24 MR. MUIR: Okay. 25 THE COURT: And their point is it's not

```
optional completeness because 81 is talking about up
1
   until 2000, and 76 is talking about 2001 on.
2
3
                 MR. MUIR:
                            Well, the question that this
   came up with is here, again, on Page 81 where he says,
4
   "Harris County is taking those steps to monitor from '65
5
   to into the 2000s."
6
7
                 THE COURT: Oh, "into the 2000s." Okay.
8
   So if this were to come in as optional completeness,
9
   then they're arguing they get 76/20 through --
10
                 MR. STANFIELD:
                                 25.
11
                 THE COURT: -- 25.
12
                 MR. MUIR: Well --
13
                 THE COURT: So then the question becomes
14
   for you, Mr. Stanfield -- let's assume that comes in.
   Then on Page 168, why isn't it fair for Mr. Muir to have
15
   "Did Harris County do anything to find the source of the
16
   dioxin," and then the answer is really about the TMDL
17
18
   program being established in 2001.
19
                 MR. MUIR:
                            Right.
20
                 MR. STANFIELD: So, assuming that the
21
   loaded parts of the question come out, as I would call
22
   them --
23
                 THE COURT:
                             Right. The answer is just that
24
   the TMDL program was established in 2001.
25
                                 That's probably acceptable.
                 MR. STANFIELD:
```

```
I'm just trying to keep -- I think you know where I'm
1
2
   going, to keep out the part of the pleading in the case
3
   which does say something about searching in vain, blah,
   blah.
4
5
                 THE COURT:
                             Yes.
                 MR. STANFIELD: I don't mean that it's not
6
7
   artfully worded, but --
8
                 MR. MUIR: And we don't have a -- we're
   fine with it reading "Did Harris County do anything to
9
   try to find the source of the -- of the dioxin."
10
11
                 THE COURT: Okay. So that would be 168,
12
   Line 20 through 169 --
                 MR. MUIR: 18, is what we offered.
13
14
                 THE COURT: Okay. So they're wanting to go
15
   down to 18.
                And --
16
                 MR. MUIR:
                           Which is just a continuation of
   his answer, really, except for 16 through 18.
17
18
                 MR. STANFIELD: No, I would object to that
19
   part because it talks about -- I mean, there is no
20
   quantification in here and this could give the
21
   impression, again, that there are elevated levels of
22
   dioxin and you have an impaired river related to this
   site; and that's not -- that's not part of the evidence
23
24
   in the case.
25
                 So I think it would need to start off at
```

```
169/5, bearing in mind that the clip on 168, Lines 20
1
   through 21 and 23 through 25 are altered to take out --
2
   "to search in vain" and take out "so that they could
3
   protect the citizens from this public health threat."
4
   That has to come out, as well.
5
                             What if they have 169, Line 6
                 THE COURT:
6
7
   through 169, Line 11 that ends with "dioxin," and then
8
   picks back up at 16 and goes through 18?
9
                 MR. STANFIELD: Well, no, because then you
   have elevated levels of dioxin, and there is no evidence
10
11
   of what that means, what is an elevated level versus
12
   what is a non-elevated level. I think that goes into
13
   the MCL issue as to what level do you have to have and
14
   how do you quantify the source for that.
15
                 MR. MUIR:
                            It's a -- I hate to keep -- it's
   a factual statement of how it starts and it is not
16
   disputed. And I think -- well, Mr. Zoch talks
17
18
   extensively about the TMDL, as well.
19
                 MR. STANFIELD: He hasn't talked about it
20
   yet in front of the jury.
21
                 THE COURT: I think their point is it's
22
   okay to talk about where it was coming from. So what
   you are saying is it should end at 169, Line 9, with the
23
24
   word "counsel" and then pick back up at 16 through 18?
25
                 MR. STANFIELD:
                                 Correct.
```

```
1
                 MR. MUIR: We disagree, Your Honor.
   think your cut, taking out the portion where it starts
2
   "So you have an impaired waterway," is the proper place
3
   to stop that.
4
                 THE COURT: Yeah, but if we're talking
5
   about monitoring the site, their point is that makes it
6
7
   look like it was initiated as a result of this site,
   which is not accurate. It was initiated along the
9
   river.
10
                 MR. STANFIELD: Your Honor, I withdraw 81,
11
   Lines 6 through 12.
12
                 MR. SCHRADER: I'll take credit for that,
13
   Judge.
14
                 MR. STANFIELD: I'll just withdraw it and
15
   withdraw this whole issue.
16
                 THE COURT: All right. So now we're on
   82/21 through 83/3 and then on down to 84. Okay.
17
                                                       Ι
18
   don't have a problem with -- I understand they're
19
   arguing it's cumulative. I think it's okay for you to
   ask Mr. Allen that. But let's talk about Exhibit 24,
20
21
   because they object to that because it was not
22
   pre-admitted, and that's on Page 87.
23
                 MR. STANFIELD: Well -- and just as a point
24
   of clarification, my -- procedurally, my recommendation
   is to either move for the admission of these documents
25
```

```
either immediately before or immediately after Mr. Allen
1
   testifies.
2
                 THE COURT:
3
                             Okay.
                 MR. STANFIELD: And if I need to do that
4
   now, then I move for the admission of Exhibit 24 into
5
   evidence.
6
7
                 THE COURT: And the objection is, other
8
   than the hearsay objection you made? Is that your
9
   objection?
10
                 MR. WOTRING: That is the objection.
                                                        This
11
   is one of the dredge -- well, one of the dredge
12
   documents. I don't want to have a fight about this
   document, when I suspect it might be in evidence under
13
14
   another number somewhere else. That might be the thing
   to check first.
15
16
                 THE COURT:
                             I thought the dredging
   documents came in, did they not?
17
18
                 MR. WOTRING:
                               This is not on the
19
   pre-admitted list. It is not on the pre-admitted list.
20
                 MR. GIUGLIANO:
                                 But I thought that was in
21
   maybe elsewhere.
22
                 MR. STANFIELD:
                                 Maybe a different number?
23
                 MR. GIUGLIANO:
                                 Yes.
                                       We'll double check
24
   that.
25
                 THE COURT:
                             Let's go off the record for a
```

second.

(Discussion off the record)

THE COURT: On this point -- back on the record. While I understand and we've talked about this before, the argument by Harris County that "You can't say Harris County did something wrong and so, therefore, we're absolved;" but I likewise think it is permissible for the defendants to say "What we were doing was reasonable because that's what other people were doing that was reasonable."

And so I don't think I can keep out Page 90, 4 through 7. I don't think that, in and of itself, that cut, is saying that Harris County did something wrong. It's, again, a fact that's already been discussed, and so I think that comes in.

Let's go to 90/23. That is -- Mr. Muir objects as hearsay and calls for a legal conclusion. I guess my question to you, Mr. Muir, is, isn't he just reading it? I mean, I understand if he's interpreting it; but the way I'm reading this, it looks like he's just reading from the document, because he asks him "Do you see that," on Line 21 of Page 91.

MR. MUIR: It doesn't appear to me that he's reading from the document, Your Honor. This is a letter from X regarding Y about Z to allow dredging.

```
THE COURT: Let me start on 91, Line 15.
1
2
   He says -- this is the question: "Okay. And even in
3
   Paragraph 8 of that letter it says: 'It contaminated,
   spoiled,'" and then it goes on. And then at the end, on
4
   Line 20 the sentence ends and on Line 21, he says: "Do
5
   you see that?" And the answer is "Yes."
6
7
                 MR. MUIR:
                            I'm sorry. I was looking at a
8
   different portion. That appears he was reading.
9
                 THE COURT: So you are now looking on Page
10
   92.
        Let me look at this.
11
                 MR. MUIR: Okav.
12
                 THE COURT: 92, line -- well, starting at
13
   91, Line 24, he's looking at the next exhibit, which I
   believe is 67; and it's from the Texas Parks & Wildlife,
14
   dated March 8th, 1991. And the question on Line 3,
15
   "And, it, too, refers to the subject Public Notice Dated
16
   January 31st, 1991; right?
17
18
                 "ANSWER: Yes.
19
                 "QUESTION: Okay. And it contains comments
20
   about the proposed dredging on the site, around the
21
   site, right?
22
                 "ANSWER: Yes."
                 Question on Line 10: "And if you'll look
23
   at Exhibit 27 in your book, the Port of Houston also
24
25
   comments on March 1st, 1991, regarding the same dredging
```

permit. Do you see that? 1 Yes. 2 "ANSWER: 3 Question on Line 15: "And, in fact, that shows that dredging -- the second page of that document 4 on Port of Houston's commentary on the dredging shows 5 the proposed dredging all around the site in question 6 7 that we're all concerned about, right? "ANSWER: Yes." 8 9 And then the question on 21: "Okay. 10 fact, the TCEQ says in its letter, Exhibit 24, that the 11 area in question or portions thereof actually belong to 12 the State of Texas or to the Port Authority. Do you see that?" 13 14 And the answer on Line 3 of Page 93 is: "That's in the Port letter? 15 16 ANSWER -- QUESTION: "Yes, Paragraph 1? "ANSWER: Yes." 17 18 Now, I understand if you were objecting to 19 lines 6 through 11, where that is him interpreting it, and he answers on Line 10, "With this letter they 20 21 believe that they own the area on the map that is 22 underwater," I think that is interpreting the letter, but they didn't offer that. They only went to 93/5. 23 24 MR. STANFIELD: Right. 25 THE COURT: So I don't think he's

```
concluding anything. I think he's just reading from the
1
   letters. But you had another objection?
2
                            Yeah.
                                   On 92/15, I believe
3
                 MR. MUIR:
   through 20, it says, "It shows dredging -- dredging all
4
   the" -- on the second page -- that it's -- it appears
5
   here that he's saying that there is actual dredging and
6
7
   that it wasn't talking about actual dredging, it was
8
   talking about permits to dredge, I believe.
9
                 THE COURT:
                             But he references proposed
10
   dredging on Line 17. I don't think I can keep that out
11
   because he's just reading a letter.
12
                 MR. STANFIELD: Your Honor, on Exhibit 24
13
   we have confirmed that it has not been pre-admitted
   inadvertently, and so we would move for its admission.
14
   There is no -- there is no reasonable basis to keep that
15
   particular dredging document out, when all the other
16
   dredging documents are coming in. It's an ancient
17
18
   document, and Dr. Pardue, I believe, was crossed on it
19
   by Mr. Giugliano.
20
                 MR. WOTRING: On a document that was not in
21
   evidence?
22
                 MR. STANFIELD:
                                 Dock his pay, not mine.
23
                 MR. GIUGLIANO:
                                 It was cross.
24
                 THE COURT: I think the real issue, in all
25
   seriousness, is going to be that Mr. Zoch is going to
```

```
1
   reference it in his testimony.
                 MR. WOTRING:
                               Then I don't think we'll have
2
3
   an objection to that coming into evidence. I would like
   to use Exhibit No. 658 with Mr. Zoch, just the pits.
4
   don't need the rest of it because that's the basis of
5
   my -- my deposition of him was using A, B and C from
6
7
   Exhibit 658.
8
                 THE COURT: You may do that.
                 MR. STANFIELD: You showed it to Dukert
9
   when that was played, and I didn't have an objection to
10
11
   that.
12
                 THE COURT:
                             I think that's fine.
                 MR. STANFIELD:
                                 So I move for admission of
13
   Exhibit 24 into evidence.
14
15
                 THE COURT: I think with the understanding
   that Mr. Zoch is going to be testifying about it, that
16
17
   you-all did not have an objection.
18
                 MR. WOTRING: We do not.
19
                 THE COURT: Exhibit 24 is admitted.
20
   Defendants' Exhibit 24 is admitted.
21
                 MR. STANFIELD: Good point, yes.
22
                 THE COURT: So we are back at 94, 4 through
23
   14.
24
                 MR. MUIR: And I believe part of what I had
25
   objections to, they have now withdrawn in this.
```

```
THE COURT: Yes. Can you confirm that,
1
2
   because I had some questions about that, as well,
3
   because there was an objection.
4
                 Can you confirm what part of the offer from
   94 through 97 -- 94/4 through 97/11 you've taken out,
5
   because Mr. Muir thinks you've taken something out.
6
7
                 MR. STANFIELD: So I've got 90 -- here is
8
   all I have, is 94, 4 through 14; 94, 17 through 20.
9
   Then I've taken a bunch of stuff out. Then I start
10
   again on 95, 22 through 25, and 96, 1 through 5. Well,
11
   I have got all of Page 96, except for the objections.
12
                 THE COURT:
                             Okay.
13
                 MR. STANFIELD: I've got all of 97, except
   for the objections. So I guess what I've taken out is
14
   94/21 through 95, Line 21.
15
16
                 THE COURT: Okay.
17
                 MR. MUIR: And so then starting on Page 96,
18
   Line 2, despite the comments -- the question is
   argumentative.
19
20
                 THE COURT: Well, I think 96/2 through 9
21
   ought to come out because you asked it more
22
   appropriately at 11.
23
                 MR. STANFIELD: Let's take it out.
                                                      96,
24
   Lines 2 through 9 are coming out.
25
                 THE COURT: Again, he's just referencing
```

1 the document. MR. MUIR: Right. 2 3 THE COURT: So I think that's okay, but I think 2 through 9 should come out because he didn't have 4 it in front of him. 5 And do you have another objection, 6 7 Mr. Muir? 8 MR. MUIR: Well, his comments about despite 9 the comments of TCEQ, Texas Parks, et cetera, the permit was issued anyway, I think is argumentative. 10 MR. STANFIELD: I think that would be a 11 12 permissible question if the witness were on the stand. 13 THE COURT: I think it would. All right. 14 So the objection is overruled. Then we get to 99/25, and this involves Exhibit 70. And Mr. Muir has made a 15 double hearsay objection. 16 17 MR. STANFIELD: I think all that we're 18 talking about here is actually the cover letter from Ken 19 Bentsen, not the letter from the constituent. We're not 20 offering the letter from the constituent as part of 21 Exhibit 70, but we are offering the letter from Ken 22 Bentsen, asking the Army Corps of Engineers to consider stopping any dredging in the area. And I would offer in 23 24 that letter, just from the congressman, without 25 attachments, into evidence.

```
THE COURT: All right.
1
                 MR. MUIR: And it's offered for the
2
   relevance of what?
3
4
                 MR. STANFIELD: To show that even a
   congressman couldn't stop this dredging, and so
5
   certainly my clients couldn't. Don't look so amused.
6
7
                 MR. WOTRING: I was thinking of something
8
   else, counsel. I'm sure it was not your remarks.
9
                 MR. STANFIELD: I mean, that is part of the
10
   point, Your Honor. You've got a congressman, you've got
11
   the Port of Houston Authority --
12
                 THE COURT: I think you get to make your
   point. I can't say it's not relevant, if that's the
13
               I can't say it's not relevant.
14
   objection.
15
                 MR. STANFIELD: I take exception to my
16
   co-counsel also laughing at my point.
17
                 MR. MUIR: There are a couple of these --
18
                 MR. BENEDICT: I'm laughing silently.
19
                 MR. STANFIELD: It all shows up the same on
20
   the written record.
21
                 THE COURT: One of the things that we get
22
   to, I think, when we get to the 101/22 through the
23
   102/4 -- wait a minute.
24
                 MR. STANFIELD:
                                 I am offering that.
25
                             But you are not offering 102, 5
                 THE COURT:
```

```
1
   through 9? You're ending at 4?
2
                 MR. STANFIELD: I'm definitely not offering
3
   the question starting at Line 5.
4
                 THE COURT: Okay. So you're just offering
   101/22 through 102/4?
5
                 MR. STANFIELD: Correct.
6
7
                 MR. MUIR: Other than the fact that it's
8
   now the third or fourth witness for Harris County that
9
   they have asked these questions to.
10
                 THE COURT: Well, this is one of those ones
11
   that I should address because of the argument made
12
   earlier that I think when we're talking about Harris
13
   County's position, I don't think it's necessarily
14
   relevant to say four Harris County corporate reps said
   the same thing. So I think you have to pick who you
15
16
   want to address those.
17
                 MR. STANFIELD: Allen.
18
                 THE COURT: If it's Mr. Allen, then fine.
19
   But that means Dr. Bedient or Dr. Pardue don't address
20
   it in their corporate rep depo offers.
21
                 MR. STANFIELD: That's fine. I would pick
22
   Bob Allen to give this testimony.
23
                 THE COURT: All right. So that is 101/22
24
   through 102/4.
25
                 MR. STANFIELD: Yes, Your Honor.
```

```
1
                 THE COURT: And next we get to 103/21
2
   through 104/18. And Mr. Muir has an objection as to
3
   hearsay on Exhibits 71 and 72, but he also believes that
   there is something there that violates prior rules
4
   regarding dioxin. And I had a question about that
5
   because I'm not sure what in there -- in that letter you
6
7
   think violates the previous rulings, Mr. Muir, because
8
   it's just talking about the permitting for dredging.
                                                          So
9
   I had a question mark from my notes on that.
10
                 MR. MUIR: I don't show that it's
11
   pre-admitted.
12
                 MR. WOTRING: Yeah, I don't have that being
13
   down as pre-admitted.
14
                 Here. We'll withdraw -- we'll withdraw any
   objection to the introduction of Exhibit 72.
15
16
                             Let's go off the record.
                 THE COURT:
                 (Discussion off the record)
17
18
                 THE COURT:
                             We're on the record now.
19
                 MR. STANFIELD: Your Honor, I withdraw, on
   behalf of all defendants, Page 104, Lines 7 through 18;
20
21
   and I believe that withdraws Exhibit 72.
                                              Because I
22
   think prior to that -- I don't think 72 is what's being
23
   referenced --
                 THE COURT: What about 104, 2 through 6?
24
25
                 MR. STANFIELD: We can take that out.
```

104, Lines 2 through 18 can come out. 1 2 THE COURT: And I'm just going to keep 3 going until you-all want to break for your lunch before we start with the jury. So I'm going to keep going 4 until we do that, or do you want to break now? 5 Your Honor, I'm going to need 6 MR. WOTRING: 7 to break. 8 THE COURT: Let's do one more. Let's just 9 do the one -- why don't we do 106 and then we'll stop, 10 okay? 11 One of my questions about that was you've 12 withdrawn 72, but hasn't he already answered that about 13 the dredging? There was no objection to it, or that is 14 the first time he does? 15 MR. STANFIELD: I think this is the first time he does with that time period, Your Honor. The 16 prior question about that was noted to a specific 17 18 objection where it was -- I'm trying find it. It's on 19 Page 96, where he was specifically asked about the 20 "despite the comments of TCEQ and Texas Parks, and the Port of Houston" --21 22 THE COURT: Right. MR. STANFIELD: -- in the early '90s, it 23 24 was anyway, and the point here is to take it into 2007 25 because of the ongoing dredging.

```
MR. MUIR: Your Honor, then we would ask
1
   that 106, Lines 5 through 12 be included as well.
2
                 THE COURT: Actually, I think that's fair.
3
                                 That's fine. We'll do that
                 MR. STANFIELD:
4
   as optional completeness, Page 106, Lines 5 through 12.
5
6
                 THE COURT: Okay. All right.
                                                We can
7
   break.
8
                 (Discussion off the record)
9
                 THE COURT: We are back on the record.
10
                 Mr. Benedict?
                 MR. BENEDICT: Yes, Your Honor. Over the
11
12
   past -- the last two weeks I made it clear that the TCEQ
   intended to offer some documents. I think we've
13
   discussed them, and I think the Court has said they will
14
   not be admitted, but I don't have express rulings.
15
   weren't identified, so I would like to identify them and
16
   get the Court's ruling, if I could, to make an Offer of
17
18
   Proof.
19
                 THE COURT: You may.
20
                 MR. BENEDICT: I have seven documents
21
   currently. I have labeled them as Plaintiffs'
22
   Exhibit -- and to differentiate from the others, I'm
   using TCEQ 1, TCEQ 2 through 7, and so it will be easy
23
   to understand.
24
25
                 The first document -- and I do have
```

```
certifieds for all these. I understand we're putting
1
   the Bates numbered set for convenience rather than
2
   certified.
3
4
                 TCEQ 1 is a July 22nd, 2005, letter from
   the TCEQ, addressed to Larry McKinney at the Texas Parks
5
6
   & Wildlife Department, Bates range State A5535 to 5538.
7
                 And, Your Honor, do you want me to offer
8
   them individually or collectively?
9
                 THE COURT: You may do them collectively at
10
   the end.
11
                 MR. BENEDICT: TCEQ No. 2 is a
12
   December 1st, 2005 letter from the Texas Commission
13
   on Environmental Quality, addressed to Mr. Samuel
14
   J. Coleman at the EPA in Dallas, Bates range State A5548
15
   to 5550.
16
                 TCEQ 3 is an April 11th, 2006 letter from
   the Texas Parks & Wildlife Department to Colonel Steve
17
18
   Haustein at the Army Corps of Engineers, Bates labeled
19
   State A5551 to 5553.
20
                 TCEQ 4 is a July 28th, 2006 letter from the
21
   TCEQ and, again, this is to Industrial Maintenance
22
   Corporation, Bates range State A5527 to 5530.
23
                 TCEQ 5 is a July 28th letter from the Texas
24
   Commission on Environmental Quality to Waste Management
25
   of Texas, Bates range State A5531 through 5534.
```

TCEQ Exhibit 6 may duplicate another party's exhibit which is not in, is a letter on the letterhead of Waste Management, dated September 14th, 2006, to Marshall Cedilote at the TCEQ, but referencing McGinnes Industrial Maintenance Corporation. That's Bates range State A5480 to 5520.

And finally, Kim, and I'll have to read this one into the record. TCEQ 7 is a TCEQ Screening Site Inspection Report on the San Jacinto River waste pits, prepared in cooperation with the US Environmental Protection Agency, September 2006, Bates range State A0309811 through and including 311314. And it's actually in two separate redwell folders.

THE COURT: The objections are sustained to TCEQ Exhibits 1 through 7, for the reasons that I've mentioned before in terms of previous rulings the Court has given, in addition to the fact that I think many of them are covered by the stipulation that is going to be read to the jury.

MR. BENEDICT: Your Honor, for the record, we would object to the overruling and I can go ahead and make the Offer of Proof. I offer TCEQ 1 through 7 now in an Offer of Proof for an equitable Bill of Review.

1 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Benedict. 2 Court's ruling stands. The objections continue to be sustained to Exhibits 1 through 7, and that should take 3 care of it for purposes of your Offer of Proof on the 4 record. 5 MR. BENEDICT: 6 Yes. 7 THE COURT: Thank you. We can go off the 8 record. 9 (After a lunch break, the following was had:) 10 (Jury Present) THE COURT: Please be seated. 11 12 Mr. Benedict, do you want me to address the 13 issues with regard to TCEQ? 14 MR. BENEDICT: Yes, I do. 15 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I have 16 two things to advise you. Number one, the parties have agreed that the TCEQ will present its claims for 17 18 attorney's fees to the Court; and two, the parties have 19 entered into a stipulation about the TCEQ. And I'm 20 going to read that to you now. "After the TCEQ received the Texas Parks 21 22 & Wildlife Department's April 2005 letter regarding dredging, the TCEQ continued sampling sediments as part 23 24 of a Total Maximum Daily Load water quality study of the 25 Houston Ship Channel system, and participated with the

```
United States Environmental Protection Agency," the EPA,
1
2
   "in investigating the site. The investigation efforts
3
   are documented in a five-volume report of approximately
   2,000 pages, dated September 2006, and entitled
4
   'Screening Site Inspection Report' prepared by the TCEQ
5
   and submitted to the EPA.
6
7
                 "In October 2008, the TCEQ requested that
8
   the United States Army Corps of Engineers," the Corps of
9
   Engineers, "suspend the dredging permit which had been
   extended by the Corps of Engineers in December 2007."
10
                 Mr. Benedict?
11
12
                 MR. BENEDICT: With that, Your Honor, the
   TCEQ rests.
13
14
                 THE COURT: All right.
                                         Ladies and
   gentlemen, I'll ask you to step out briefly and take
15
   care of a few matters; and we'll bring you back in for
16
17
   the next part of the case.
18
                 (Jury not present)
19
                 THE COURT:
                             Please be seated.
20
                 Mr. Stanfield.
21
                 MR. STANFIELD: Your Honor, International
22
   Paper has two motions to make.
23
                 First, for all of the reasons that we've
24
   already argued yesterday and the day before to the
25
   Court, International Paper asks for an instructed
```

verdict on all claims that have been brought against it 1 2 in this case. 3 MR. REASONER: Waste Management of Texas joins that motion. 4 5 MS. HINTON: MIMC joins that motion, Your Honor, as well, for -- and the additional reasons that 6 7 MIMC stated yesterday, as well, in the instructed verdict motion. 9 MR. REASONER: As well as the additional 10 reasons stated by Waste Management of Texas, Your Honor. 11 MR. STANFIELD: Of course, International 12 Paper continues to incorporates all the arguments made by other parties for an instructed verdict. 13 14 THE COURT: And the motions for instructed or directed verdict filed by all of the defendants with 15 regard to the TCEQ are denied, except as to the one 16 motion for directed verdict that I have granted on the 17 18 one facility issue. 19 MR. STANFIELD: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 Second, International Paper moves that the 21 Court determine that TCEQ is not entitled to any fees. 22 As you know, we kept that as a live legal issue for the Court, even though the amount may be considered 23 24 reasonable; and we urge that because TCEQ has no claims

in this case on which it can be a prevailing party.

```
the Court is aware, TCEQ has not adopted all of the
1
   County's claims but, instead, is in this case because it
2
3
   is required to be in this case as a necessary and
   indispensable party. Without having any claims upon
4
   which it can prevail, it cannot recover fees.
5
   Therefore, we ask the Court to determine that TCEQ
6
7
   cannot recover any of its fees.
8
                 THE COURT:
                             Okay.
9
                 MR. REASONER: Waste Management of Texas
   joins that motion.
10
11
                 MS. HINTON: MIMC joins that motion, as
12
   well, Your Honor.
13
                 MR. BENEDICT: Very briefly, Your Honor,
14
   there is no doubt the TCEQ is a party. That's one half
   of the prevailing party question. The statute provides
15
   for, in the event -- it provides for recovery of
16
   attorney's fees if TCEQ is a prevailing party. I'm
17
18
   hard-pressed if a judgment is entered in this case in
19
   which TCEQ gets half the penalty, how you could not
20
   consider them a prevailing party. We would have a
21
   judgment. It could be abstracted, just like in any
22
   case.
                 The claim -- they talked about Harris
23
24
   County filed it, but as a matter of law under the
```

statute, the TCEQ has an interest in the outcome of

```
that. So we're joined at the hip on the claim. I think
1
2
   by any reasonable definition of prevailing party, and I
3
   have looked at some cases, the Buchanan Bed, a favorable
   Supreme Court case, getting relief is all that's
4
              We would get relief in the sense of a
5
   required.
   judgment. So I think we're a prevailing party and we
6
7
   would ask the directed verdict be denied.
                             The motion for directed verdict
8
                 THE COURT:
9
   of all of the defendants is denied. If we need to
10
   address it further at the time that the attorney's fees
11
   are presented to the Court, we can do so at that time.
12
                 With that, are the defendants ready to
13
   proceed?
14
                 MR. CARTER: Yes, Your Honor.
15
                 (Jury Present)
16
                 THE COURT:
                             Please be seated.
17
                 Mr. Carter.
18
                 MR. CARTER: Your Honor, at this time we
   would call Robert Zoch.
19
20
                 THE COURT: Would you raise your right hand
21
   to be sworn?
22
                          ROBERT ZOCH,
23
   having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
24
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
25
   QUESTIONS BY MR. CARTER:
```

- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Zoch.
- A. Good afternoon.

- Q. Would you give us your full name, please?
- A. Yes. Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Robert Zoch. That's spelled Z-o-c-h.
 - Q. Mr. Zoch, what do you do for a living?
- A. I'm a chemical engineer and a registered professional engineer in the State of Texas. Currently, I do environmental consulting as my primary line of work.
- Q. All right, sir. And in connection with this case, Mr. Zoch, we've asked you to look at a number of documents that have been gathered in the case; and you've also done some investigation yourself?
- A. That's correct. I've examined the numerous documents that have been available in this case, and I have also done some of my own research and collected documents from my files that I maintain.
- Q. All right, sir. And in that connection, we've asked you to look at those historical documents in connection with your expertise, and your expertise goes back some years?
- A. I would agree with that.
- Q. So how long have you lived here in the Houston or South Texas area?

- A. Well, I've lived in Texas all my life. I was actually born here in Houston at St. Joseph's Hospital, a couple miles back this way (indicating); and I've lived most of my life here in Houston. Currently, I live in Lincoln, Texas, which is little farming community about 50 miles this side of Austin.
 - Q. And did you go to school?

- A. Yes. I went to school here in Houston and to the University of Houston, is where I did my higher education.
- Q. What degree did you graduate with from the University of Houston?
- A. I obtained a bachelor of science in chemical engineering from U of H in 1968, and then I continued on in graduate school in the fields of chemical engineering, civil engineering, and chemistry.
- Q. All right, sir. And then during the time that you were attending school, did you also work?
- A. Yes. The University of Houston had what was known as the cooperative education program, wherein we could alternate school and work semesters, thereby gaining hands-on experience, as it were, in the kind of activities that we were going to school for.

I went to work for a petrochemical plant down in Dickinson, just south of here, when I was a

sophomore and alternated school and work semesters at that plant through 1968.

- Q. All right, sir. Then what did you do after you graduated from college?
- A. Well, I had been through all of the businesses of that particular plant, from research and development through process design and operations. So when I graduated, I became the -- the senior engineer -- senior plant engineer for that particular location.
- Q. All right, sir. And then if you could give us just a little bit of your background from that point forward.
- A. Sure. That was in 1968. In 1970 I became plant manager of the -- of the plant. At that time I also was asked to assist the corporate group. The corporate office was Marathon Manufacturing Company that was also headquartered in Houston. Since I had worked in some plant environmental issues going back to 1965, they asked me if I would also assist the corporation in some of the emerging environmental issues that were coming about in 1970. So I was plant manager and also the technical representative to the corporate environmental control committee.
- Q. All right, sir. Did you at some point leave, I guess it was Marathon?

- A. Yes. Well, I left the plant first and went to work full-time with Marathon. I moved back to Houston from Dickinson and went to work for the corporate office as director of environmental control. Marathon had about 30 operating facilities around the United States, so I had the environmental responsibility to coordinate their response to the new environmental regulations for all those facilities.
- That lasted through 1974, October 1974. In the had set up a corporate environmental laboratory. I bought that laboratory from Marathon, formed my own consulting organization, and then consulted back to Marathon as my first client. That really is what launched me into a consulting business.
 - Q. All right, sir. And that was in the year 1974?
 - A. '74, yes, sir.

- Q. And then how long did you maintain that consulting business?
- A. Well, my business continued to grow and flourish with the new environmental regulations that were occurring; and in 1987, I took the company public on the American Stock Exchange. And in 1990 it was sold.
- Q. All right, sir. And then did you -- are you still associated with that company at this point in

time?

A. No, I'm not. At the time, the parent company that bought my company asked me to head up the international technology commercialization program for environmental technologies. So I spent a lot of time in Europe and other countries exporting some of the technologies that my company had developed for application here in the United States to those other countries. I did that for a few years, and then I formed -- I returned to consulting, really, by forming Zoch Consultants, which is me, actually. And that's the company that I operate under now.

- Q. During the time period that you operated your company back in the -- that you formed in '74 up until the '80s or late '80s, early '90s, what type of work was that consulting company doing?
- A. Well, I -- I had experience, having been in the corporate office, of dealing with all of the new air and water and environmental regulations; but the -- what was on the horizon were the industrial waste regulations that were ultimately passed in 1976 on the federal level. So with the passage of those regulations, with those laws, rather, and implementing regulations that came about in 1980, I developed a practice in industrial waste management, solid and hazardous waste, control,

treatment and disposal.

- Q. And because of your time, not only in that area in the '70s, but going back even until when you were in college and working for the pharmaceutical company in Dickinson, had you gained experience over time with the way the regulations involving solid waste disposal have developed over time?
- A. Absolutely. In 1965 there were no regulations and Texas didn't pass a Solid Waste Act until 1969. And I have maintained a working relationship in terms of how those regulations developed and what they required of industry and their response to those regulations.
- Q. All right. So have you worked with other companies in your environmental consulting role to assist them in managing industrial or other waste issues?
- A. Many. As a matter of fact, the companies on the Houston Ship Channel were my primary clients initially; and as the company grew, we developed a national presence. We had 30 offices in the United States, Canada, Mexico, Germany, France. And in doing so, we developed a working relationship with many of the larger companies in the country.

I maintained a working consulting business, even though I was president of the company. I had

administrative assistants that dealt with a lot of the running the company, because I liked working with the companies and solving their problems.

- Q. And as part of your consulting role over the last few years, have you worked in situations where there have been Superfund sites that have been developed and where your expertise has been sought?
- A. Yes. When the Superfund program came about, that was a normal extension of my work in industrial waste management; and I worked personally on over a hundred Superfund sites and virtually all of them in our area of Texas.
- Q. As you know, we've retained you to assist us in this case to provide testimony and your knowledge and experience concerning the development of industrial waste regulations in Texas and the work that was done here in connection with these impoundments.
 - A. That's correct.

- MR. CARTER: Jenn, if you could show the first slide.
- Q. Just so we're on the same page -- just so we're on the same page, Mr. Zoch, I wanted to show you the agreement that the parties and the jury has heard before, that this is not a case about a Superfund process. Okay? Even though you may have been involved

- in other cases involving the Superfund process, this case is not about that. Do you understand that?
 - A. That's my understanding. It's not about cleaning up the site.
 - Q. Yes. And it's not about the removal or the remediation of a site that's being performed and that's being paid for by the defendants here, and it's not about whether or not involvement -- participating in the Superfund process has any bearing on whether a party is liable under the Texas statutes being claimed here. And do you understand that?
 - A. I understand.

- Q. Now, in connection with your consulting, have you also been retained in the past by the Connelly Baker firm that is representing the -- that's representing Harris County here?
 - A. Yes. On one occasion.
- Q. All right, sir.
- A. Actually, I did work with members of the firm, too, on some of these Superfund sites.
- Q. And in connection with your work for the Connelly Baker firm in the past, have you actually been involved in the consulting role, similar to consulting with the attorneys like you've done here?
- 25 A. Yes.

- And did you testify on the law firm's behalf or 1 Q. on their client's behalf? 2
 - I wrote expert reports. We did not do any testimony. Those cases resolved themselves.
 - Q. All right. Were you asked in this case by the Connelly Baker firm to assist them in connection with the development of their case, going forward?
- 8 Α. Early on I did receive a call from Mr. Connelly, yes.
- 10 That's Mr. Connelly with the Connelly Baker Q. firm? 11
- 12 Yes, sir. Α.

4

5

6

7

15

16

- 13 Q. And did he send you some information about that? 14
 - Yes. We talked on the telephone, and he told me he would send me the complaint, or the pleadings.
- And I -- I did receive those. 17
- 18 Q. All right. And then what happened after that?
- 19 Α. Well, I didn't hear anything from Mr. Connelly for a pretty good while; but after I'd read the 20 21 pleadings, I pretty much decided that I would not work 22 on that project on behalf of the plaintiffs.
- 23 Q. All right. And then at some point you received a call from someone associated with one of the 24 defendants?

- A. Several months later, I think in November of 2013, I did receive a call from defendants.
 - Q. All right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- MR. CARTER: Jenn, let's go to the next slide.
- Ω. I want to direct our attention here, Mr. Zoch, a little bit. What I have asked you to do in the case is to, as I mentioned -- let's go back to the -- to the time frame that we're talking about here in the '60s and -- '65 and '66. I want to discuss with you the environmental regulations that existed for the disposal of -- for the disposal of waste during that period of I also want to discuss with you some of the documents that you've reviewed as far as your historical practice, your knowledge to -- of Harris County's involvement, through Dr. Quebedeaux a little bit, and then some of the other defendants may wish to question you about some of the other issues in the case, such as -- such as your knowledge about and investigation of dredging aspects of the case.
 - A. Fine.
- Q. But first I want to focus your attention on what was said by the County in opening statement. And I put this slide up here because the County came forward and said that "This case is not about putting the paper

- mill sludge in the impoundments at the beginning." And the way I interpret that statement, they're not talking about -- the County is not talking about and no longer talking about the actual disposal of the waste.
- A. Yes, from that statement, that's the way I interpret it as well.
- 7 MR. CARTER: Let's go to the next slide, 8 Jenn.
 - Q. Further on, he said, "This case is not about putting it in the site." In other words, it's not about disposing of the waste in the site. Is that your interpretation of it?
 - A. It is. That is what he said.
 - Q. Then he went further and he said, "It's about what happened after and the failure to maintain and look after the sludge for the next 35 years."
 - A. That seems to be the focus of the plaintiffs' case.
 - Q. And that the failure to maintain is the failure to maintain the site?
 - A. Right.

Q. Now, I want to ask you some questions about IP,
International Paper, and Champion's responsibility for
the site post the work done at the site and also during
the time of the site; but I want to show you a couple

1 more slides first. 2 MR. CARTER: Let's go to the next slide, 3 Jenn. 4 Q. We heard the other day from Dr. Pardue, who testified for Harris County, and he was asked the 5 6 question: 7 "Dr. Pardue, do you recall when you 8 appeared as the corporate representative for Harris 9 County in this case and you were asked who was responsible for maintaining the pits, you did not 10 11 identify Champion and you did not identify International 12 Paper?" 13 And his answer was, "I recall that, yes." 14 Were you here for Dr. Pardue's testimony? Yes, I was. 15 Α. So what he's saying there, and correct me if I 16 Q. am wrong, but that Harris County is not -- is saying 17 18 that International Paper and Champion were not 19 responsible for maintaining the pits. Is that your 20 interpretation of that? 21 Α. That's the way I read that testimony, yes. 22 MR. CARTER: Let's go to the next slide, 23 Jenn. 24 Ω. We haven't heard from an expert that's been 25 retained by Harris County yet, but we will hear some

testimony from him and his name is Dr. Davis Ford. Are vou familiar with Dr. Ford?

A. Yes, I know Dr. Ford well.

- Q. Have you worked on cases in the past with Dr. Ford?
- A. On occasion we have worked on the same case together, yes.
 - Q. Does he have generally the same background and experience that you do?
 - A. Yes. Dr. Ford comes more from the wastewater background, where I come more from the industrial waste background. But we have represented similar and many times the same clients, and sometimes together. So, yes, we do have similar backgrounds.
 - Q. All right. Well, we'll hear from Dr. Ford, who is the expert from Harris County; but I want to show you some testimony because I want to see if there is any dispute between the County and you concerning who had responsibility for the ongoing maintenance obligation on the site or whether or not International Paper or Champion did, okay?
 - A. Okay.
- Q. So the first question is: "Now let me ask you this: Would an entity that never owned the site have any ongoing maintenance obligations after discontinuing

```
1
   its disposal operations on that site?
2
                 "ANSWER: You're talking about '60s?
3
                 "QUESTION:
                             Yes.
                 "ANSWER: You're right.
4
                             They wouldn't have any ongoing
5
                 "QUESTION:
   maintenance obligations on a site?
6
7
                 "ANSWER: Yes.
8
                 "QUESTION: That they didn't own, right?
9
                 "ANSWER: I'm sorry, yes."
10
                 The next slide, please:
11
                 "QUESTION: And back in the '60s, they
12
   didn't have any continuing maintenance obligations on a
   site they didn't own?
13
14
                 "ANSWER:
                           That's right.
                             And in addition, you're not
15
                 "QUESTION:
16
   aware of any post-closure requirements at the time these
   parties ceased taking waste to that site?
17
18
                 "ANSWER: I'm not aware of that."
19
                 Do you agree with Dr. Ford in the -- in his
   opinions that International Paper and Champion, who did
20
21
   not own this site, had any ongoing maintenance
22
   obligations regarding the site post closure?
23
            That's correct. Back in the '60s there was no
        Α.
24
   such obligation, and Dr. Ford -- his opinion reflects
25
   mine as well.
```

1 MR. CARTER: Let's go to the next slide, 2 Jenn. 3 I'll come back to that one. So if I understand, then, correctly, 4 Mr. Zoch, in your opinion, neither Champion -- Champion, 5 nor International Paper had any maintenance obligations 6 7 regarding this site post the operations ceasing in 1966? 8 Α. Right, that's my opinion as well. 9 Q. All right. Let's turn for a minute, because as we've talked about, we've reviewed the testimony of --10 and the documents. Let's turn for a minute to the 11 12 actual regulations that existed in the 1960s, and now we're going back to the mid '60s. And in opening 13 14 statement someone even showed the fact that the Astrodome had just first opened in 1965 when this 15 operation was ongoing, or just starting. 16 Α. I was there. 17 18 Q. Did -- did -- were there any waste regulations 19 in existence that governed how the -- this operation was 20 to take place? And when I say "this operation," I'm 21 talking about the removal of waste from Champion's 22 facility and placing it, by a contractor, into a

MR. WOTRING: Your Honor, I'm going to object. It calls for a legal conclusion.

disposal site such as this.

THE COURT: It will not be taken as a legal conclusion. You may answer, sir.

MR. WOTRING: Can I have a running objection on that line?

THE COURT: You may.

- A. Yes, there really were no regulations regarding solid waste back in the mid '60s. Those had not been formulated yet. There were no regulations that affected how the wastes were placed into the pits at the time.
- Q. (By Mr. Carter) So how did companies deal with waste? I mean, every company -- or everyone had waste back then.
- A. Well, you got to put yourself back in that time period, because the way the Houston Ship Channel industry and Texas industry in general developed is it flourished after World War II; but most of the waste materials wound up in their liquid waste streams and they were just discharged to the streams back in those days. And so there wasn't a whole lot of waste generated.

To the extent there was solid waste or sludge generated, most plants had an area in their back 40, as it were, in the back of the plant where they could just bury it on the site, so that was the primary way of handling it.

In the Houston area, offshore dumping in the Gulf of Mexico became a large way of handling problem streams at the time. So during the time period in question that we're talking about, those were the primary ways that those wastes were handled.

- Q. In dealing with offsite disposal, how did that come into existence?
- A. Well, when a plant didn't have enough space or ran out of space to dispose of their materials on site, they turned to their maintenance contractors to see whether they had someplace they could take it. And so some of the maintenance contractors, especially those that had vacuum trucks or dump trucks, began hauling industrial waste offsite as a service to their clients under their maintenance contracts.

So that started occurring about this time, too, in the early '60s; but more importantly, back in the late '60s -- late '60s when some of the other laws came into effect, that became a standard practice.

- Q. All right, sir. And so at this point in time, was there anything unusual in the way that Champion was -- was maintaining its -- its waste or disposing of its waste?
- A. Well, actually, Champion's operations were a bit ahead of their time because they actually put in

separation devices to take the material out of the water, take these solids out of the water, before they discharged their effluent to the Houston Ship Channel. So unlike some of the other industries on the Ship Channel, they were actually doing some treatment in advance of there being any regulations.

- Q. All right. And in connection with this particular removal and disposal operation where Champion contracted -- we've heard testimony about that, and we'll get into that a little bit more -- but Champion contracted with a third party to remove its waste from its facility and deposit it into an offsite disposal operation. Was there anything unusual about what Champion did in connection with that?
- A. No. That became one of the areas of practice.

 As a matter of fact, the whole industry was born in

 dealing with those kinds of waste management activities

 about that time.
- Q. Was it unusual for companies to seek out the head of the water and pollution control organization for Harris County to seek his approval for that type of operation, or to require his contractor to obtain that approval?
 - A. That was unusual.
- Q. Why was -- why do you say that?

A. Well, the chief environmental officer for Harris County was dealing primarily with air and water concerns, and he was in an enforcement capacity in that role. He really wasn't managing solid waste disposal issues.

So for Champion to have required their contractor to approach Dr. Quebedeaux, and I'm sure you've heard his name already, but for them to require their contractor to approach him for approval went above and beyond normal practice at the time.

- Q. And was there any requirement for Champion to get a permit to dispose of the waste? Was that the reason why they were approaching Harris County?
- A. No, there were no permitting requirements on any level at the time, state, federal, or local. The issue was just to -- recognizing Dr. Quebedeaux's position and his passion for environmental control in Harris County, they felt, I guess, that it would be appropriate and prudent to do so.
 - Q. All right. Did you know Dr. Quebedeaux?
- A. I did.

- Q. How did you know him?
- A. I met Dr. Quebedeaux on several instances. I guess the first time was when I was still in college at the University of Houston. I guess in the Winter of

1967, Dr. Quebedeaux came out and was complaining about the chemistry department emitting visible emissions from the fume hoods in the chemistry labs and he was going to fine the chemistry department. So they sent him over to the chemical engineering department to see if we could -- we could negotiate with him. And I had done some work in air pollution control, so I wound up being the one to meet with Dr. Quebedeaux, have him explain to me what his concern was, and assure him that we would, in fact, solve the chemistry department's problems so he didn't have to shut them down.

Q. And because you were operating in Dickinson, did you follow the activities of Dr. Quebedeaux over time?

A. Yes. In 1970, I had corporate responsibilities, as well, and Marathon had several facilities here in Harris County, Richmond Tank Car Company, the Metallic Building Company, several other facilities in Harris County that Dr. Quebedeaux visited on occasion.

I also just kept up with him just from reading the newspapers because since I started working in the environmental area in 1965, I was interested in seeing just where the only enforcement program in the area was going.

- Q. And when you say "the only enforcement program," you're talking about Dr. Quebedeaux's activities?
- A. Yes, the Harris County air and stream control division.
- Q. Now, we talked about 1965 for a permit. Was there a permit requirement in '65 or '66?
 - A. No, neither year.

- Q. All right. So -- but when the contractor obtained the letter -- the letters, and we'll talk about those in just a second, what did that -- what does that signify to you?
- A. Well, as I said, it was kind of going above and beyond the requirement of the time; but they were seeking Dr. Quebedeaux's approval for the techniques proposed by the contractor. In fact, Dr. Quebedeaux assisted in the design and then the approval of proposed operations.
 - Q. All right.
- MR. CARTER: Let's go to Exhibit No. 12, Jenn, Defendants' Exhibit 12.
- Q. We've seen this before. I just want to take you back now to little bit before the operation -- the actual disposal operations began. I'd like to talk about the time frame in March of 1965.

Have you reviewed this document in connection with your investigation and work here?

A. I have.

- Q. And in that connection, this is a recordation of a phone call from Dr. Quebedeaux on March 6 of 1995, and it's between -- and you understand Mr. Roderick and Mr. Henderson are with Champion?
- A. Yes. I've seen other documents that say that Mr. Roderick was the division manager and Mr. Henderson was the administrative manager, so they're both in management of Champion Paper Company at the time.
- Q. All right. And he refers in the second bullet, No. 2, "Was asked and did view Mr. Burns' method of handling and disposing of the sludge." Just to refresh everyone's memory, that's Mr. Bobby Burns who was with Ole Peterson and also had an engineering company, Burma Engineering?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And so what do you take from this -- this entry in this memorandum?
- A. Well, this is a telephone conversation that's being relayed that Dr. Quebedeaux had seen Mr. Burns' method of handling and disposing of the sludge. So they had followed Champion's -- Burns had followed Champion's guidance to approach Dr. Quebedeaux and tell him what he

1 was up to.

- Q. Was this showing that this was -- that Champion and the contractor were attempting to be aboveboard with the County?
 - A. Absolutely.
- Q. And, in fact, in No. 3 you mentioned this is the recordation that "He approved" -- he, being Dr. Quebedeaux -- "approved Burns' method of developing a pond and storing of these waste materials at the mouth of the San Jacinto River?
 - A. Right, that's what's conveyed.
- Q. And then "Dr. Quebedeaux went further to say that he had inspected Burns' equipment and thought it was the best he had seen"?
- 15 A. Correct.
 - Q. Had Burns developed some specific equipment for this operation, itself?
 - A. Yes. I've seen some brochures from Burma Engineering that they had developed what they called a power pump, which was a method for transferring heavy sludges that were otherwise difficult to pump. And I believe that's probably what Dr. Quebedeaux was referring to.
- Q. And then Dr. Quebedeaux relayed in this memo 25 that he thought that the Burns' method was the most

satisfactory of any that he knew of for removal and disposal of the waste?

- A. Right. The entire technique of how it got from the plant to the location, how it was managed at the location, that was Dr. Quebedeaux's impression.
- Q. All right. So what do you -- what do you take away from this document in connection with your knowledge of disposal practices back in the '60s and your involvement and knowledge of Dr. Quebedeaux?
- A. Well, I think that Dr. Quebedeaux had become convinced that the proposal to manage this sludge that Mr. Burns had proposed for Champion was the right way to go.
- 14 Q. All right. And we've also seen Defendants'
 15 Exhibit 1436 --

MR. CARTER: Jenn.

- Q. -- which is the ultimate contract that was signed April 29th of 1965. I believe that's the right date. It's hard to read on this. But -- so is it your view that had Dr. Quebedeaux not given his approval to go forward with this disposal operation, that this agreement would never have been entered into by Champion?
- MR. WOTRING: Objection, calls for speculation.

THE COURT: Please rephrase.

Q. (By Mr. Carter) Do you have an opinion as to whether or not Champion would have gone forward -- based upon your review of all the information concerning this operation, do you have a view, an opinion, as to whether Champion would have even gone forward with this operation?

MR. WOTRING: Same objection.

THE COURT: Counsel, approach.

(After a bench discussion outside the hearing of the reporter and jury, the following proceedings were had:)

THE COURT: Sustained.

- Q. (By Mr. Carter) What is the significance of this contract, as far as from your -- from your viewpoint, Mr. Zoch?
- A. Well, this is a contract made between Champion and Ole Peterson Construction, which is one of Mr. Burns' companies; and this sort of culminates all of the planning that Champion had done in its due diligence of looking for an appropriate way of managing their waste. So this contract sort of is the embodiment of that effort.
- Q. In fact, from your review of the documents, there had been even trial runs to -- to manage this

waste and dispose of it?

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- A. That's correct. There was a trial or a pilot run, as they called it, to demonstrate that Mr. Burns' equipment would work in this process.

 They also did some -- took Dr. Quebedeaux -- I think Mr. Burns and his wife actually took Dr. Quebedeaux out to the site so they could see it firsthand, so he could see it firsthand. So all of this was done in advance of this contract being entered into.
 - Q. And was that the type of work that a company back in the '60s would do in explaining -- going through the detailed process of explaining to the County authority for how its waste was going to be disposed?
 - A. In my experience, that was very unusual at the time. There was no requirement for that, and most companies did not do that at the time.
 - MR. CARTER: Let's go to Exhibit No. 30, Defendants' Exhibit 30.
 - Q. And do you recognize this document, Mr. Zoch?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And this is the first letter from
- Dr. Quebedeaux addressed to Burma Engineering
 concerning -- concerning his view of the location and
 the -- and the description of the site?
- A. Right. This is an approval letter to Mr. Burns

from Dr. Quebedeaux, based upon what he had seen and what he had heard from Mr. Burns about the plan.

- Q. All right. And in the first paragraph, as we talked about previously here in court, he describes that he believes "the soil pond that we viewed again yesterday seems to be ideal for the purpose for which you intend to use it."
 - A. That's what he said.

- Q. And he talks about the sides and the dikes being composed of clay, practically impossible for there to be seepage to escape into the river?
- A. Which was what Dr. Quebedeaux's concern was. So, yes, I agree, he drew that conclusion.
- Q. Then in the second paragraph -- and I want to address that for a minute. Dr. Quebedeaux goes further and says, "I would like to remind you," -- and again, "you" because it's being addressed to Burma -- it's addressing this letter to Burma Engineering, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. "I would like to remind you again that your waste handling operation should be done in a manner which would not allow any liquid waste to leave the property and escape into the river."

What's he -- from your understanding of the operation, what is Dr. Quebedeaux relating there?

- A. What he's telling Mr. Burns is that while you are out there doing this waste disposal at this site and transferring water and all the other things that go with it, which we'll talk about here in a little while, I suppose, while you're doing all this, you got to make sure that that operation doesn't allow liquid waste, contaminated material, contaminated liquids to leave the property.
 - Q. And then he follows up by saying, "We believe this could be done easily, but of necessity would require some careful handling."
 - A. That's Dr. Quebedeaux's admonition, yes.
 - Q. And that's the admonition to Burma Engineering to ensure that he uses care when he makes these transfers of the waste from the barge onto the -- to be disposed of in the waste site?
 - A. That's what Dr. Quebedeaux is inferring, yes.
- Q. Let's go to Exhibit 1131, Defendants' 1131, please. Have you reviewed this document before,
- 20 Mr. Zoch?

- A. I have.
- Q. All right. This is a note, is it not, from
 Mr. Roderick. It has "B," but it was Robert Roderick,
 Bob Roderick, to Jim Henderson within Champion; is that
 right?

- A. I believe that's the kind of conclusion I came to as well.
- Q. The note says, and it's short, so I'll just read it. "I have told Burns that this is so -- this is very nebulous in that it does not locate the property. He has gone back to Dr. Q for another letter."

Is he referring to the May 25, 1965 letter at this point in time?

- A. That's my impression, because the letter we just looked at, the May '65 letter, did not specifically say where the site was. It just said "the one he viewed yesterday." So the guys are talking about maybe getting something more specific to the actual location.
 - Q. And did that happen?
- 15 A. **It did**.

MR. CARTER: Let's look at Exhibit 14 -- excuse me, Exhibit 11.

- Q. And did that -- did that change what is now the June 11, 1965 letter from Dr. Quebedeaux?
- A. Yeah, a couple things about this letter. First of all, it's actually on Harris County letterhead. So this is more of a formal letter than what we saw before. Harris County Health Unit letterhead to Burma

25 And in this letter it says virtually the

Engineering again, attention Mr. Bobby Burns.

- same thing in terms of the two paragraphs, but it also now identifies the location as being on the west bank of the San Jacinto River, just north of the Highway 73 bridge, which is the site in discussion in this matter.
- Q. All right. Everything else about it is "ideal for the purposes for which you intend to use it." And then he says "in which Burns intends to use it," correct?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. And "This is particularly so since the bottom and the sides, dikes, are made of clay, which should render it practically impossible for seepage."
- A. Same language as before; that was Dr. Quebedeaux's conclusion.
 - Q. The same language to Mr. Burns before, for during the operation, "Use care so that waste or water does not go into the river during transport."
- A. Yeah. He was worried about liquid waste during the operation leaving the site, and that's -- that was his further admonition.
- 21 Q. All right. Taking these letters together, what 22 is the significance to your opinions?
- A. Well, first of all, this tells me that this was
 a preapproved site by the County; and they actually -even though there was no permitting requirement, this,

in effect, was an approval with some special conditions that applied to how the site should be operated.

It was unusual at the time, but it did give guidance to Mr. Burns on how he was supposed to conduct himself with respect to handling Champion's waste.

Q. All right, sir. Now, based upon your knowledge of the regulations back at that point in time, were there any rules or regulations or guidelines that applied to Champion at this point in time for the care of the site once the site was no longer being used?

MR. WOTRING: Your Honor, again, I'll object to calling for a legal conclusion to the extent he's going to offer a legal opinion from the stand.

THE COURT: It will not be taken as a legal opinion.

- A. No, there were no such regulations.
- Q. (By Mr. Carter) Let's turn our attention now to the site, itself. Let's go to, I believe, it's Exhibit 386. And this is -- I believe it's the aerial -- an aerial photograph, and it's from 1964. Would this depict the land upon which -- that the site was being developed a year before actually the work started?
- A. Yes. This is 1964, so it was prior to any construction on the area; but I think y'all have

probably seen this before, but this is where the ultimate waste management unit was built in the following year.

- Q. Is there any significance about this opinion -this photograph to you, as you review it, from the
 selection of -- from the selection of the site and the
 way the site ultimately was developed?
- A. Well, the site actually was ideal, as Dr. Quebedeaux said, because it provided for barge transport to the area. So you wouldn't have to be taking hundreds of trucks through the City of Pasadena to get to this site. Barge transport was the obvious best way to get this volume of material to a disposal location. So the fact that we have an adjacent area to the river, which could receive barge traffic, as evidenced by the barges around there, that tells me this is a pretty good place.
- Q. All right. What about the configuration of the land, itself? Is there anything about --
- A. Well, there is kind of a ridge in the middle of this site; and the way the area was developed is the actual waste part -- waste management part was on the west side of that ridge and then some water management was on the east side of that ridge. That, again, the lay of the land was useful for how the facility was

going to be designed and operated.

2 MR. CARTER: Let's go to the '66 3 photograph, Jenn.

- Q. Now we see in this 1966 photo that the -- that the -- as Dr. Quebedeaux indicated, the -- the site has now been configured?
- A. Yes. This is -- this is the waste management system that was constructed by Mr. Burns, or one of his contractors. It provides for a sludge management unit and a water management unit.
- Q. What we've been calling the western impoundment, is that what you're referring to as the sludge management unit of the site?
- A. Right. That's the way the system worked, is that sludge was deposited in the western part of the system and the western impoundment, if you will, and then it was de-watered from -- the water that was in the material as it was delivered to the site, or any rainfall was conveyed into the eastern part of the system, or the eastern impoundment for return back to the Champion Paper Mill.
- Q. While we're getting oriented, what I'll call the circle in the middle, what is that?
- A. Yeah. There's a little extension of the western impoundment within the eastern wastewater

impoundment. That was added later. From the documentation, I believe that was added in December of 1965, because the western impoundment was filling up and they weren't quite finished with the project. So they needed a little bit more capacity.

There was capacity in the adjacent water management part of the system, so they added an additional part of the cell to expand the western sludge management unit.

- Q. You mentioned the de-watering process. Why was -- why was the sludge or the waste needing to be de-watered?
- A. Well, these sludges were generated by settling out of the mill's wastewater system. So it was a solid, if you will, that settled into their -- their ponds, which had to be removed. And the material would set up so hard that the only way to remove it would be to jet it out using high pressure water.

And when you use high pressure water and using Bobby Burns' technique of this RotoClone, as he called it, it required that there be enough water in it so it could be transferred hydraulically into the barge.

Then when it got to the site it was unloaded that way. It was a slurry, if you will. So there was water and solids together that went into the

western impoundment. And when it went in there, it
would settle out, just like it did at the plant
originally. The solids would settle to the bottom, the
water would rise to the top. And the way the system was
designed, there was a pipe that went through the
intermediate dike that would allow the water to overflow
into the water management part of the unit.

Similarly, we get a lot of rain around Houston, so when it rained on the western part of the dike, that water could also be managed in the water management part of the unit.

- Q. Then where did the water go that went into the eastern impoundment?
- A. The way the system worked is that water that was collected in the eastern impoundment would be loaded back onto the barge and go back down the Houston Ship Channel to the Champion mill and be unloaded there and be put into their wastewater treatment system.
- Q. Did we develop an animation that would describe this process?
 - A. Yes, we did.

- Q. So this -- does this depict generally what you had just described the process going forward?
- A. Yeah, these are kind of some schematics that give you the idea of how the -- how this process worked.

Would you like me to explain it?

- Q. Yes, please. Let's go to number one.
- A. Starting with the first one, this is just sort of a blowup of what this material looked like. It was a combination of fibrous material and inorganics, silts and lime and things from the pulping process, along with fibers, waste fibers that settled out at the plant. And they settled out in the plant basins and it was known as their paper mill sludge.

So that material -- as I said earlier, the only way to get it out once it settled in there and became hard is to use a high pressure water jet to actually cut the material, get it back into solution, so that Mr. Burns' HydroClone or Power Clone, as he called it, could then transfer that material into the barge.

There is various concentrations of water content that I've seen. Mr. Burns originally started with about a 10 to 12 percent concentration. There is evidence that --

- Q. Of water or sludge?
- A. I'm sorry?
 - Q. 10 to 12 percent?
- A. Sludge, I'm sorry. 10 to 12 percent sludge in water. There is evidence, though, that some of the later operations went as high as 25 to 30 percent solids

content.

But, anyway, regardless of what the solids content was, that material was then loaded into the barge. The next step, then, was to transfer that barge down the Houston Ship Channel and to the site. It was about a seven mile -- seven to eight-mile one-way trip. When it got there, it was then loaded -- off-loaded into the western impoundment, again by pumping from the barge the slurry, which contained the solids in the water, the percentages that we talked about would go into that and then they would consolidate. The water would overflow and go into the water collection basin or impoundment to the east.

And then periodically they would -- they would offload that water into barges and return it back to the mill for disposal through the wastewater treatment plant.

- Q. And why was it necessary to take the water back?
- A. Well, the site wasn't big enough to hold all the water and the sludge; and the concern that there could be a possibility of any release required that the water be, first of all, collected and then sent back to the mill for proper management.
 - Q. All right. And so the western impoundment is

where the sludge is being -- is being deposited? Is it compacting?

A. It does.

- Q. And that's what causes the water to go to the top?
- A. Correct. The sludge is heavier than the water, just like it was at the plant. That's why it settled out. So the material, as it settled out at the site, had the same properties and the water would float on top of it.

So as the level of the sludge grows, the level of the water rose, the extent that eventually they could open up any plug they had on this and allow the water to flow to the eastern part of the impoundment.

MR. CARTER: Let's go to the next slide.

- Q. So this is an aerial showing the upper pond and the lower pond. And this is showing the barge coming up to the site and to the filling point?
- A. Yeah. This is kind of an animation that shows how that worked. The barge could pull up and be berthed. Then there was a pipe that took it into -- piped it into the upper pond, as you call it. It was the western impoundment where the slurry was put. And that was the initial step in the process.
 - Q. So let's go to the next point. What does this

show?

A. Well, this shows where the de-watering point was, this being the filling point. The de-watering point was up at the north end from the center dike between the two sectors of the system, and that's where the water would then flow into the lower pond, which was at a slightly lower elevation and also slightly lower dikes.

- Q. And how could it be a slightly lower elevation than the upper or western impoundment?
- A. Well, it was just the lay of the land. The central portion of the property was at a higher elevation than the eastern part.
- Q. So the -- the contractor took advantage of the lay of the land to be able to use gravity to put the water to the eastern side?
 - A. That's a good way to put it, yes.
 - Q. And then what are we showing here?
- A. Well, this is kind of a cross-section. In other words, if you were to draw a line through the middle of these two ponds right at the unloading point and turn it on the side, this reflects the fact that the upper pond -- I lost it.
 - Q. Go back.
- 25 A. There we go. The upper pond is where the

sludge went out of the pipe, and then the water was drained into the lower pond.

Q. All right.

- A. Let's go to the next one.
- Q. What does this show?
- A. This shows, again, the cross-section and how that really went schematically. In other words, each time a barge would come in, you would have a batch of this material that would be put into the western part of the system. After time, the solids would settle, the water would continue to rise; and that's what's depicted in this little cartoon.
 - Q. All right. Let's continue.
- A. Yeah. At that point the upper pond had sludge settled in the bottom and also had water floating on the top, and that's when the water was allowed to flow through the center pipe into the water portion of the lagoon. Looking at a cross-section, and this time drawing the cross-section up here where the de-watering point is, you can see as the sludge filled up, the water would be on top. There would be some sort of a plug placed in the de-watering pipe during the time the stuff was settling, and that plug could then be removed and allow the water to flow into the lower pond, kind of as shown here graphically.

So the water basically would be decanted off of the sludge and then that could be transferred back. As you can see, it kind of sloped down toward the river, so that water then could be pumped back to the barge and taken back to the paper mill.

- Q. All right. And then the water goes back?
- A. Yeah. This is just the final step of that process, is pumping the water. I don't know exactly where that pipe was that went back to the barge, but it was somewhere on this side of the pond because that was the deepest part of it.
- Q. All right. So was -- in the way that this was engineered, Mr. Zoch, was it the intention of the contractor to use the eastern impoundment to be filled with sludge?
- A. No. It was -- it was the water management part of the system to prevent there being a discharge, as Dr. Quebedeaux had cautioned.
- Q. And we talked about it. If we go back to that aerial photograph from 1966, the '66 aerial, which I believe is -- yeah. This is what we see, and we see the circular part. Tell us again why they built that part.
- A. Yeah -- I'm sorry. Did I hear something?

 Apparently, the western part of the sludge-handling system was nearing capacity. It was becoming full, and

- as it was de-watered, it was getting close to the 1 2 de-watering pipe. So in order to finish the project, it 3 appeared that December of 1965 an additional internal dike was added, right here (indicating), into the 4 eastern impoundment, which basically just expanded the 5 capacity of the western part of the impoundment. 6
 - Well, why would they need to do that, if they Q. were going to use -- if they had all that room in the eastern impoundment to put sludge in?
 - Well, they didn't have that room to put sludge This had to be segregated for water only. That's the only way you could get sludge separation and return the water back to the mill.
 - Well, that's not what Dr. Pardue said. He said Q. that they put sludge in that eastern impoundment.
 - Α. Well, I disagree with that part of Dr. Pardue's testimony.
 - Q. Why is that?

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

- Α. Because the fact is the way this system is designed to operate, the sludge was segregated from the water in order to make sure that it could be returned back to the mill.
- 23 Q. All right. Was there -- I believe Dr. Pardue also said that the site had insufficient capacity to hold all of the sludge, even after the -- that circular

part in the center was built. Do you agree with that?

A. Well, I heard Dr. Pardue's testimony. He didn't really explain that very well to us, but I did see his report. And I looked at how he arrived at that conclusion, and basically how he arrived at that conclusion is he assumed that all of the sludge and all of the water that came out with the sludge, along with all of the rainfall, stayed out here in the pit. And he said there wasn't enough room for that.

Well, there may have been enough room; but he probably is right. It probably was getting tight. The deal was, though, that he didn't account for the fact that we not only have rainfall in Houston, we also have evaporation, especially during the summer months. If you look at historical records, there is more evaporation in Houston, on average, than there is rainfall. So he didn't account for evaporation, number one.

But the big thing he didn't account for is the fact that this water that was separated from the sludge was actually hauled back to the mill. As such, in my estimation there was plenty of room for the sludge in the two areas shown.

Now, it turns out we don't have good data on what the size of these pits are; but just a

back-of-the-envelope calculation, making some assumptions, it's my opinion there was plenty of room for the sludge, once de-watered, to fill those two areas of the site.

- Q. Did you see in your review of the documents and the materials that had been made available to you, evidence -- documentary evidence that water was actually barged back to the mill?
- A. Oh, yes. There are some notes that demonstrate that -- as I said earlier, they did this in campaigns, as they called it. In other words, they didn't just take a barge load back every day. When they gathered enough water in the eastern lagoon, they would then make several loads over a week's time period to get rid of all the water that had accumulated for that time period, so as to not risk overflowing the dike.
- Q. Was -- was there waste -- based upon the records and so forth, was there waste for any other facility in the Houston area deposited into this -- in this site by the contractor?
- A. No. And I think that's an important distinction. This is what would be known as a monofil in the parlance of west disposal. In other words, it took consistent type of waste from one particular source and that's all that went here. So once the material was

stabilized in this location, it contained no other kind of waste that might be incompatible.

Q. All right. Speaking of that, let's discuss for a moment the characteristics of the waste.

MR. CARTER: Let's go to Exhibit No. 17.

- Q. And this we've seen before, too, Mr. Zoch.

 It's the State Department of Health's report from -- I

 believe it's from a visit to the site in April of -
 22nd of 1966. I think the report was written in May of

 '66?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. So based upon your review of the documents, including the Department of Health documents, let's talk a little bit about the waste characteristics, the type of material it was. Can you describe it for me?
- A. Well, I mentioned awhile ago it was from the pulp processing part of the mill operations; and in that process there were inorganic materials and organic materials, as they're called. The inorganic is stuff like silt and lime, things that are not water soluble that will settle out of the water. They're heavier than water, and then also some organic materials. The organic materials are waste fibers, things that didn't meet the specifications for making paper. Those are the kinds of materials that would be in the sludge.

That would be in the wastewater stream, which at Champion was a very large volume amount of flow every day. That would flow out into the equalization and separation ponds and settle out. So it was a combination of fibrous material and inorganic solids, and that's what constituted the sludge material.

Q. All right, sir.

MR. CARTER: Let's turn to Page 2 of this document, and let's go up to the top third of it.

- Q. And the state investigator is saying -- he's describing in the subheading the quality of material removed. He says that "The material" -- in the second paragraph -- "appears to solidify rapidly." And then we have Mr. Henderson reporting to him that a vertical wall can be cut?
 - A. Right.
 - Q. What is the investigator describing there?
- A. Well, as he said earlier, analysis of the material is not available. There was virtually no analyses of waste materials from industrial sources done back in those days. There wasn't regulation. There wasn't any need to do analysis. So it's not surprising there wasn't an analysis of this material.

But this does give -- without a chemical analysis, it does give some physical properties of how

this stuff looked, and this is one of those physical properties. It appears to solidify rapidly; in other words, the material would settle and form a solid and it would do it very rapidly.

The critical thing here, too, is that if you cut a vertical wall in it, it would stand on its own. And so that says it had some strength, it wasn't like mud that would just flow out. It actually had some strength to stand in a sheer wall, and that tells you a little bit about the characteristics of the material.

- Q. In the paragraph before it says at the last sentence, it says, "Mr. McGinnes reported" -- and Mr. McGinnes at this point in time was with the contractor -- "reported that he had used it successfully for matting for his equipment in the disposal site."

 What did you take from that?
- A. Well, what matting is is a way to support heavy equipment operating in muddy conditions. And so within the disposal site, as it was being developed, apparently Mr. McGinnes was able to use some of this material to support his heavy equipment. So it -- it was -- it had some strength in that regard as well.
- Q. Then if we go back to the second paragraph, sort of the middle of that paragraph, it was also reported that "the material has set a short time, that

water will not penetrate it, that rain water will stand over it." What does that mean?

- A. Well, that would suggest the material had very low permeability, as it's called. In other words, water won't seep into it; and that's been confirmed with some testing that's been done out there at the site. The material actually has a very low permeability approaching that of clay, so that would say that the material, or water, rather, would stand on top of it, as we discussed earlier, in the way the system would operate.
- Q. Then it says in the next sentence, "It was further reported that grass can be started on the dry material and that it will spread rapidly, thus further cutting off water."

What was the investigator attempting to describe here?

A. That's an important parameter for a fill area because the establishment of a grass cover provides for water not standing on it and water not sulking into it, even in low permeability material. So what he's saying here is, number one, material is not phytotoxic, as they call it. Phytotoxicity means it's not toxic to plant life, so grass will grow on it without any concern for toxicity. But then it spreads rapidly so it provides an

additional cover to divert rainfall runoff.

- Q. All right. Then we go to the next paragraph and this is where the description is of the material is removed by use of jetting, using wastewater from the third set of ponds. I take it that that's the description back at the mill?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. And it is reported to be removed with a solid content of 25 to 30 percent, and that was the number that showed up in the diagram?
- A. Right. That's where that number came from.

 And this was kind of at the end of the disposal operations into 1966, and it's likely that Mr. McGinnes had a different way of operating than Mr. Burns did, so he had a little bit higher solids content at the time.

 But, you know, still, to get it out of the plant, it had to be jetted in order to cut it, to get it -- to be able to move it and load it somehow.
- Q. When this material goes into the -- into the waste pond, and I think it says it appears to solidify rapidly to the point where a vertical wall can be cut, where water can stand over it for a period of time, where it's impermeable or becomes impermeable or has a low --
- A. Low permeability.

Q. -- low permeability, grass starts to grow on it, trees can grow on it, it becomes part of the land?

- A. That's the way it sounds, exactly. It just becomes part of the impoundment. The dikes surround it. The dikes are sufficiently strong to hold the material until it all stabilizes, and there it is.
- Q. All right. And if we were going to come in and -- and try to remove it at the point where it has taken these characteristics, solidified rapidly, have to -- if you could cut a vertical wall in it and so forth, what type of equipment would we have to use to do that back in that -- back in the day?
- A. Back in the day, it would take some very heavy earth-moving equipment to do that, or it would take another jetting activity, like the way it was hauled from the plant site to begin with. It would be difficult in any case because it's a very confined area and getting this material back out of those pits would not be easy.
- Q. Would you -- would you think that the land would be damaged if you had to do that type of operation?
- A. Oh, definitely, because the pits basically took up the entire area on the western side of the land that was part of this process.

Q. Now, the other side has -- has pointed out, right above the yellow highlighting there in the first paragraph, the description by the investigator, "the dried material resembled a cheaper grade of cardboard, such as used in egg cartons," et cetera. I think you were here when Dr. Pardue was giving his testimony and talking about this got into the water and it deteriorated like cardboard. Is that your understanding of the type of material that we have here?

- A. No. What's described here is what it looked like visually. In other words, if you looked at a blob of this stuff and it was dry -- that's the other thing it says here, "the dried material." Once it was dried, this fibrous material would kind of look like cardboard, like egg cartons; but that says nothing about the physical characteristics of it that we've discussed here already, because cardboard is basically organic material. It's just fibrous. It doesn't have a lot of these solids in it, these inorganics, water insoluble material. So this was not cardboard. It had very different characteristics of cardboard.
 - Q. Okay. And if we go to --

MR. CARTER: I believe it's the next page,
Jenn, where it says "Excess water in its disposal" in
the middle of the paragraph.

1 A. Yes.

- Q. Does this describe the operation -- the de-watering operation in the words of the investigator by the State Department of Health back at that time?
- A. Yes, it does; and this is his description of the last step in implementing the process at the site.
 - Q. All right.

MR. CARTER: If we go to the last page of this exhibit, which is the map.

- Q. If we look at this, this is April 22nd of 1966, and this is just a short period of time before the disposal operations stopped at the site by the contractor; is that right?
- A. Yes. The disposal operations at this site ceased May 10th of 1966. This would have been a few weeks before that, and this shows what the condition of the upper and lower ponds were at the time.
- Q. Okay. And the upper pond is -- or the western impoundment is the one closest to the bottom?
- A. Yes. The north there is kind of this way (indicating). So it's not up. This is actually the western pond and this is actually the eastern pond (indicating), with the extension of the sludge management unit in the middle.
- Q. All right. In the shaded area, what does that

represent on this drawing?

- A. The cross-hatched areas are areas where the inspector identified as containing water.
- Q. And would that be consistent with the de-watering operation that is ongoing and the design of the facility, as not only you have described it, but also as described in the Department of Health --
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. -- report? So the water would collect to the eastern side so it could be put on barges and barged back to the facility?
- A. Yeah. You see he points out the fact there is a little water standing on top of the sludge. That just has -- didn't get drained over to where this pipe is that drains from the west to the east. The rest of it appears to be stabilized and solidified material. Similarly, in the center sludge extension part. But then the water is what is being stored in the outer part of the eastern impoundment, and that's prepared to be hauled back to the mill.
- THE COURT: Mr. Carter, I think the jury needs a break. Let's take a break. You may step down, too, sir.
- (After a break, the jury was present and the following proceedings were had:)

THE COURT: Please be seated.

You may continue, Mr. Carter.

MR. CARTER: Thank you, Your Honor.

Q. (By Mr. Carter) Mr. Zoch, I just have a couple more questions. First of all, going back to the -- to the de-watering operation and the eastern impoundment, I think you also called it the lower pond, based upon your review and your analysis of the documents and the investigation that you conducted, do you have an opinion as to whether or not sludge, waste was deposited into the eastern impoundment during the time of operation, 1965 and '66?

MR. WOTRING: I object as calling for -- it's vague. The eastern impoundment has been divided.

MR. CARTER: Well, not the central circle, but eastern -- the eastern impoundment where the de-watering operation was occurring.

THE COURT: You may answer, sir.

A. Yes, I do have such an opinion. The way the system was designed, as I described it, that no waste was intentionally or directly put into that water section of the eastern impoundment. However, I can't rule out the possibility a little bit may have come across. When the western pond was de-watered, it was allowed to settle before the water was released. It

could have been a little bit, but it would have been a very minor amount, and no significant amount was pumped directly into the water section of the unit.

- Q. Then one final question. When waste -- when the waste disposal operations were ceased by the contractor, I believe in May of 1966, did you see any indication through your review of any further disposal after that date?
- A. No. That's the last disposal I saw was -- I believe it was May 10th of 1966.
- Q. At that point in time was there any requirement by Champion, from a regulatory standpoint, to provide ongoing maintenance to the site, ongoing inspections or ongoing monitoring?
 - A. There was no such requirement.

MR. CARTER: Pass the witness, Your Honor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

18 | QUESTIONS BY MS. GRAY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Zoch.
- 20 A. Good afternoon.
- Q. I represent MIMC, McGinnes Industrial
 Maintenance Corporation. You have worked and are
 appearing in this case on behalf of all three
 defendants; are you not?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- Q. And your opinions that you are offering this jury relate to the positions of the three defendants in this case, correct?
 - A. Yes. And my opinion of how they're situated, exactly.
 - Q. Well, let's reorient ourselves back to the role that MIMC played in this. You say that operations ceased May 10th of 1966. When did MIMC become involved in this operation, based upon your review and investigation of the records in this case?
 - A. It appears to me that MIMC became involved in about September the 10th of 1965. I think the first waste may have been hauled some days after that.
 - Q. So in the construction of the impoundment, have you formed an opinion with regard to who constructed the site that we've been talking about?
 - A. Yes, I have.

- Q. And who is that?
- A. Well, initially the facility was constructed by Mr. Burns and/or his contractors or companies. He, in fact, apparently constructed two phases, one in about May of '65 and one again then in August of '65.
- MIMC -- Mr. McGinnes, actually, apparently did some construction for that center section that I was talking about in December of '65; but that's my analysis

of how the facility was built.

- Q. And do you recall when MIMC obtained the assignment of the contract between Champion and Ole Peterson that we've talked about here today?
- A. It was in September of '65. I don't recall the exact date.
- 7 Q. **Okay**.

1

2

3

4

- 8 MS. GRAY: If we could pull up Defendants'
 9 Exhibit 128, and if we could look at the top third of
 10 it.
- 11 Q. First, Mr. Zoch, have you seen the assignment 12 of the contract before today?
- 13 A. Yes, I have.
- Q. And does this refresh your recollection with regard to when the contract between Champion and Ole
 Peterson was assigned to MIMC?
- 17 A. Not really.
- Q. Okay. Well, let me direct your attention to
 the date, hopefully, if I can get it. If you would turn
 to the second page, down at the bottom where it says "In
 testimony whereof," do you see that?
 - A. Yes.

22

Q. And does that reflect that the assignment of the contract from Ole Peterson to McGinnes occurred on September 15th of 1965? A. That's the way I read it, yes.

- Q. So if I understand correctly, other than the central levees that were used to expand the placement of the sludge into the eastern impoundment, the outer levees and the central berm were constructed by Mr. Burns through Burma Engineering?
- A. Or his contractors. That's my analysis of the history, correct.
- Q. Okay. Now one thing that we haven't talked about that has been the subject of some prior testimony by the plaintiffs is what these levees were constructed of. Do you have a view, based upon your review and investigation, of what the material was that the berms or levees were constructed of?
- A. Yes. The way the construction occurred is the dikes and the levees, as they're called interchangeably, were constructed of materials that were excavated directly from the location where they were built, and that material has shown to be a clay-type material. That's confirmed by Dr. Quebedeaux, by the way.
- Q. And have you seen any documents that support your view that there was clay in the vicinity of the location of this site which would have been a source of the clay used to construct the levees?
- 25 A. Yes. I have seen documentation that there was

clay immediately beneath the location of where these impoundments were constructed.

- Q. Okay. And what -- what was MIMC's role, as you understand it, in connection with these operations beginning on or around September 15th, 16th of 1965?
- A. Well, MIMC was assigned the contract. So they just basically took over for what Ole Peterson and Mr. Burns had been doing in the previous months.
- Q. And did MIMC, as the operator of this facility, need a permit from Harris County in 1965 to operate at this site?
- 12 A. No. There was still no permit requirements.
 - Q. Did it need a permit from the State of Texas to operate this site in 1965?
 - A. No.

- Q. The same questions with regard to Harris County and the State with regard to 1966, did MIMC need a permit to operate this waste disposal site?
 - A. No permits were required in '66 either.
- Q. All right. And have you reviewed -- first of all, let me take us back to the original contract between Champion and Ole Peterson. Based upon your review and investigation in April of 1965, did you form an opinion with regard to who held the record title to the site at issue?

A. In '65?

- 2 Q. Yes, in 1965.
 - A. I know that Ole Peterson was the contractor for the site. I have not seen anything that they held particular title to the site.
 - Q. Are you aware that at some point in August -early August of 1965, title was transferred to
 Mr. Virgil C. McGinnes, Trustee?
- A. Correct. And that apparently was directly from
 the owner, Mr. Spata, and Mr. Virgil McGinnes, as
 trustee, obtained that 20-acre tract in a conveyance. I
 believe it was dated August 3rd, '65.
 - MS. GRAY: Let's pull that up, then,

 Defendants' Exhibit No. 5. Let's just confirm. If we blow up the top half.
 - Q. That is a General Warranty Deed. Have you reviewed this in connection with your investigation of the matters at issue in the case?
 - A. Yes. This is actually the document that I was referring to that gave me information I just described. It turns out that it was jointly owned, apparently, by Mr. Gordon and Mr. Spata together, with Mr. Spata's wife
- Q. And it is a conveyance of the site to Virgil
 C. McGinnes, Trustee?

at the time of the conveyance.

A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

15

16

17

21

22

- Q. And have you found any other documents or any other transfer of title to this property to McGinnes Maintenance Industrial Corporation?
 - A. I have never seen anything, no.
- Q. I think it's McGinnes Industrial Maintenance Corporation, as opposed to the other way around. Have you?
- A. No, I have seen no transfer of this property from Mr. McGinnes as trustee.
- 11 Q. As an operator, or a former operator of the 12 site, once in 1966, once that site was closed, would 13 MIMC have had any continuing obligation to maintain the 14 site?
 - MR. WOTRING: Again, objection to the extent she's asking for a legal opinion from this witness.
- THE COURT: It will not be taken as a legal conclusion. You may answer, sir.
- THE WITNESS: Thank you.
 - A. No, at the time there were no requirements for any continuing -- or no obligation for continuing maintenance of the site by anybody.
- Q. (By Ms. Gray) And are you familiar with the three statutes that the plaintiff, Harris County, is

- relying upon in connection with imposing civil penalties on the defendants in this case?
 - A. Yes. I've done some work with each of those statutes on behalf of my clients.
 - Q. And can you just remind the jury what those three statutes are?
 - A. Well, the Spill Act, the Water Quality Act, and the third one escapes me right now, to tell you the truth.
 - Q. Does the Texas Solid Waste Disposal Act --
 - A. The Solid Waste Act, that's the right one.
- Q. There you go. We'll go in reverse order. Was
 the Texas Solid Waste Disposal Act already in place in
 14 1965 and '66?
 - A. No. it wasn't.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

- Q. How about the Texas Spill Act, had that been passed by the Texas Legislature in 1965 or 1966?
 - A. No, it wasn't in existence either.
- Q. And how about the Texas Water Code?
- 20 A. It had not been established either.
- Q. Okay. All right. And I think that we've already covered the opinion by one of Harris County's experts, Dr. Ford; and I'd like to pull up that last slide of Dr. Ford's testimony in this case.
- You'll see that he was asked the question,

"And back in the '60s, they didn't have any continuing 1 maintenance obligations on a site they didn't own?" 2 3 And his answer was, "That's right." He was also asked, "And, in addition, 4 you're not aware of any post-closure requirements at the 5 time these parties ceased taking waste to that site?" 6 And he answered, "I'm not aware of that." 7 8 Do you have an understanding that the 9 parties being referenced there, whether that includes 10 MIMC? That's my understanding, it would include MIMC 11 12 as not having an obligation. 13 Q. Okay. 14 MS. GRAY: Thank you, Mr. Zoch. 15 No further questions. 16 THE COURT: Thank you. 17 Mr. Reasoner. 18 MR. REASONER: May I proceed, Your Honor? 19 THE COURT: Yes. 20 CROSS-EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. REASONER: 21 22 Q. Hello, Mr. Zoch. 23 Good afternoon. Α. 24 Q. I wanted to clear one thing up quickly that 25 I've got four or five notes on when you said it to

Mr. Carter. I think I knew what you were saying, but I 1 just wanted to make it clear. 2 3 MR. REASONER: Jenn, if we could go back to the photo that have 386-18, Exhibit 386-18, and zoom in 4 a little bit. 5 May I approach, Your Honor? 6 7 THE COURT: Yes. (By Mr. Reasoner) You were explaining to the 8 Q. 9 jury the western part and the eastern part, and you 10 said, I think, the western part is a waste management 11 facility and the eastern part is a water management 12 facility. Since I represent a company called Waste 13 Management of Texas, I just wanted to be clear, when you are talking about the western side, what are you talking 14 15 about when you say a waste management part? What I was referring to is it was an area where 16 Α. 17 wastes were managed, not that it was Waste Management's 18 facility. That's a fortuitous name, I guess. 19 Q. Well, it's descriptive of what we do, right? 20 Α. Correct. 21 And as I understand it, sir, you have gone Q. 22 through, as you were telling Mr. Carter and the jury, and done a pretty exhaustive review of some of the 23

historical documents, in light of your expertise in this

24

25

situation; is that right?

- A. I have. And that's kind of where I start on any of these projects like this, is I try to gain as much contemporaneous -- in other words, the kind of information that was available at the time -- documentation as I can. And that's where I started on this project. I looked at thousands of documents.
 - Q. And let me ask, sir: Looking at the time frame when this was -- this facility was built and was in operation, did you find any evidence of Waste Management of Texas having activity or participating in the construction or operation of this site?
 - A. No, I never saw that name in any of the documents.
 - Q. All right. And how about with respect to GC Environmental during that time frame when the site was built or operated?
- A. Again, during that time period, I saw no documents with that name on it, either.
- Q. And then moving forward, sir, from when the site stopped being used in 1966 until the end of the penalty period, again, did you see any activity or participation with respect to this site from Waste Management of Texas?
 - A I did not

Q. And how about with respect to GC Environmental?

A. I did not.

- Q. Okay, sir. We have heard -- the jury has heard some talk and seen a few things over time about dredging, and I want to ask you about that. First of all, what is hydraulic dredging?
- A. Hydraulic dredging is a technique for removing granular materials from the bottom beneath water or adjacent to water, using a way to slurry those materials with water and then transfer them to shoreline or a separation device. That's typically referred to as hydraulic dredging.
- Q. What are the reasons that dredging is done, possible reasons?
- A. Any time you want to get material out of a water body -- it started out primarily being for navigational purposes. For instance, when the Ship Channel was built, it was hydraulically dredged. When the Intracoastal Canal was built, it was dredged as well.

But it also then, over the years, includes ways to gather construction materials. Dredging was used for gathering oyster shell. Back when Houston was being built, a lot of our roads were made out of oyster shell. It came from the bay; it was hydraulically dredged.

·

_

Similarly, sand was dredged as a construction building material and gravel in some cases.

- Q. Has there -- over a period of time has there been a good bit of dredging in the Houston area?
- A. There has been a large amount of dredging done in Houston and Galveston counties.
 - Q. Why is that?
- A. Some very good construction material deposits here. I mentioned oyster shell, but sand and gravel are also abundant in our river basins. And as Houston grew, for housing and for commercial establishments, they needed a lot of those kinds of material and they were gathered locally, many by hydraulic dredging.
 - Q. And sand that goes into concrete?
- A. Sand goes into concrete. It goes into sand stabilized oyster shell back in the old days when oyster shell was still being dredged. It's used for a lot of purposes.
- Q. Is dredging something you have observed and been familiar with over the years?
- A. Yes. When I was down in Dickinson, Parker Brothers had a big yard, a big dredging yard next to my plant; and I used a lot of their materials in some of my construction projects for the plant. And I was interested in how that worked, so I actually got a ride

on a dredge barge one day to go out and actually see it firsthand.

Q. All right, sir. And we've got --

 $\label{eq:mr.matrix} \mbox{MR. REASONER: Jenn, if you would put up} \\ \mbox{that first demonstrative slide.}$

- Q. We do not have pictures of Captain Jack
 Roberts' dredger here. I'm just using these for
 demonstrative purposes. If you could, show the jury -and do you have a pointer, sir?
 - A. I do.

- Q. Great. If you could, show the jury the types of dredgers that we're looking at. As I understand it from talking to you, the two on the right side are one kind and the two on the left side are another kind. Could you walk us through that?
- A. Sure. For hydraulic dredging there's really two types that are frequently used. One is what is called a suction dredge, and what that is is depicted in the two schematics to the right. Basically, what it is is a tube is lowered into the material to be removed in the granular substance and it's fluidized and sucked up into the tube and up into the barge where the pumping device is, and then transferred or conveyed over to the shore facilities.

That's useful where you have material that

flows, like free-flowing sand can be gathered with a suction dredge like this.

The other kind is called a cutterhead dredge, which is similar in the way it works. In other words, it's still a suction, but it includes a cutterhead on the end of it, which is a device that actually cuts up the material and fluidizes it, puts it in small enough particle size to where the hydraulic dredge will suck it up and put it in the pump.

- Q. Under what circumstances do you need to use the cutter-head type of dredger?
- A. The cutterhead dredger is used where you have more solid materials. For instance, in the sand operation that we're talking about at this site, the cutterhead would have been used to cut through the overburdened clays to get to the sand below it.

MR. REASONER: Can you take us to the next slide, Jenn?

- Q. Again, we have -- I think on the right is the cutter dredge; is that right?
- A. That is what one of them looks like, exactly.

 It's a pretty formidable looking device.
- Q. On the left is a demonstrative there. Can you show the jury just the parts of the dredger there, so they will be familiar?

A. Yeah. The dredge barge, itself, is just a flotation device. It has the hydraulic system on it, the pump, is basically what that means. Then it has a crane that raises and lowers and positions the suction tube, whether it has a cutterhead on it like this or

just a suction tube.

To position the dredge, first is what is called spuds, and there can be anywhere from one to four of these spuds located in different areas of the dredge. They're hydraulically lowered into the base of the water body where the dredging is to take place, in order to position the dredge in place.

Then there is a pipe that leads from the dredge; and, as I say, goes to the shore in many cases or might just go to a barge, if you're dredging things like oyster shell. But that's generally the way the operation worked.

MR. REASONER: Let's go to the next slide, if we could, please.

- Q. What are we looking at here?
- A. Well, this is another view of a dredge that is spudded, as they call it, because the spuds are down into the -- into the subgrade. This happens to have a cutterhead on it, and they're positioning it with this crane-type process and they can move it from side to

side, again, with pulleys and anchors, so that the dredge head can be moved from side to side to remove the material from the sides of the -- of the water.

- Q. Okay. I see the tube -- if we can go to the next slide, I see the tube going out the one side of it there?
 - A. Right.

MR. REASONER: If you could take us to the next slide or two, Jenn.

- Q. What do we see there, sir?
- A. That tube coming off of the hydraulic pump usually has some pontoons on it so it floats. But then that transfers the materials onshore or onto a barge. Most of the time it goes onshore and goes either into a de-watering lagoon or goes into some sort of further processing equipment to recover the valuable material.
- Q. All right. So I want to -- thank you for that. I want to talk about what you found about the history of dredging with respect to this particular site, now that we know something about it in general.

First let me ask you, before we get into that, is there any evidence of any kind that any of these defendants were involved in the dredging that took place on the San Jacinto River near this site?

A. No, I have seen none, not at any time.

And have you been able to identify who was 1 Q. doing the dredging? 2 Yes. 3 Α. And we'll talk about that. Before we get into Q. 4 it, how did you obtain the documentation about dredging? 5 6 Well, when I started on the project, I was sent 7 a couple of boxes of documents to start my review 8 process; and when I was doing that, I found some documents that talked about dredging. And that seemed unusual for the site, so I asked to do an Open Records 10 Act search for additional documents to kind of fill out 11 12 the picture of what went on in terms of dredging. 13 And I found -- or I was able to get a large 14 volume -- I say a large volume, about 10 inches of documents that discussed the history of dredging in 15 terms of permitting and operations, to the extent those 16 documents still existed for the site. 17 18 Q. Okay. And in terms of your review of the documents, what did those reflect in terms of when the 19 20 first dredging in this area was going on? 21 Α. It appeared like the concept or the proposal to 22 do dredging actually started in 1970. The first dredging, it appeared, happened about 1976. 23 24 MR. REASONER: Can we go, please, to 25 Exhibit 24?

Q. Was this one of the documents you reviewed, sir?

A. Yeah. This is the earliest document that I looked at that I was just describing. This is what is called a subsurface exploration document, which would determine whether or not it was commercially viable to do sand dredging at this location.

MR. REASONER: And if we could scroll down, please, Jenn.

- Q. Does it indicate who asked or paid for this exploration to be done?
- A. Yeah. It was authorized by Mr. Frank Spata. He was the owner, at the time, of the land surrounding the tract purchased by Mr. McGinnes that I described earlier.
- Q. And I believe if we scroll down a bit, it indicates borings -- there in the second paragraph, it says borings were taken. Can you tell us what we're talking about when we say borings?
- A. Yeah. There were actually eight borings, and they were 4-inch diameter, nominal. What that is is just an auger-type drill that drills down into the soil and then allows the exploration company to take samples as a function of depth to determine what kind of material is below the surface.

Q. All right.

MR. REASONER: Let's go over to Page 4, please.

- Q. What is this diagram depicting, sir?
- A. Well, this is the location of the borings on the site. Mr. Spata owned all of this property here that's kind of outlined (indicating). This is I-10, so again, this time north is this way (indicating). It is a bit confusing.

But this is the McGinnes tract, this is the Spata tract, and then these are the boring locations. There are eight of them, four up here (indicating) and then four down closer to the McGinnes tract. The San Jacinto River is meandering through that area right here (indicating).

- Q. All right, sir. And then I realize it didn't exist by this point, but just to orient us, can you show us where the Houston International Terminal will ultimately be later? Who operated the Houston International Terminal?
- A. Captain Jack Roberts was actually a boat captain, but he ultimately bought this property from Mr. Spata. But he developed what is called the Houston International Terminal. And there was an old dredge scar -- I say "dredge scar." What that meant was that

this area had been dredged sometime probably in the '50s or '60s. That dredge scar was existing, and he built his Houston International Terminal right at the end of that dredge area.

- Q. If we go back to Page 2 of the document, sir, does -- do they have a description there -- and I think it's the last full paragraph -- a description of the results of the borings?
 - A. They do.

- Q. And what does that indicate to you, sir?
- A. Well, the purpose of the exploration was to determine whether or not there was a potential for commercial sand recovery; and that's what this paragraph talks about. And he says there is a sand strata, it's a gray sand of commercial importance, it ranges from 14 to 33 feet in thickness. It's an average of about 23 feet. So it's a thick sand strata.

But there is a clay and sandy clay overburden, that's the material above the sand, which ranges from 7 to 14 feet in depth, with an average depth of 10 feet. So what that tells us is we have a clay zone and then a sand zone beneath that, and then down beneath that they found additional clay. So the sand deposit was very thick.

Q. So when you say overburdened, what does that

mean?

- A. The overburdened is the material that's not useful for sand -- commercial sand purposes. In this case, it was clay materials, and it ranged in thickness from 7 to 14 feet.
- Q. So you have to get through that to get to the sand you are trying to mine; is that right?
- A. Yeah, that's right. You have to remove that in order to be able to then get to the sand, to move it onshore by hydraulic dredging.
- Q. What kind of hydraulic dredging is generally used to do that?
- A. Well, for the clay part you would need a cutterhead because the material is stiff enough to where you couldn't suck it with just a suction dredge. So it would be a cutterhead that was used to remove the overburden. And then depending on whether the sand was fluid enough, you might be able to convert to a suction head or you might still have to use a cutterhead. We don't know precisely how this was done, but it either used exclusively a cutterhead or a combination cutterhead and suction head.
 - Q. Fair enough, sir.
- MR. REASONER: Let's go to Exhibit 1237, please.

- Q. This is, as you see here, sir, a letter from the department of the Army Corps of Engineers in June of 1976. First, can you tell us what is the Corps of Engineers?
- A. The Army Corps of Engineers is a government agency that does different types of construction projects for the government and others.
- Q. All right. And what is their involvement in something like this, where we talk about dredging on or around a river?
- A. The Corps of Engineers has jurisdiction over all navigable waters. So if you want to put something in and out of the water or take something out, you need to go to the Corps to get their permission.
- Q. And reference is made here to a -- it's a letter to Captain Jack Roberts and reference is made to a permit. Do you see that reference, sir?
 - A. I do.

- Q. And was this the earliest -- the first one you found that Captain Jack Roberts was trying to obtain?
- A. Yes. I found that Captain Roberts actually acquired the property in 1972, but this is the first permit application I found that he wished to do some dredging.
 - MR. REASONER: And then let's go, if we

could, to Exhibit 1240, please, Jenn.

- Q. This is correspondence from the Texas

 Department of Water Resources there in July of 1980;
- 4 "Re: Request for Certification, Captain Jack Roberts."
- 5 Do you see that, sir?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. What is the Texas Department of Water Resources?
 - A. Well, the state agency that was created in 1961 to manage Water Pollution Control in Texas was the Texas Water Pollution Control Board. The Legislature, over time, added additional duties to the agency; and each time they added additional duties, they also changed their name. So this is, I believe, the third reincarnation of the former Texas Water Pollution Control Board, which is now known as the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality.
 - Q. So that's the TCEQ: is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And looking here on the first paragraph, what is the proposal that Captain Roberts has made?
 - A. Well, he's looking for certification to -- from the Texas Department of Water Resources, which was a requirement at the time, to do some maintenance dredging of his boat slip to remove about 1100 cubic yards of

- 1 material from the boat basin, and they put that spoil 2 behind an existing bulkhead that he had built.
 - Q. And then scrolling down, does he receive that approval at that time?
 - A. I recall he did.

- Q. The next sentence or two there, it says "Will not cause violation of established Texas Water Board standards." What does that refer to?
- A. Well, that's one of the -- one of the standards of review is that the agency would determine whether or not there would be a violation of established standards based upon granting of this permit and based upon them not having -- based upon them having made the determination no such violation would occur, the permit was issued.
 - Q. All right, sir.
- MR. REASONER: If we could go to the next page, please.
- Q. Here, again, is a map in connection with this correspondence. Is the McGinnes --
 - MR. REASONER: If we could zoom in on that a little bit, please.
 - Q. Is the McGinnes site there identified?
- A. Yes, the McGinnes property is shown, you know, roughly -- it's not a survey, of course, but it's shown

- 1 | handwritten in terms of where the McGinnes site is.
- 2 | This is Houston International Terminal, or HIT, as it's
- 3 called; and here is where Captain Roberts is wanting to
- 4 do his dredging behind his -- his little bulkhead. And
- 5 this was the property he owned, everything around and
- 6 inside of the bend of the San Jacinto River.
- 7 Q. And based on your review of the history, sir,
- 8 what is the first dredging that had significance for the
- 9 issues we're talking about here in connection with this
- 10 | site? When did that take place?
- 11 A. It appears to me that commercial sand dredging
- 12 really wasn't even permitted until the 1990s. And the
- 13 | first real commercial dredging, although there was some
- 14 in '92, '93, the large volume dredging occurred in '96
- 15 through about '99.
- 16 Q. All right. Let's move in that direction, then,
- 17 sir. So Exhibit 1247, if you would look at that,
- 18 please. This is dated January 31st of 1991; is that
- 19 | correct?
- 20 A. Yes. This is a joint public notice between the
- 21 | Corps of Engineers and now the Texas Water Commission.
- 22 | That's the next incarnation of the agency.
- 23 Q. All right. And if we go down to the body of
- 24 this document, sir, do you see that it indicates what
- 25 | Captain Jack is proposing -- well, let me back up. This

- is a public notice, it says; is that right?
- A. Right.

- Q. And what was one of these? What is that?
- A. The requirement is that the public be informed of a proposed permit to do this kind of work, to receive comment, and there are certain agencies that require -- are required to sign off, as it were, on these kinds of projects. But the public also has a right to comment, as well.
- Q. And what is being proposed in this notice, it describes the dredging that Captain Jack Roberts wants to do. What is being proposed?
- A. Well, this kind of goes back to the subsurface exploration that Mr. Spata contracted for in 1970 because it basically says that: We've got a 184-acre tract, we want to dredge it to a depth of 33 feet below low tide level for the entire site, and we believe we can recover approximately 8.7 million cubic yards of sand through this hydraulic dredge operation.
- Q. So you're saying -- 33 feet below the water line at low tide is the depth involved?
- A. Mean low tide, yeah. That's basically the thickness of the sand that was determined to exist under the Subsurface Exploration Program.
- Q. All right. And it's 8.7 million cubic yards;

is that right?

- A. That's apparently what they calculated.
- Q. Now, if we go to Page 3, sir, of the document in the second full paragraph there, does the first couple of steps of that paragraph describe this notice process that's going on?
- A. Yeah. It talks about the Corps of Engineers is soliciting comments from interested persons, which includes local and state and federal agencies and officials, Indian tribes, other interested parties, in order to evaluate the impacts of the proposed activity.
- Q. All right. And as it indicates there, any comments received will be considered by the Corps of Engineers to determine whether to issue, modify, condition, or deny a permit for this proposal.
 - A. Right.
 - Q. Is that consistent with your understanding?
- A. It is; and that's part of the approval process, is that they first of all determine whether it can just be issued without special provisions, whether there needs to be some modifications or conditions to the permit or if it needs to be denied, based upon the review process.
- Q. And if we could go to Page 5 of the document, does it show you a list of who received notice of this?

1 A. Yes, it does.

- Q. And if we scroll up, do we see Harris County receiving notice -- receiving this notice?
- A. Yes. The County Judge of Harris County is one of the -- one of the persons specifically that was mailed a notice.
 - MR. REASONER: Then if you could scroll down, please, Jenn.
 - Q. You see that handwritten -- I'm sorry I don't have a pointer; but you see handwritten there it says, "Adjacent property owners." Who is listed under "Adjacent Property Owners" there?
 - A. Well, there are two adjacent property owners listed. One is the General Land Office in Austin, Texas and the other one is the Texas Department of Highways and Public -- I can't read it.
 - Q. Transportation?
- A. Transportation, T-r-a-n-s. Good read. Also in Austin, Texas.
 - Q. All right, sir. Any indication from this document or anything else you've seen that any of these defendants received this public notice?
 - A. No, and these are the only two adjacent property owners that received notice, apparently.
 - Q. Now, in your further review of the

- documentation, were there agencies and organizations
 that objected to this permit application?
- A. Yes. In the file I saw some letters that said,
 you know: We need to -- this is a really large project.
 We might need to limit it; and we need do something
 about mitigation of the harmful effects to the
 environment, based upon loss of habitat.
 - Q. Was there any objection to this proposed dredging by Harris County?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A. No, I didn't find any by Harris County.
- Q. Now, looking at Exhibit No. 26, sir, was this permit granted?
- A. Yes, it was. This is the actual permit that was granted. No. 19284 was the commercial sand dredge permit. Unlike the previous permit Captain Jack got for his maintenance activities, this is a different permit and it's for the commercial work.
- Q. And if you go to -- I think Page 3 has the date that it was issued; is that correct?
- A. Correct. It was issued on the 11th of May, 21 1992.
- Q. And going back to the front, how long is this one in effect?
- A. This one expires at the end of 1995, December 31st, 1995.

Q. And if we go to the second page, sir, I believe you made this point; but just to see it in the document,
I believe it's under -- sorry. I think it's up toward

the top, the section for special conditions.

- A. Right. There are special conditions noted, and there are none that have been indicated.
- Q. All right, sir. Let me ask you to look now at Exhibit No. 1310.
 - A. Okay.

- Q. And this is a letter dated November 5th of '93 to the Army Corps from Parker Lafarge. Do you know who that is -- that company is?
- A. Yes, I do. I don't know if I mentioned it before, but Parker Brothers -- yeah, I did. Parker Brothers down in Dickinson was a big yard that operated a sand and oyster shell operation down there. Parker Brothers was acquired by Lafarge and became Parker Lafarge right around '93, as I recall.
- Q. If you look down at the bottom of this copy, they copy Captain Jack Roberts on the letter; is that right?
- A. Right, because they were operating under Captain Jack's dredging permit.
- Q. Do see we a description of some limited dredging going on out there at that time?

- A. Yes. It said they had done some pilot dredging, removed approximately 7,800 tons of sand. So they did enough to test its commercial competence, if you will, and removed a small amount by dredging in 1993.
- Q. Let me ask you to look now, sir, at Exhibit 1318, please.
 - A. Okay.

15

16

20

- Q. And this is from Mr. Roberts, HoustonInternational Terminal; is that correct?
- 11 A. Right. This is dated November 29th of '95.
- 12 Q. **0**kay.
- MR. REASONER: If we could zoom into the body of that, please?
 - Q. He's writing the Corp -- the Army Corps of Engineers; is that correct?
- A. Yes, he is. He's requesting an extension of his permit. It's about to expire in a month from when this letter was written.
 - Q. What does he say in that regard, just to summarize?
- A. Well, he starts out by saying there is no activity performed under this permit, which isn't quite accurate, but it's close.
- Q. So there was -- he doesn't say it here, but

there was limited activity that had gone on?

- A. Right. And he also says that Parker Lafarge, who was to do the dredging, closed down the dredging department and the vice-president was fired. And so they're now looking for another contractor. But in the meantime, contact with the Galveston Bay Foundation, who was one of the ones that was concerned about this project -- and, in fact, part of the issuance of the dredging project was conditioned ultimately on the Galveston Bay Foundation participating in doing some site restoration, planting what they call cord grass and redeveloping some habitat.
- Q. He says, in looking at the last full paragraph, "The permit expires December 1995 and we respectfully request that an extension be granted." Is that correct?
 - A. Right.

- Q. So he's trying to get his permit extended?
- A. Yeah. He wants to renew the permit, the existing permit, so he can get back in and do sand dredging.
- Q. All right. And looking now at Exhibit No. 69, did another notice go out?
- A. Right. This is a notice that went out in April
 of '96 from the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers and the
 Texas Natural Resources -- Resource Conservation

Commission, which is the fifth embodiment of that agency.

- Q. Okay. That's another name for what ultimately became the TCEQ; is that correct?
 - A. Yeah, that would be the next one.
- Q. Okay. Fair enough. In looking -- we'll go through this quickly, because we've seen one of them before now. Going down the page in the project description, is it -- is it for dredging in this area?
- A. It is. The project size has been limited.

 Rather than 184 acres, he's now only talking about -this particular part of the project being only

 9.25 acres of sand with a depth of 18 feet.
- MR. REASONER: And could you go to page 7 of this document, please.
- Q. Do we see again a diagram -- and can you identify our site in relation, the site we're talking about in this case in relation?
- A. Now, on this sketch north is to the top and here is the HIT, H-I-T terminal is in this area (indicating). This is the site that we've been talking about where the sludge was disposed. And we now have two phases, Phase I and Phase II for this entire 184 acres. Phase I is what I interpret to be the 9 acres he's now talking about dredging, and he's also

shown in this particular one some wetlands restoration as part of that project.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

- All right. So Phase I is right over to the --Q. the west of the site and Phase II is up above?
- Here is the site again (indicating). It's --Α. it's not shown very well, but this is the site. And this is the HIT -- Houston International Terminal site. So the dredging is to be performed between the two.
- Q. Okay, sir. Going to Page 11 of this document, please, do we see whether Harris County got notice of this?
- Yeah, the County Judge of Harris County here in Houston did receive a notice.
- 14 And looking again at the bottom, there is a Q. 15 section for adjacent property owners, mayor, 16 postmasters, et cetera. Any indication here or anywhere else that any of these defendants received this notice, 17 18 sir?
 - Α. No, they're not listed.
 - And were there parties who filed objections, in your review of the documents?
- Yes, there were some additional controversy in 22 the issuance of this permit as well. So there were some 24 objections.
- 25 Was any objection filed by Harris County? Q.

- A. Not that I've seen, no.
 - Q. And was this permit granted?
 - A. It was.

- Q. Have you seen, sir, reports from officials who actually observed the dredging going on under this permit?
- A. Yeah, there was -- some of the residents of the area were concerned about dredging out in the river. So they called the Corps of Engineers when they saw dredgers operating. So there were some Corps of Engineers' representatives that went out and responded to those telephone calls to investigate what was going on.
- Q. Let's look at Exhibit 1334, please, sir. And Paragraph 1, this is a memorandum for the file. In Paragraph 1 it says, "Corps of Engineers personnel reported on 7 November '97 that Mega Sand was dredging sand in the San Jacinto River for commercial sale." Do you see that?
- A. Right. Mega Sand was the new dredging company that Houston International Terminal and Captain Jack came up with to do the commercial dredging; and they, in fact, were on the scene in November of '97 doing dredging.
 - Q. And that is who he had gotten involved after

- Parker Lafarge; is that correct?
- A. That's right, and that's what this document reflects.
 - Q. Yes, sir. In looking at the second paragraph of the document, does it indicate that the permit we were talking about earlier had been granted?
 - A. It does. It shows kind of the permitting history under this 19284 number with the parentheticals 01, 02, beginning extensions; and it says now, the third line from the bottom, that they authorized an extension of time until the 31st of December, 1999.
- Q. Let's go now, sir, to 1338, Exhibit 1338. Do
 you see that this is another -- a site investigation
 sheet?
- 15 A. Right.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

- Q. And what -- if we go -- the location, the San Jacinto River and I-10, if we go down, scroll down, please, what is the date on this one?
- A. Well, this is an investigation or a site visit,
 as it's called. It's dated May 29th of '99, is when
 they went out there.
- MR. REASONER: Then if we could highlight
 the first sentence, please, of the summary?
- Q. That would help you to know where it is,
 Summary of Investigation. What does it say there, sir?

- A. It says that "A 26 May, 1999 site visit revealed a dredge spudded down in the San Jacinto River. The dredge was inactive, but with pipe extending to the shoreline at Houston International Terminals."
- Q. And we've talked about a couple of those things. The "spudding down," what does that refer to?
- A. That's what I talked about earlier, that these hydraulic rods or poles were actually driven down into the subgrade to position the dredge so it wouldn't move during its operation.
- Q. And then pipe -- it says "pipe extending to the shoreline at Houston International Terminal." Do you see that, sir?
 - A. Yes.

- MR. REASONER: Can we go back to that diagram, Jenn, the demonstrative showing from the barge over to the shoreline, if we can do that easily?
- Q. Can you explain, based on this description, what we're talking about there?
- A. Sure. As I was talking about the spuds awhile ago, this is one of the spuds. The terminology, when they're in place, is that it's spudded down; in other words, the spuds have been driven. And then the pipe going from the barge to the -- to the property over at the Houston International Terminal is what that

represents.

- Q. All right, sir. Have you looked at other evidence of the impact of the dredging that Captain Jack Roberts was having done out there on this site?
 - A. Yes, I have.
 - Q. And what have you looked at?
- A. I have looked at some historical aerial photography from this time frame to see whether I could determine what types of impacts the dredging had in the area.
- Q. And do you have experience in your work with working with and evaluating aerial photography?
- A. Yes. Much of my work deals with historical events and how things operated over time, and I've used historical aerial photography as a good way to just observe what things look like. If we don't have good documentation or somebody that has knowledge of what went on, aerial photography is useful. I use that all the time.
- Q. I want to take you to a photo that predates this -- the dredging that you're focused on.
- MR. REASONER: If we could look at
 Defendants' Exhibit 1031, it should be a January 15,
 1995, photo. If you wouldn't mind zooming us in on the
 site there? Thank you.

- 1 | 2 | abo

- Q. Can you tell us, sir, what is notable to you about this photo as we look at it, in order to compare it to another one?
- A. Well, this is 1995, and there really hasn't been much dredging, except for that 7800 tons we talked about in '93. And we see the site is the sludge pond here, the water pond, and the additional sludge extension here (indicating), so this is the site we've been talking about.
- Over here is the Houston International Terminal, and this is Captain Jack's boat slip that he did the maintenance dredging in and put the sand back behind the bulkheads. So the area between is what was being permitted during the '95 to '99 time period for dredging in this area, and this will be prior to that dredging having taken place, except for the exploratory tidal test bore dredging in '93.
- Q. And what observation do you make, if any, sir, about the western portion of the site?
- A. Well, the western portion of the site appears to be intact. You can still see the dikes. You can still see the sludge, although it has got vegetation growing over it now, which we talked about earlier was a characteristic of the sludges. Once they stabilize, they support vegetative growth.

Q. Let's now compare this to Exhibit 1034, please, sir, which should be a 2001 photo.

MR. REASONER: Again, if you could zoom us into that area, please, Jenn?

- A. Maybe move over a little bit farther and look at the Houston International Terminal.
- Q. Can you walk us through what we're looking at here and what your observations are?
- A. Sure. This, again, is the Houston
 International Terminal. This is Captain Jack's boat
 slip. This is the site that we talked about earlier.
 This happens to be a day when the water in the river is
 fairly clear, so you can see that the dredge has
 basically worked this area over pretty heavily
 (indicating). And in here (indicating) is where the
 wetland restoration project is going on. So you can see
 some subsurface soils in that area.

But the big thing you see is some of the western part of the dike, a big chunk out of the northwestern corner of the sludge disposal facility is gone. And it seems to be -- or it appears to be, from this photograph, following the contour lines of the dredging activities.

Additionally, over on the HIT property, this is where the stuff was transferred, you see lots of

piles of sand that have been produced out of the dredging activity just sometime prior to this.

- Q. And do you believe, based on your review of these photographs and this other evidence, that the dredging activity penetrated the impoundment?
- A. Absolutely, I do. I think it removed part of the dike and removed part of the waste.
- Q. And, sir, let me -- well, let me ask you to look now at Exhibit 1339, please. If you look -- this is, again, the Corps of Engineers, a letter to Mr. Roberts from the Corps of Engineers in January of 2003?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. Do you see that, sir?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And does it indicate there whether a request Mr. Roberts had made in January of 2000 to extend his permit was approved?
 - A. This talks about the fact that Captain Jack Roberts had requested that his permit be amended for an extension of time, and it says here it's approved.
 - Q. And how long -- I guess looking at the second paragraph -- how long was Captain Jack Roberts' permit extended to at this time?
- 25 A. This particular authorization went through

- December 31st of 2008. It gave them another nine years, in essence.
 - Q. And this is well after the 2001 photo that we're looking at here?
 - A. Correct.

- Q. What -- sir, do you know from looking at the history who first flagged or brought up the issue that dredging near this site might not be a good idea?
- A. Yeah. The Texas Parks & Wildlife is one of the agencies that reviews these kinds of permits, and at some point in time they looked at it really closely and came to a conclusion that maybe this is a problem.
- Q. Let's look at the correspondence there, briefly.
- MR. REASONER: If you could go to Exhibit 75, please?
- Q. And looking down at the bottom e-mail, there is an e-mail from Andy Sipocz. Do you have an understanding of who Mr. Sipocz is with, from your further review?
- A. I have never met Mr. Sipocz. I do know, though, that he's with Texas Parks & Wildlife, from some other correspondence I've seen.
- Q. He's e-mailing here on April 1 of 2005. And can you read for the jury his observation in that third

paragraph in the first sentence?

- A. He says, "In looking over the more recent data, I believe more firmly that the recent sand mining was responsible for the increase in dioxin levels at the site noted between 1994 and 2002."
- Q. Is this -- is this time frame that Mr. Sipocz is focused on here, consistent with the time frame that you've been talking about?
- A. It is, exactly. It's bracketed by the time I focused on.
- Q. Let's look at what he said a few days later, if we could, and that's Exhibit 78. Here is Mr. Sipocz e-mailing, again, a few days later on April 7th of 2005. Can you look down, sir, to his last paragraph of what he's communicating to a colleague, and can you just take us through this last paragraph of what he says, exactly?
- A. Sure. Mr. Sipocz says also, "Looking at this Figure 1" -- or "looking at this figure," rather, "I realized for the first time that the recent sand mining may have actually dug into a portion of the old pit site. Look at the northwest tip of the pit and see what you think. The company actually doing the mining, Mega Sand, was not the landowner and may have not been entirely familiar with the property's boundaries. Also, I wouldn't put it past them to 'get a little extra'

while their dredge barge was set in this specific location. You can tell the mined area's south boundary at least by the telltale bites taken out of the tidal flat, which is exposed in this low tide photo."

- Q. Sir, based on your experience and your analysis here, how do you react to Mr. Sipozc's conclusions there?
- A. Yeah, I probably couldn't have said it any better. That's the way I viewed it as well, looking at the photography.
- Q. If you'll go to Exhibit 939, please, sir?
 There's just a couple more I want to walk you through very quickly. Sir, 939 is a letter from the Texas
 Parks & Wildlife Department seven days later, on
 April 14th of 2005. Do you see that, sir?
- A. Yes. I believe this is the dioxin letter that he was referencing in his e-mails.
 - Q. And who is he writing to there, sir?
- A. It wasn't him, actually; it was his supervisor, or someone above his supervisor. He was writing to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, the TCEQ.
- Q. And moving down, sir, to the second paragraph, the first sentence, what does it say, sir?
- A. "TPWD," Texas Parks & Wildlife Department, "has recently become aware of information that suggests

that there are old waste pits in a sandbar in the San Jacinto River just north of the Interstate

Highway 10, (I-10) bridge."

- Q. And then if we move to the next page, the top of the next page, the first sentence, what does the Texas Department of Parks & Wildlife convey there?
- A. They're saying that the potential presence of sediment contamination is an immediate concern, as the San Jacinto River near the I-10 bridge is very active with respect to dredging, mining and construction.
- Q. And is that consistent with your observations and knowledge?
- A. Yes, it is. I've driven over that I-10 bridge many times, and there is a lot of industry right in that general area, a lot of barge traffic, a lot of maintenance activities and some construction.
- Q. And if you go, sir, to Page 12 of this document that the Texas Department of Parks & Wildlife sends, do you see their reference to Captain Jack Roberts' permit?
- A. I see reference to the Houston International Terminal, which is Captain Jack. He now is in his fourth amendment of 19824; and at this point, it is identified as being pending.
- Q. All right. And what does it note about the location -- I think the column -- the second column from

- over in the right is the location column.
- Α. Correct. 2

9

18

21

- 3 Q. And what does it say about Captain Jack's dredging area with respect to location? 4
- It says, "The location envelopes the suspected 5 Α. waste pit site." 6
- 7 All right, sir. And the date of this letter, Q. 8 again, is?
 - Α. 2005. Let's take a look. April 14th, 2005.
- All right, sir. And if we look at Defendants' 10 Q. 11 Exhibit 1368, do you see this is a letter on 12 December 27th of 2007 to Captain Jack Roberts from the
- 13 Corps? And what does it say with respect to his permit 14 for dredging in the area?
- 15 Well, Captain Jack has asked for another extension. On October 31st, 2007, he asked to amend his 16 17 permit. They've assigned it a different number now, but
- 19 Q. All right, sir. And looking down at the bottom, how long is this extension granted for? 20

it is the same location.

- This authorization was to expire on December Α. 31st of 2013. 22
- 23 And I believe you heard the Court's stipulation Q. 24 talking about the TCEQ participating in a study with 25 other regulators, and then requesting that the permit be

suspended in October of 2008. Do you recall that?

- A. Yeah. I recall that discussion earlier today.
- Q. Yes, sir, making the request through the Corps of Engineers. And then looking at Defendants' Exhibit 73, when was the permit for Captain Jack Roberts' dredging ultimately suspended, based on your research?
- A. This is a letter dated May 19th of 2009, which describes Captain Jack Roberts' suspension of that permit number.
- Q. All right. So we saw -- we saw the Parks & Wildlife department letter in 2005, April of 2005, and then we have the permit suspended in May of 2009. Is that your understanding of the time line, based on your review?
- A. That's my understanding from these documents, yes.
- Q. Based on your expertise and your review of the activities at the site, the photographs, and all of it, do you have an opinion as to the most likely cause of any dioxin releases at this site?
- A. Yes. In my opinion, the cause of the dioxin released from the pits is the sand dredging. Not only did the dike and part of the material get exposed and washed away, it was piled onto the Houston International

```
Terminal site for washing, and then the fine material,
1
   which would have been some of the materials in the
2
   waste, would have been returned to the area around -- in
3
4
   the San Jacinto River. I believe that, overwhelmingly,
   is the largest source of dioxin in the area.
5
6
        Q.
            And I'll finish where I began, sir:
                                                   Do you
7
   have any information that any of these defendants were
8
   involved in any of this dredging?
9
        Α.
            No, they weren't.
10
            Or that they had notice of it?
        Q.
11
        Α.
            They had no notice.
12
                 MR. REASONER: Thank you for your time,
13
   sir.
14
                 I pass the witness.
15
                 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Reasoner.
16
                 Mr. Wotring.
17
                 MR. WOTRING: Yes, Your Honor.
                                                  There is a
18
   couple of matters I would like to take up outside the
19
   presence of the jury.
20
                 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, I'll have
21
   you step out for a moment.
22
                 (Jury not present)
23
                 THE COURT: Sir, if you don't mind to step
24
   out of the courtroom.
25
                 You may be seated.
```

MR. STANFIELD: Your Honor, Dr. Mark Johns is in the courtroom. Does he need to leave?

MR. WOTRING: Probably.

THE COURT: Yes, please.

(Whereupon both witnesses left the courtroom.)

THE COURT: Mr. Wotring.

MR. WOTRING: The first issue is, I believe Dr. Zoch went beyond what he was permitted to say when he talked about that there was no toxic effect from the sludge, and described it as being able to grow plants on top of it and other plant life on top of it. I think that went beyond what we were permitted to say about the effects of the sludge.

The second larger issue is he testified numerous times that no permit was required with the material at the site. And I think he went beyond that sufficient to now permit us to be able to ask him about the unredacted version of Exhibit No. 17, because the last page of that does talk about the need for there to be a permit if they're going to be releasing water from this site. And I think he stepped over the lines and we should be able to show the jury the unredacted portion of Exhibit No. 17. I don't think it requires us to get into the southern impoundments.

And those are the two main issues that I

wanted to address outside the presence of the jury, so I 1 2 can get that resolved, one way or another, before I 3 start cross-examination. 4 THE COURT: Is the issue of the plants 5 growing on it any different than what we've heard before with regard to grass grows on it, trees grow on it? 6 7 MR. WOTRING: It's not. It's the addition 8 that it doesn't have any toxic effect or however he 9 phrased it. That's the word, and that's the word I 10 don't think he should have been permitted to use, and if 11 he did use, I should now be able to go into some of the 12 effects of the sludge that it has. 13 MR. CARTER: Judge, I think -- I don't recall specifically what -- what Mr. Zoch said, but I 14 think he used the word "phytotoxic," or "not phytotoxic" 15 16 or something like that. So that was --17 THE COURT: Meaning it allows plants to --18 MR. CARTER: I don't know exactly what that 19 definition is, but it sure went over my head; but it was 20 primarily following Exhibit 17, and Exhibit 17 21 specifically says that plants and grass can grow on it. THE COURT: Let's address the other issue 22 23 with regard to the regulations. And what Mr. Wotring is 24 referring to is that Mr. Zoch stated there were

really -- there really were no regulations in effect

back in the '60s. And you were asking him about disposal of waste at the site. I think Mr. Wotring's argument is that he was a little broader than that.

MR. CARTER: I think my question was pretty specific, as to whether any regulations regarding disposal of material and looking at permits dealing with disposal. And that's what we talked about the other day when we were talking about disposal versus wastewater and treatment of wastewater. That was the -- that was where the line was drawn, and that's where I tried to stay.

THE COURT: I do think that's how

Mr. Carter asked the questions. The answer -- while I

don't think Mr. Zoch was intending to cover those areas
that we're not talking about, I understand your concern
that it sounds broad. So I'm trying to figure out how
we address that, rather than getting into some of those
other issues that are not claims in the case.

There are several ways we could do it.

Mr. Carter could ask him -- say, "When I asked you those questions, we were simply talking about the initial disposal of the waste into the pit."

MR. WOTRING: I think, given the nature of his answer, I should be able to ask him something to the effect that, if there had been any wastewater deposited

```
at the site that was to be released into the river at
1
   the time it was in operation, is it your understanding
2
3
   they would have needed a permit?
                 That is what Exhibit No. 17 says.
4
                 MR. REASONER: But Mr. Carter didn't ask
5
6
   about --
7
                 MR. WOTRING: I appreciate he didn't ask,
8
   but the answer came out that there were no permits
9
   required at the time. And it was broader than just
10
   the --
11
                 MR. REASONER:
                                I didn't hear Mr. Zoch say
12
   anything about an ability to discharge water into the
   river, nor did Mr. Carter -- I mean, the site didn't
13
14
   work that way, under his testimony.
15
                 THE COURT:
                             I think it is fair for you to
16
   clarify with him. I think what they're objecting to is
17
   that the way you just said it suggests that they did do
18
   those things and that's not at issue in this case.
19
   So --
20
                 MR. WOTRING: Well, here is another issue:
21
   They did have to have a permit to discharge from the
22
   plant.
23
                 MR. CARTER:
                              That's the reason the
24
   wastewater went back to the plant.
25
                 MR. WOTRING:
                               But he's testified they
```

didn't have to have a permit. 1 MR. REASONER: Which would be relevant to a 2 3 lawsuit about the plant; we're not in here about a 4 lawsuit about the paper mill. MR. WOTRING: I understand the relevance 5 objection. It's -- the problem is their witness has 6 7 made statements from the stand, solicited or 8 unsolicited, that should be clarified and I should be 9 permitted to go into it to clarify it. 10 THE COURT: I am okay with you clarifying. 11 I think it would be one thing if he were violating some 12 motion in limine and making a statement that's in violation of a motion in limine. I think what's 13 14 happened here, and I understand your concern, is that someone on the jury could construe it as meaning there 15 16 were no regulations at all during that time and no permits required at all. So I do understand your 17 18 I'm trying to figure out how it should be concern. 19 clarified in a way that's fair to both sides, without 20 getting into issues that aren't relevant in this case. MR. WOTRING: 21 On behalf of Harris County, I 22 wouldn't mind a five-minute break while we ponder those 23 issues. THE COURT: Off the record. 24 25 (Jury Present)

THE COURT: Please be seated. 1 2 You pay proceed, Mr. Wotring. CROSS-EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. WOTRING: 4 Mr. Zoch, we've met before. I took your 5 Q. deposition over a couple days, some months ago, correct? 6 7 Α. I remember that. 8 Q. Let me get some idea about the differences 9 between your opinions here today and Harris County's 10 position in this case. 11 MR. WOTRING: Let me ask Bryan to put up 12 Exhibit 662. I'm sorry, could you take that down? The 13 exhibit is the photograph, Bryan. I'm sorry, 658. Ιf 14 we can just focus on the photographs that are there. 15 We looked at these pits at your deposition, and this was the nomenclature -- the naming that we used 16 during our examination with you, I think? 17 18 Α. I don't recall seeing this particular 19 photograph. 20 Q. Well, does this look familiar to you of the 21 picture of the three different pits? Yeah, but I wouldn't have circumscribed them 22 Α. the way it is in that photograph. But I understand what 23 24 you are saying. We did talk about A, B and C. 25 We did talk about A, B, and C. That's right. Q.

What I want is to get some sense from you is that is A (indicating), that's the western impoundment we've been discussing about with counsel?

A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And then the eastern impoundment, why don't you identify that for us?
- A. The dike actually came through right here somewhere (indicating). It's kind of hard to see; but C, what you have called C, is the outermost part, and then B is the extension of A, to complete the project when it was being filled.
- Q. That's my question. B is the portion of the eastern impoundment that was completed during MIMC's time of operation?
 - A. I agree with that, yes.
- Q. Whether it was built by MIMC or one of their contractors, I don't think you have an opinion on that?
- A. I don't. I know Mr. McGinnes, as trustee, owned the site. It may have been done under his contracting. I really don't know.
- Q. But, in any event, Pit B on this particular exhibit is the pit that was added to the eastern impoundment to contain storage of sludge?
- A. Yes, I agree with that.
 - Q. So at the end of the operation, whenever that

- was, there would have been sludge waste material in Pit 1 2 **B**? I agree with that. 3 Q. All right. And the difference between you and 4 Dr. Pardue, I think, is that you don't believe there was 5 any waste material in Pit C, and he believes that that 6 7 portion was also full? 8 Α. That's what he said, and I disagree with that. 9 Q. You disagree with that? Okay. 10 Correct. Α. 11 MR. WOTRING: I would ask that we pull up 12 the board of directors minutes of Exhibit No. 143. 13 Q. And you've seen these before. These are the 14 August 19th, 1968, board of directors minutes for MIMC? 15 I recall seeing this document. Α. 16 We've talked about this? Q. 17 MR. WOTRING: If we can go to the last 18 page, I'm sorry, the page before that? 19 Q. And you remember seeing these particular board minutes? 20 Yes, sir, I do. 21 Α. 22 MR. WOTRING: Bryan, if you could go up to
- Q. And this is one -- I don't want to belabor it too much, but it says "Discussion then turned to certain

the top of that paragraph.

real estate owned by the Corporation on the San Jacinto
River, which was used during fiscal 1966 and part of
fiscal 1967 as a dump for waste material hauled by the
Corporation."

And then we go down to the sentence here.

"It was pointed out that the property was completely
filled with waste materials and could no longer serve as
a dumpsite."

A. Yes.

- Q. And if I'm correct, your reading of that is that doesn't mean that all the pits were filled with waste material, that means that the portions you've talked about, Pit A and Pit B were filled, but not Pit C?
- A. Well, yes, that is a good way to put it. The reason is because having filled Pits A and B, there was no place else to put waste and still have a de-watering pit, which was Pit C. That's why it was said here that the pits were filled.
- Q. The point of difference between you and Dr. Pardue, you and Harris County's position, is what the phrase "completely filled with waste materials" means in that particular context?
 - A. I guess you could say that.
- Q. And if "completely filled with waste materials"

means the entire property was completely filled with waste materials, then that would mean that all three of the pits, A, B and C, were filled with the waste material, not just the water runoff?

- A. I don't know if I would agree with that or not. You know, as I said, this is a system; it's not just a series of pits that you just sequentially fill one after another. The issue is we have a de-watering area and then we have a water storage area. So I think the pits being filled are the A and B pits, which are the waste disposal sites.
- Q. Now, you've been shown the deed from the Spatas to Virgil McGinnes, and you've also seen this language about, in the board of directors minutes, that the company is saying it owns the real estate site?
- A. I see that's what it says in these minutes, yes.
- Q. Are you taking a position on that particular issue as an expert in this case, or are you just noting the differences in the documents?
- MS. GRAY: Objection, to the extent it calls for a legal conclusion.
- THE COURT: I assume he's not going to be taken as giving a legal conclusion.
- MR. WOTRING: Then I think I'll move on

with my questions from that.

If we could go back to the last exhibit, which is the photo, Exhibit 658, and just the photo itself.

- Q. (By Mr. Wotring) Would you agree with me, Mr. Zoch, that if we looked through the aerial photographs after 1966, we start to see both Pit B -- what is designated here as Pit B and Pit C start to go underwater?
- A. I would agree with that. In certain -- in certain photographs, that's the case.
- Q. "Certain photographs that's the case." I believe you and I talked about the survey that was done for this site, reflecting that the -- the -- well, reflecting that portions of the impoundments were submerged under the water or inundated. Do you remember talking about that?
- A. I remember talking about that survey, I think at mean high tide. Quick question, counsel: Do you know what date this is?
- Q. When that photo is taken? I think we can scroll back and see what date that was.
 - A. I'm trying figure out what I'm seeing here.
- Q. It's February 15th, 1973. I've got a copy of the original exhibit, if you'd like to see it?

A. Yes. I haven't seen this before.

- Q. I believe it was an exhibit at your deposition.
- A. It says "Zoch", but I don't recall this. I'm sure I haven't seen this before. 73? Okay. Got it.
- Q. Do you remember that after the date of this -- these -- well, after 1973, we do see aerial photographs with Pits B and C inundated with the San Jacinto River?
 - A. At least partially, yes.
- Q. Now, if we have waste material in Pit B and it's underneath the surface of the San Jacinto River, it's your opinion that the consistency of the material inside Pit B would not break apart or come apart, even though it's inundated by the San Jacinto River?
- A. Yes. My opinion is that this material gets so hard and forms a crust, that even if it's inundated, the action of the currents, the flood waters or tidal action are not sufficient to cause erosion or any significant loss due to erosion on the surface of the waste.
- Q. And when we talked before, I believe you hadn't seen anything specifically on the tensile strength with respect to the material inside the impoundments?
- A. That's correct, I haven't seen any data on tensile strength.
- Q. And we did have a discussion about -- that there are measurement techniques you can use to

determine the hardness of paper mill sludge in the impoundments; but you didn't have any of those specific readings for your opinions in this case?

A. Well, let me go back to your last question. I don't have numerical values for tensile strength. I do have qualitative data that would tell me what the tensile strength is, based upon the fact that a shear wall will stand. So there is some qualitative data that I can rely on, which is what I did; but you are right, I don't have numerical values.

Ask your other question again. I'm sorry to interrupt.

- Q. My other question is, qualitatively, when you and I discussed it, we had a discussion about what this material was, based upon the State Department of Health made memo and that paragraph about the consistency of cardboard. Do you remember this?
 - A. I remember that discussion.
- Q. Well, you and I had a discussion about that and we tried -- well, I tried to get some idea about your understanding of the physical characteristics of the paper mill sludge. And we had a discussion, and I believe that your opinion is that the physical characteristics of the sludge is that it's somewhere between cardboard and concrete, and that it would not

break apart if you put it underneath the waters of the San Jacinto River.

A. Well, as you recall, counselor, there was a lot more pieces to my opinion than that. I recall your analogy of concrete and cardboard. That's not what I was saying, though. I was saying it might have a physical appearance of cardboard. That's not what it was, and I told the jury that awhile ago.

What it was was a combination of fibers and inorganic materials and it got very hard. I think we went over all the characteristics from a qualitative standpoint that would have said it's definitely not cardboard.

Q. And if -- we talked about if it were not just a cheap grade of cardboard, right? That this were not just a cheap grade of cardboard but, in fact, a cardboard box and you placed it under the waters of the San Jacinto River in these pits in this environment, that you didn't have an opinion about how long an engineered cardboard box would remain intact if we put it in that environment? Do you remember that discussion?

A. Yeah, I think I do. And I think I said it would depend on, you know, what the nature of the cardboard was because there is all kinds of cardboard,

- as well. But that's not really relevant to this case,
 as far as I'm concerned.
 - Q. Now, you looked at some dredge documents that we went through with counsel for -- for Waste Management of Texas. I'm assuming you remember those documents?
 - A. Oh, yes.

- Q. And it's your opinion that there might have been some dredging in the '70s, but the dredging that affected these impoundments was in the '90s?
 - A. I would agree with that, yes, sir.
- Q. We talked about that. And if the -- if the impoundments were under the mean high tide or inundated, as reflected in the survey, that would have taken -- and that survey was done on June 30th of 1989 -- that would have taken place prior to the dredging that you've talked about that affected the integrity of the impoundments? Chronologically, that is correct?
- A. I don't know if you're giving me a hypothetical or not; but I guess if all those things are right, I would have to agree with you.
- Q. Let me show you the survey. I think this is Exhibit No. 1005, and we put it up on the screen. And you've seen this before?
 - A. Yes. I have seen it in this courtroom before.
 - Q. You've seen it in this courtroom before. Have

1 you seen it before?

- A. I don't think I have seen it before that.
- Q. So the first time you saw this survey,
 Exhibit 1005, was when you were observing the testimony
 of, I assume, Dr. Pardue?
- 6 A. Dr. Pardue, yes, sir.
 - Q. Did you also sit in on Dr. Bedient's testimony?
- 8 A. No, sir.
 - Q. So you saw it with Dr. Pardue. Well, if you assume with me, and I think this is the record and his testimony, that the date on this survey --
 - MR. WOTRING: If we could blow up one of these portions really big --
 - Q. -- if the date on that is through June 1989 -- do you see in the first sentence?
 - A. Mean high tide times survey, yes, it does say through June '89.
- Q. And if that was the date of the survey, through June of 1989, then that date is prior to the date of the dredging that you say affected the impoundment, correct?
 - A. Yeah. I believe I said that I believe that dredging had affected the impoundment in the '90s.
 - Q. And the dredging that affected the impoundments in the 1990s, I couldn't tell, but it seemed to me what you were saying is it affected the western most

impoundment or Pit A that we talked about?

A. Right, that was the primary area that it affected. The dredging actually affected some water flow across the eastern side, too; but the primary bites taken out of the impoundment were on the west and northwestern side. I believe that was the primary cause of there being distribution of pit waste into the vicinity.

- Q. So one of your opinions is that as a result of the dredging that took place in the 1990s, dioxin containing paper mill waste got out into the San Jacinto River?
- A. Right, into the river directly and also into the river by virtue of the fact that it went to the Houston International Terminal and then flowed back into the area.
 - Q. They washed it back in?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. And you looked at the TMDL data that was studied in looking at your examination of materials in this case. Do you remember that?
- A. I looked at it, not in great detail. I was looking for sources of dioxin from the TMDL study, not so much the data itself.
- Q. And will you agree with me that the TMDL study

```
reached the conclusion that the area of the San Jacinto
1
   River waste pit is a major source of dioxin in the
2
   San Jacinto River?
3
                 MS. GRAY: Objection, Your Honor.
4
                                                     May we
5
   approach?
                 THE COURT:
                              Yes.
6
7
                  (Whereupon, after a bench discussion
8
   outside the presence of the reporter and the jury, the
9
   following proceedings were had:)
10
                 THE COURT:
                              Please be seated.
11
                 You may proceed, Mr. Wotring.
12
                 MR. WOTRING:
                                Thank you, Your Honor.
13
        Q.
             (By Mr. Wotring) You are aware that by 1985
14
   the EPA had designated dioxin as a hazardous substance,
15
   correct?
16
        Α.
                   I believe that that's about the time that
             Yes.
   dioxin was discovered at Superfund sites.
17
18
        Q.
             Then from 1985 until about 1988, that's when
19
   the 5-mill study took place?
20
        Α.
             Yes, through 1998.
21
        Ω.
             And as a result of the 1985 mill study, it was
22
   determined by the EPA and the other participating
23
   companies that the -- the waste discharges, the water
24
   and the sludge and the other effluent from paper mills,
25
   contained dioxin?
```

```
1
        Α.
             Some of them, at least, did, yes.
             And the sludge at that time from -- from paper
2
        Q.
   mills contained dioxin in it for kraft --
3
             I believe it's kraft --
        Α.
4
                 COURT REPORTER: "For kraft --"
5
6
                 MR. WOTRING: We were talking at the same
7
   time?
8
        Q.
             (By Mr. Wotring) I'll ask a better question.
   As a result of the 5-mill study, the participants in the
   five-mill study and the EPA learned that there was
10
11
   dioxin in the sludge from kraft paper mills?
12
             From bleached kraft paper mills, that's
13
   correct.
             There was still some disparity at that point
14
   in terms of what the magnitude was, but they at least
15
   detected it.
16
        Q.
             Right. And I don't know -- did you hear the
17
   testimony or read the testimony yesterday from
18
   International Paper's corporate representative --
19
        Α.
             No, I didn't.
20
        Q.
             -- about this issue?
21
             I did not.
        Α.
22
        Q.
            Okay.
23
             Mr. Golemon, you are speaking of?
        Α.
24
        Q.
             No, one of the others. Let me ask you a
25
              Do you know whether or not one of the
   question:
```

Champion mills was part of the 5-mill study?

A. Oh, I do not.

- Q. Then after the 5-mill study from '85 to '88 roughly, there was another more extensive study done by the EPA with the industry about dioxin in the effluent from paper mills?
 - A. Yes, sir. That's the 104-mill study.
- Q. The 104-mill study. And we're speaking in very gross -- large terms. That confirmed that there was dioxin in sludge from kraft paper mills across a broader section of the industry?
- A. Yes. And I believe what they determined from that study was, depending upon the process that was used, there could be more or less dioxin formed in the process and it might wind up in one or more locations.
- Q. And I think we agreed that after the 5-mill study, Champion would have been on notice that its wastepaper sludge from the kraft -- bleached kraft process would have had dioxin in it?
 - A. It could have, at least, yes.
- Q. But you're not of the opinion -- well, I'll move on because we haven't talked about that.

You've seen Dr. Quebedeaux's letters first to Burns Engineering and then a later one to MIMC, copying Champion?

- A. Yeah. I don't think we looked at the latter one yet today, but I do recall one.
 - Q. I think the jury has looked at that.
 - A. Okay.

4

7

- Q. If you saw Dr. Pardue, you know we talked about that letter.
 - A. I kind of remember that letter.
 - Q. That's the December 28th letter?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
- 10 Q. All of them -- in all of those letters,
- 11 Dr. Quebedeaux approves the disposal operation on the
- 12 condition that the wastewater does not get out into the
- 13 | river, correct?
- 14 A. Yeah. That was his first letter, or first two
- 15 | letters.
- Q. His first two letters. Do you want to look at
- 17 the third letter and confirm that?
- 18 A. Yeah, we probably better take a look at that
- 19 because I haven't looked at it lately.
- 20 Q. That letter is -- I'll have Brian pull up that
- 21 exhibit. I do think that one -- one comment you made
- 22 today is that you read into those letters that
- 23 Dr. Quebedeaux's instruction -- I have a couple of them
- 24 here. This is the June 11th, 1965, letter. You're
- 25 | familiar with this one?

- $\hbox{A. Yes, sir. I think I read from that one earlier} \\$
- Q. And your view of this letter is that his instruction not to let the liquid waste get into the river was limited to the time period during the operation? Is that how you read this letter?
 - A. That is what it says, yes.

- Q. Where do you see that it says that?
- A. "Your waste handling operation should be done in a manner which would not allow any liquid waste to leave the property."
- So that's what I'm saying. He's saying that, you know, when you are doing this process out there, de-watering the sludge and recovering the water, when you are doing all those things, you shouldn't let any liquid waste leave the property.
- Q. Right. And your view of this letter is that is while the waste handling operation is taking place and doesn't provide any restriction on them after that period of time?
 - A. That's right. That's not what the letter says.
- Q. Okay. And you have the same view of the letter for May and for December, correct?
- A. Yes. It's basically the same letters. It's just one of them clarified the location.

- Q. So your view of Dr. Quebedeaux's letters is that they would -- he didn't have any problem with them letting liquid waste leave the site after the operation is terminated?
- A. Well, knowing Dr. Quebedeaux, I don't think he would have wanted liquid waste to leave the site at any time; but his admonition is "By virtue of your production operations, don't let any liquid waste leave."
- Q. I think when we talked about this at your deposition, you were of the opinion that it was the intention that there be no releases from the impoundments of contaminated liquid waste?
- A. Right. That was the distinction because I think the December letter was of concern as to what it was. There was a couple of -- a couple of opinions on what it was.
 - Q. Yes.

- A. One of them was it was clean water.
- Q. And you're compensated for your work on this case, correct?
 - A. Oh, yes. Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. You earn \$250 an hour?
- 24 A. Yes, that's what I'm paid.
- 25 Q. And at the time of your deposition you had

```
1
   spent approximately 300 hours on this matter?
        Α.
2
             Yes.
3
        Q.
             Do you have any idea about your total amount of
4
   time spent on this case prior to today?
             Not counting this week, you mean?
5
        Α.
             And including and counting this week.
6
        Q.
             It's somewhat over 400 hours now.
7
        Α.
             Somewhat over 400 hours?
8
        Q.
9
        Α.
            Yes, sir.
10
            And so if we're doing our math correctly,
        Q.
11
   perhaps you can do the math correctly, what is the total
12
   amount of your fees that you have generated for your
   work on this case?
13
14
             Over the last couple of years it would have
15
   amounted to over a hundred thousand dollars.
16
             Okay. Let me look at some of the dredging
        Q.
   documents that you referred to with counsel.
17
18
                 MR. WOTRING:
                                If I can pull up Exhibit
19
   No. 1031.
20
        Q.
             This is the 1995 photograph, correct?
21
            Yes, sir.
        Α.
22
            And am I correct in thinking that in this 1995
        Q.
23
   photo --
24
                 MR. WOTRING: Brian, would you mind trying
25
   to do a more close-up of the site?
```

- 1 Am I correct that you don't believe that there Q. is -- has been any dredging impacting the site as of 2 this date in 1995? 3
 - I don't think you can see it visually if there has been.
- Do you believe that you can see that the 6 Q. eastern impoundment is underwater?
 - Α. There is definitely water within it, yes.
 - Q. There is definitely water within it, both what we've been calling Pit B and Pit C?
- 11 Α. I can't really tell on B. There is a dark spot 12 there.
- 13 Q. Okay.

5

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

- 14 C definitely looks to me like it has water in Α. 15 it.
 - Can we move on to Exhibit 1034 that you Q. reviewed with counsel? This is the 2001 photo.
 - Α. This is the one I looked at earlier, yes.
 - Q. Do you believe you see impact of dredging on this particular photo?
- 21 Α. Absolutely.
- 22 I think you've reviewed that before. Do you Q. believe that the dredging impact is in the northwest 23 corner? 24
- 25 Well, sort of the western side of the dike and Α.

- then the northwest -- this used to be a diked area containing waste up there and it's gone. So, yes, I do believe the dredging impacted it.
- Q. Okay. Now, the eastern two impoundments, as we see in this picture from 2001, appears to be submerged beneath the San Jacinto River? Would you agree with me on that?
- A. There appears to be some submergence, yes. The dike is visible, but not all above water.
- Q. Okay. And Pit B also seems to be underneath the waters of the San Jacinto River. Would you agree with me on that?
- A. It's not as clear; but I think at least parts
 of it are, yes.
 - Q. If we go to Exhibit No. 1339, and I think -- if we could go to Page 10 of this particular exhibit -- I may be on the wrong exhibit and may have to find this later.
 - I may be misremembering it, but I believe you only identified one instance in which there was actual dredging taking place near the impoundments?
 - A. No. There were I think at least three.
 - Q. Well, there is the tidal study that was done?
- 24 A. Right.

Q. And can we be correct -- the tidal study was

done outside the impoundments?

A. Yeah, we don't really know where it was. But I think it was in the Phase I area, but I'm not sure precisely where.

Q. Okay.

- A. I don't believe it was in the pits, though.
- Q. And then the dredging that was done by Mega Sand was done in the 1996/1997 time period, correct?
 - A. Yeah. The two inspections were in '97 and '99.
- Q. And those are the dredging that you think impacted the western impoundment?
- 12 A. Yes.
 - Q. I think you clarified this, but you didn't see any effort by any of the defendants to do anything with respect to that dredging?
 - A. I didn't see any indication the defendants knew about it.
 - Q. And have you seen any indication that there was any notice put on the land where the pits are located about the existence of the pits prior to there being dredging in and around the area?
 - A. A deed notice, you mean?
 - Q. I'm not talking about a deed notice. I'm talking about a physical notice on the land, itself.
 - A. A sign, you mean?

```
1
        Q.
            That's correct.
             I don't know. I can't see that from the aerial
2
        Α.
3
   photography.
4
             And you haven't seen any other information
        Q.
   about there being a sign or fencing or anything else
5
6
   indicating a presence of pits out there during the
7
   1990s?
8
             I don't think I have seen any documentation of
   the site in the 1990s.
9
                 MR. WOTRING: If we can pull up Exhibit
10
   No. 939.
11
12
        Q.
             I think this is another one you looked at.
   This is a Texas Parks & Wildlife letter --
13
14
                 MR. REASONER: Counsel, if we could use the
15
   other version.
16
                 MR. WOTRING: Yes, we'll get the other
   version to put up there.
17
18
        Q.
             (By Mr. Wotring) That's the Texas Parks &
19
   Wildlife letter that you reviewed with counsel, and I
20
   can give you a hard copy of that.
21
                 MR. REASONER: Earnest, Jenn can pull up
22
   the document.
            Yeah, I guess those are the documents that I
23
   have seen in the '90s, were these dredging-type
24
```

documents.

- Q. (By Mr. Wotring) And that document is the document that you discussed about notification about dredging going on in and around the site affecting the
- 4 pits, correct?

- A. Yes. The Texas Parks & Wildlife was putting the TCEQ on notice that this was going on and they were concerned about it.
- Q. Okay. And by this point in time, if the survey is correct, the pits have been inundated with the -- have been inundated with the water of the San Jacinto River for 15 years?
- A. If the survey is correct, at least some of those times it would have been, yes.
- Q. So, Mr. Zoch, to be clear about your opinions in this case, your opinions are that -- you're not taking the opinion there were no releases of dioxin from the paper mill sludge contained in the impoundments, correct? You are taking the position that those occurred primarily or overwhelmingly as a result of the dredging that took place in the 1990s?
 - A. That's accurate. That's what my opinion is.
- Q. Okay. So I take it -- and, you know, words like "primarily" or "overwhelmingly" for a lawyer almost require a follow-up. Do I take it that you are also of the opinion that prior to the dredging in the 1990s,

```
there would have been some releases of dioxin from the
1
   paper mill sludge from impoundments, or the pits we've
2
3
   been calling B and C; or do you think that's not a
   possibility?
4
5
            Well, you know, I segregate that between the
   source of those kind of releases; and I said earlier
6
7
   that I don't believe there was any erosion that would
8
   have been caused by the fact that these pits were
   partially underwater from time to time and, therefore,
   were subject to currents from either tide or the river.
10
   I don't believe erosion would have been a mechanism of
11
12
               I know others in this case are going to talk
   transport.
   about other potential mechanisms. I don't have an
13
14
   opinion on that right now.
            So you leave that to others about other
15
   potential mechanisms aside from erosion and dredging?
16
17
        Α.
            Yes, sir.
18
                 MR. WOTRING:
                               Mr. Zoch, that is all the
19
   questions I have.
20
                 THE WITNESS:
                               Thank you.
21
                 THE COURT:
                             Mr. Carter.
22
                 MR. CARTER: Judge, may I approach for just
23
   a minute?
24
                 THE COURT:
                             Yes
25
                 (After a bench discussion outside the
```

hearing of the reporter and jury, the following 1 2 proceedings were had:) 3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. CARTER: 4 Mr. Zoch, you were asked questions about the 5 Q. letters that Dr. Quebedeaux wrote in May and June and 6 7 then there was one in December of 1965, I believe. Dr. Quebedeaux knew about this site, obviously? 9 Α. Absolutely. 10 Q. And the Texas State Health Department report was disseminated? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 Did you see any documentation from 14 Dr. Quebedeaux after April the 22nd of 1966 to the contractor that said, "As a result of this site, you 15 need to -- you need to make sure that no releases come 16 from this site"? 17 18 Α. No. I haven't. 19 In other words, the letters that were written 20 during the time of the operation were addressing the 21 operation? 22 That's correct, and that's why my opinion is it Α. was addressing the operation. 23 24 Q. And there wasn't any other correspondence to

the contractor that said -- in May of '66 that said --

```
or even months after that that said to the contractor,
1
2
   "Make sure you don't have a release," any letter from
   Dr. Quebedeaux about that?
3
        Α.
            No, I have seen no such letter.
4
5
        Q.
            I asked you some questions on direct
   examination concerning the necessity for permits
6
7
   concerning waste disposal during '65 and '66. Were
8
   there any permits required for waste disposal?
9
        Α.
            No.
                 And I was referring to solid waste
10
   disposal, the kind of sludge that we're talking about in
11
   this case.
               There were no such disposal permits
12
   required.
13
                 MR. CARTER: Your Honor, I pass the
14
             Thank you.
   witness.
15
                 MS. GRAY: No further questions, Your
16
   Honor.
17
                 MR. REASONER: I don't have anything
18
   further.
19
                 MR. WOTRING:
                               I do have a couple of further
20
   questions.
               Then I need to approach the bench.
21
                        CROSS-EXAMINATION
   QUESTIONS BY MR. WOTRING:
22
23
        Q.
            Can we agree that none of the dredging permits
24
   that you've discussed with counsel were for dredging
25
   directly into the site, itself?
```

```
1
            That's a good question. I have never seen the
        Α.
2
   dredging permit that precisely called out the
3
   boundaries. It talked about the property owned by at
   that point Captain Jack, but I have never seen the
4
5
   survey.
                               That's the only question I
6
                 MR. WOTRING:
7
   have, Your Honor.
8
                 THE COURT:
                             Thank you.
9
                 MR. CARTER: Nothing further, Your Honor.
10
                 THE COURT: You may step down. You are
11
   excused, sir.
12
                 THE WITNESS:
                               Thank you, Your Honor.
13
                 THE COURT: Counsel approach for just a
14
   minute.
15
                 (After a bench discussion outside the
   hearing of the reporter and jury, the following
16
17
   proceedings were had:)
18
                 THE COURT: All right, ladies and
19
   gentlemen, we're going to go ahead and recess for the
20
   day. We've got some other work to do. So we're going
21
   to send you-all home. Remember tomorrow morning we're
22
   starting at 10:30. Y'all have a good evening.
23
                 (Whereupon, the following proceedings were
24
   outside the presence of the jury:)
25
                 THE COURT: Let's go on the record.
```

MR. REASONER: In discussion with counsel -- Exhibit 939, which we used with a prior witness, I discussed with counsel before, it had been redacted but I noted two places on the front page where it discussed tissue samples and significant threat to aquatic resources and human health. Both Mr. Bedient and Mr. Wotring preserved their objection to that being redacted but agreed to let me use that in redacted form. So we have a new version of Exhibit 939 that has these additional redactions that I used with this witness --

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. REASONER: -- and they've preserved their objections to those redactions. Mr. Benedict and Mr. Wotring preserved those objections. I don't know what Mr. Bedient's view is on the issue. Dr. -- excuse me, Dr. Bedient. Thank you. That's all I have.

MS. GRAY: Your Honor, I just have one thing for the record. For purposes of the objection that was made, do you mind putting on the record that --

THE COURT: Yes, I sustained that objection with regard to the TMDL conclusion.

I sustained the objection that Ms. Gray made when it came up with Mr. Zoch to the question with regard to the TMDL conclusion only.

Off the record. 1 2 (Whereupon, after a discussion off the 3 record, the following proceedings were had:) THE COURT: Back on the record. 4 5 MR. CARTER: Judge, I would like to make an offer to the court of two exhibits. The first is 6 7 Defendants' Exhibit 147-A, which is the July 1, 1966 8 contract between Champion and McGinnes Industrial Maintenance Corporation. It's the follow-on contract 10 that has the language in it which deals with Paragraph 11 9, the amendment; and it says that this supersedes and 12 replaces a previous agreement between the parties dated April 29, 1965. 13 14 THE COURT: You are offering that to the 15 court only for support of your position that the other 16 contract expired? 17 MR. CARTER: Expired or terminated --18 THE COURT: Terminated. 19 MR. CARTER: -- in July 1, 1966. 20 THE COURT: Okay. MR. CARTER: And then I offer Defendants' 21 22 Exhibit 1515-A, which is a further amended agreement between Champion Papers and McGinnes Industrial 23 24 Maintenance Corporation dated February 27, 1967, which 25 addresses and amends -- makes some amendments to the

1966 agreement, 147-A.

THE COURT: It's my understanding that Mr. Carter is offering those two exhibits to the court only to support his legal argument that the previous contract expired July 1st, 1966.

MR. CARTER: That's right. And that contract interpretation is a legal issue for the court rather than for the jury.

MR. WOTRING: And Harris County does object under these circumstances because the evidence in front of the jury is that there was only one site and, therefore, in the existing contract it only referred to this site and not to some other site.

THE COURT: But does that change the legal termination date?

MR. WOTRING: Harris County would argue that the evidence in front of the jury is the evidence that the Court should consider in interpreting the contract in these circumstances.

MR. CARTER: That would be parol evidence, and that would be not appropriate for any parol evidence. The Court can look at the contract in evidence, April 27, 1965, and take the two additional agreements and make her determination. There is no -- the parties to the contract agree that the contract

```
terminated in July -- on July 1, 1966, by virtue of the
1
   amendment. So there is no ambiguity. There is no issue
2
3
   of ambiguity. It is simply a matter of contract
4
   interpretation.
5
                 MR. WOTRING: Can we go off for just a
6
   second?
7
                 THE COURT: Yes.
8
                 (Whereupon, after a discussion off the
   record, court was adjourned:)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

THE STATE OF TEXAS COUNTY OF HARRIS

I, Kimberly Kidd, Official Court Reporter in and for the 295th District Court of Harris County, State of Texas, do hereby certify that the above and foregoing contains a true and correct daily copy transcription of all portions of evidence and other proceedings requested in writing by counsel for the parties to be included in this volume of the Reporter's Record, in the above-styled and numbered cause, all of which occurred in open court or in chambers and were reported by me.

I further certify that this Reporter's Record of the proceedings truly and correctly reflects the exhibits, if any, admitted, tendered in an offer of proof or offered into evidence.

WITNESS my hand this the 30th day of October, 2014.

(713) 368-6453

\$	1	158:20, 198:24	181:4	1987 [1] - 78:21
Ψ		12 [16] - 12:23, 12:25,	18 [10] - 45:10, 46:19,	1988 [1] - 195:18
\$250 [1] - 200:23	1 [26] - 1:1, 10:22,	13:1, 43:14, 45:8,	52:13, 52:15, 52:17,	1989 [3] - 192:14,
	10:23, 11:11, 33:4,	45:19, 50:7, 54:11,	53:8, 53:24, 65:20,	193:14, 193:19
•	35:23, 37:7, 43:3,	67:2, 67:5, 95:20,	66:1, 162:13	1990 [1] - 78:22
	43:5, 48:23, 49:18,	95:21, 110:18,	184 [2] - 162:11,	1990s [7] - 154:12,
'50s [1] - 149:1	58:16, 61:10, 67:23,	110:22, 110:23,	162:24	193:24, 194:10,
'60s [14] - 84:9, 88:2,	68:4, 69:17, 69:24,	174:17	184-acre [1] - 155:15	205:7, 205:9,
88:11, 88:23, 89:13,	70:3, 164:15,	12/31/15 [1] - 215:22	19 [5] - 12:23, 12:25,	206:20, 206:25
90:7, 91:17, 91:18,	164:16, 171:24,	1237 [1] - 150:24	13:1, 46:23, 47:9	1991 [4] - 57:15,
98:8, 100:11, 137:1,	172:18, 212:7,	1240 [1] - 152:1	19284 [2] - 158:14,	57:17, 57:25, 154:18
149:2, 180:1	212:19, 214:1	1247 [1] - 154:17	165:8	1992 [1] - 158:21
'65 [13] - 35:7, 43:16,	10 [19] - 10:25, 11:11,	12548 [1] - 2:19	1960s [1] - 89:12	1993 [1] - 160:5
51:5, 84:10, 95:7,	11:14, 12:7, 31:24,	128 [1] - 131:9	1961 [1] - 152:9	1994 [1] - 172:5
103:10, 130:22,	33:12, 35:23, 43:3,	13 [6] - 24:16, 28:9,	1964 [2] - 105:20,	1995 [9] - 96:5,
130:25, 131:5,	43:6, 47:8, 57:23,	31:23, 33:11, 45:10,	105:24	158:24, 158:25,
134:1, 134:12, 209:7	58:20, 110:18,	50:7	1965 [29] - 34:4, 35:6,	161:14, 167:24,
'66 [11] - 35:7, 84:10,	110:22, 110:23,	1310 [1] - 159:8	35:12, 77:18, 80:8,	168:4, 201:20,
95:7, 107:2, 115:20,	146:14, 149:21,	1318 [1] - 160:7	89:15, 94:23, 95:6,	201:22, 202:3
119:10, 128:12,	174:3, 203:16	1334 [1] - 164:14	95:25, 98:18, 103:7,	1996/1997 [1] - 204:8
133:19, 136:14,	10/3 [1] - 12:11	1338 [2] - 165:12	103:19, 108:3,	1998 [1] - 195:20
208:25, 209:7	1000 [1] - 3:15	1339 [2] - 170:9,	116:3, 128:12,	1999 [2] - 165:11,
'70s [2] - 80:3, 192:8	1005 [2] - 192:22,	203:15	130:12, 131:25,	166:1
'74 [2] - 78:16, 79:14	193:4	1368 [1] - 175:11	133:5, 133:10,	19th [2] - 176:8,
'80s [2] - 79:15	101/22 [3] - 63:22,	14 [10] - 14:7, 14:11,	133:14, 133:23,	185:14
'85 [1] - 197:3	64:5, 64:23	22:22, 47:12, 60:23,	134:2, 134:7,	1st [3] - 57:25, 68:12,
'88 [1] - 197:3	1019 [1] - 2:12	61:8, 103:16,	136:14, 136:17,	213:5
'89 [1] - 193:17	102 [1] - 63:25	149:15, 149:20,	198:24, 208:7,	
'90s [5] - 66:23, 79:15,	102/4 [3] - 63:23, 64:5,	150:5	212:13, 213:23	2
192:9, 193:22,	64:24	143 [1] - 185:12	1966 [23] - 40:19, 89:7,	
205:24	103/21 [1] - 65:1	1436 [1] - 98:15	107:4, 115:20,	2 [17] - 12:7, 12:13,
'92 [1] - 154:14	1031 [2] - 167:23,	1437 [1] - 13:23	119:9, 123:13,	29:6, 46:19, 48:2,
'93 [5] - 154:14,	201:19	144 [3] - 16:15, 17:11,	126:10, 126:15,	48:16, 48:18, 61:18,
159:10, 159:18,	1034 [2] - 169:1,	23:2	129:6, 129:10,	61:24, 62:4, 65:24,
168:6, 168:17	202:16	147-A [2] - 212:7,	130:8, 133:17,	66:1, 67:23, 68:11,
'95 [2] - 160:11,	104 [3] - 65:20, 65:24,	213:1	135:12, 136:17,	96:13, 120:8, 149:5
168:14	66:1	14th [4] - 69:3, 173:15,	139:20, 186:2,	2,000 [1] - 71:4
'96 [2] - 154:14,	104-mill [2] - 197:7,	175:9, 215:24	188:7, 208:14,	20 [16] - 14:7, 14:10,
161:24	197:8	15 [5] - 23:9, 57:1,	212:7, 212:19,	22:21, 22:24, 28:25,
'97 [3] - 164:17,	104/18 [1] - 65:2	58:3, 167:23, 206:11	213:1, 213:5, 214:1	31:18, 33:4, 40:22,
164:23, 204:9	1050 [1] - 3:21	1515-A [1] - 212:22	1967 [3] - 94:1, 186:3,	41:2, 46:19, 47:24,
'99 [4] - 154:15,	106 [6] - 38:15, 41:4,	15TH [1] - 2:12	212:24	52:12, 53:1, 57:5,
165:20, 168:14,	43:3, 66:9, 67:2,	15th [3] - 131:25,	1968 [4] - 76:14, 77:2,	59:4, 61:8
204:9	67:5	133:5, 188:24	77:13, 185:14	20-acre [1] - 134:11
'get [1] - 172:25	107 [4] - 37:10, 38:15,	16 [6] - 47:5, 47:10,	1969 [1] - 80:9	2000 [3] - 43:16, 51:2,
'it [1] - 57:3	41:4, 43:4	47:12, 52:17, 53:8,	1970 [5] - 77:13,	170:17
'MIMC' [1] - 16:21	10:30 [1] - 210:22	53:24	77:21, 94:15,	2000s [2] - 51:6, 51:7
'screening [1] - 71:5	10th [4] - 126:15,	168 [6] - 46:19, 48:17,	146:22, 155:14	2001 [9] - 47:1, 47:22,
	129:10, 130:8,	50:19, 51:15, 52:11,	1972 [1] - 151:22	51:2, 51:18, 51:24,
/	130:12	53:1	1973 [2] - 188:24,	169:2, 171:3,
lo (4) 045:04	11 [13] - 12:13, 31:23,	168/20 [1] - 48:18	189:6	202:17, 203:5
/s [1] - 215:21	32:19, 33:8, 33:11,	169 [5] - 46:19, 52:12,	1974 [3] - 78:9, 78:15	2002 [1] - 172:5
^	47:5, 47:10, 53:7,	53:6, 53:7, 53:23	1976 [3] - 79:21,	2003 [1] - 170:12
0	58:19, 61:22,	169/18 [1] - 48:19	146:23, 151:3	20036-5306 [1] - 3:22
01 (4) = 165:0	103:17, 103:19,	169/5 [1] - 53:1	1978 [1] - 49:23	2005 [10] - 68:4, 68:12,
01 [1] - 165:9 02 [1] - 165:9	163:9	16th [1] - 133:5	1980 [2] - 79:24, 152:3	70:22, 171:24,
02 [i] - 100.8	1100 [2] - 3:6, 152:25	17 [12] - 34:4, 35:6,	19824 [1] - 174:22	172:13, 173:15,
	1111 [1] - 4:6	37:20, 47:9, 59:10,	1985 [4] - 42:22,	175:9, 176:12
	1131 [2] - 102:18	61:8, 119:5, 178:18,	195:13, 195:18,	2006 [5] - 68:16,
	11th [4] - 35:12, 68:16,	178:23, 179:20,	195:21	68:20, 69:4, 69:11,

80:9

71:4 156:3, 158:18 **5300** [1] - 3:6 713.980.1713 [1] - 2:6 **95** [2] - 61:10, 61:15 **2007** [4] - 66:24, **30** [8] - 1:15, 5:1, 78:5, **5520** [1] - 69:6 **72** [5] - 65:3, 65:15, 96 [5] - 61:10, 61:11, 71:10, 175:12, 80:20, 100:17, **5530** [1] - 68:22 65:21, 65:22, 66:12 61:17, 61:23, 66:19 175:16 100:18, 110:25, **73** [3] - 104:3, 176:5, **5534** [1] - 68:25 96/2[1] - 61:20 2008 [4] - 47:20, 71:7, 123:9 189.4 **97** [2] - 61:5, 61:13 **5538** [1] - 68:6 171:1, 176:1 **300** [1] - 201:1 **75** [3] - 40:5, 43:10, **97/11** [1] - 61:5 **5550** [1] - 68:15 30th [3] - 1:18, 192:14, **2009** [6] - 15:11, 17:3, **5553** [1] - 68:19 171:16 99/25 [1] - 62:14 17:20, 18:3, 176:8, 215:17 **58** [6] - 33:17, 33:18, **75/22** [1] - 45:4 **311314** [1] - 69:12 176:13 76 [9] - 47:8, 47:24, 34:1, 34:3, 35:2, Α **201** [1] - 215:24 31st [7] - 57:17, 48:23, 49:18, 50:7, **A0309811** [1] - 69:12 154:18, 158:25, **2010** [2] - 16:15, 17:14 50:16, 50:18, 51:2 59 [12] - 32:15, 32:18, 165:11, 171:1, **A5480** [1] - 69:6 2011-76724 [1] - 1:2 76/19 [1] - 46:17 33:8, 33:18, 34:1, **2013** [2] - 84:2, 175:22 175:16, 175:22 **76/20** [1] - 51:9 A5527 [1] - 68:22 34:6. 34:19. 35:3. **2014** [4] - 1:15, 1:18, **33** [3] - 149:16, 35:11, 35:18, 38:25, **76/3** [2] - 43:11, 45:4 **A5531** [1] - 68:25 155:16, 155:20 **A5535** [1] - 68:6 5:1, 215:18 39:1 **76/4** [2] - 46:17, 46:22 **35** [1] - 85:16 202.955.8500 [1] -5th [1] - 159:10 **77** [1] - 40:5 A5548 [1] - 68:14 **368-6453** [1] - 215:25 **A5551** [1] - 68:19 3:22 **77002** [5] - 2:6, 2:12, **386** [1] - 105:19 **21** [12] - 34:6, 35:11, ability [1] - 181:12 6 3:6, 3:16, 215:24 35:18, 35:19, 38:25, **386-18** [2] - 138:4 able [18] - 16:24, 17:6, **77002-5242** [1] - 4:6 6 [12] - 29:6, 32:18, 39:2, 46:20, 53:2, 3rd [1] - 134:12 22:8, 27:9, 30:15, **78** [2] - 45:10, 172:12 33:8, 43:14, 45:8, 56:22, 57:5, 58:9, **7800** [1] - 168:5 45:15, 113:15, 45:19, 53:6, 54:11, 61:15 4 **78711-2548** [1] - 2:19 121:20, 123:17, 58:19, 65:24, 69:1, **22** [5] - 29:1, 31:18, 7th [1] - 172:13 146:1, 146:13, **4** [8] - 47:8, 49:21. 96:5 33:5, 43:10, 61:10 150:9, 150:18, 56:12, 60:22, 61:8, **60** [8] - 34:8, 34:19, 22nd [7] - 16:15, 178:10, 178:17, 8 64:1, 68:20, 148:2 35:21, 35:23, 37:6, 17:11, 17:14, 68:4, 178:22, 179:11, 119:9, 126:10, 4-inch [1] - 147:21 37:20, 43:3, 43:5 8[4] - 23:7, 40:5, 40:8, 180:24 208:14 **40** [9] - 12:12, 12:23, **600** [1] - 2:5 57:3 above-entitled [1] -23 [4] - 31:23, 33:11, 14:7, 14:9, 14:10, **61** [1] - 34:20 **8.7** [2] - 155:18, 1:18 22:13, 22:21, 22:23, 53:2, 149:16 **63** [1] - 34:8 above-referenced [1] 155:25 90:23 **63/17** [1] - 39:3 **24** [9] - 54:20, 55:5, 81 [6] - 43:14, 45:8, - 16:22 **400** [2] - 201:7, 201:8 **658** [4] - 60:4, 60:7, 57:13, 58:10, 59:12, above-styled [1] -45:19, 51:1, 51:4, **4000** [1] - 3:15 60:14, 60:19, 60:20, 183:13, 188:3 215:10 54:10 146:25 **41** [6] - 7:5, 14:7, **662** [1] - 183:12 82/21 [1] - 54:17 aboveboard [1] - 97:3 14:10, 14:17, 22:21, **2437** [1] - 215:21 **67** [1] - 57:14 absolutely [5] - 80:8, **83/3** [1] - 54:17 97:5, 170:6, 202:21, **25** [13] - 12:6, 35:18, 23:9 **69** [1] - 161:21 84 [1] - 54:17 41/14 [1] - 22:24 35:19, 38:25, 39:2, 208:9 **69/25** [1] - 40:4 87_[1] - 54:22 **42/2** [1] - 23:10 47:24, 51:10, 51:11, absolved [1] - 56:7 8th [1] - 57:15 53:2, 61:10, 103:7, **47** [1] - 46:20 7 **abstracted** [1] - 73:21 110:25, 123:9 **48** [7] - 23:11, 28:25, abundant [1] - 141:10 9 **7** [14] - 15:11, 22:16, **25TH** [1] - 4:6 31:18, 31:23, 33:4, acceptable [1] - 51:25 40:7, 56:12, 65:20, **26** [2] - 158:11, 166:1 33:9 9 [8] - 12:6, 53:23, access [8] - 18:22, 67:23, 69:8, 69:17, **27** [3] - 57:24, 212:24, **48/11** [1] - 24:16 61:20, 61:24, 62:4, 20:22, 21:2, 21:5, 69:24, 70:3, 149:20, 213:23 **49** [3] - 29:6, 31:23, 64:1, 162:25, 212:11 21:8, 21:10, 21:12, 150:5, 162:14, 27th [1] - 175:12 33:12 **9.25** [1] - 162:13 21:16 164:17 49/12 [1] - 46:21 28th [4] - 17:3, 68:20, 90 [2] - 56:12, 61:7 accomplish [2] - 12:7, **7,800** [1] - 160:2 68:23, 198:8 90/23 [1] - 56:16 32:25 **7.101** [1] - 9:13 **91** [3] - 56:22, 57:1, **29** [1] - 212:13 5 account [3] - 117:12, 295TH [1] - 1:11 **70** [4] - 40:7, 62:15, 57:13 117:17, 117:19 **5** [12] - 11:1, 11:12, 62:21 295th [2] - 215:4, **92** [2] - 57:10, 57:12 accumulated [1] -12:11, 40:7, 61:10, 700 [1] - 2:5 215:23 **92/15** [1] - 59:3 118:15 63:25, 64:3, 67:2, **71** [3] - 40:16, 40:22, **29th** [3] - 98:18, 93 [1] - 58:14 accurate [3] - 54:8, 67:5, 68:23, 134:14, 65:3 160:11, 165:20 **93/5** [1] - 58:23 160:24, 206:21 156:24 713[1] - 215:25 939 [5] - 173:11, acquired [2] - 151:22, 5-mill [6] - 42:20, 713.650.8805 [1] - 3:7 3 173:13, 205:11, 159:17 195:19, 196:9, **713.651.2663** [1] - 4:7 acres [4] - 162:11, 211:2, 211:10 197:1, 197:3, 197:16 **3** [13] - 11:1, 11:11, 713.755.7880 [1] -162:13, 162:24, 94 [4] - 60:22, 61:5, **50** [1] - 76:6 32:15, 32:18, 33:8, 2:13 61:8 162:25 **512-463.2012** [1] -44:13, 46:20, 57:15, 713.890.5000 [1] -94/21 [1] - 61:15 act [3] - 7:17, 7:20, 2:20 58:14, 68:16, 97:6, 3:16 **94/4** [1] - 61:5

Act [12] - 5:10, 6:23, 10:6, 18:24, 19:12, 136:7, 136:10, 136:11, 136:13, 136:16, 146:11 acting [1] - 1:5 action [2] - 189:16 active [1] - 174:9 activities [8] - 76:23, 92:17, 94:13, 95:3, 158:16, 169:23, 174:16, 176:19 activity [16] - 34:5, 35:7, 35:9, 35:14, 37:5, 37:9, 37:22, 37:23, 124:15, 139:10, 139:21, 156:11, 160:23, 161:1, 170:2, 170:5 actual [12] - 25:1, 25:5, 26:5, 59:6, 59:7, 85:4, 89:12, 95:24, 103:13, 106:22, 158:13, 203:21 add [1] - 38:21 added [7] - 108:1, 108:2, 108:7, 116:4, 152:12, 152:13, 184:22 addition [4] - 69:19, 88:15, 137:4, 179:7 additional [14] -12:24, 72:6, 72:9, 108:8, 116:3, 123:1, 146:11, 149:23, 152:12, 152:13, 163:22, 168:7, 211:10, 213:23 additionally [1] -169:24 address [13] - 10:4, 13:7, 21:24, 34:15, 64:11, 64:16, 64:19, 70:12, 74:10, 101:15, 179:1, 179:22, 180:17 addressed [7] - 6:15, 9:22, 14:2, 68:5, 68:13, 100:22, 101:17 addresses [2] - 7:23, 212:25 addressing [4] - 9:8, 101:18, 208:20, 208:23 adjacent [8] - 106:14, 108:6, 140:8, 157:11, 157:12,

157:13, 157:23,

163:15 adjourned [1] - 214:9 administrative [2] -81:1. 96:10 admissible [3] -15:19, 28:4, 37:12 admission [4] - 54:25, 55:5, 59:14, 60:13 admissions [1] -16:13 admit [3] - 13:18, 13:20, 15:20 admitted [13] - 15:5, 15:16, 23:7, 54:22, 55:19, 59:13, 60:19, 60:20, 65:11, 65:13, 67:15, 215:15 admonition [4] -102:12, 102:13, 104:20, 200:7 adopted [1] - 73:1 advance [2] - 92:6, 100:8 advantage [1] -113:14 advise [2] - 5:12, 70:16 aerial [12] - 105:20, 112:16, 115:20, 167:7, 167:12, 167:15, 167:18, 188:6, 189:6, 205:2 affected [9] - 90:8, 192:9, 192:16, 193:20, 193:22, 193:23, 193:25, 194:3 affecting [1] - 206:3 **afoul** [1] - 50:2 afternoon [6] - 75:1, 75:2, 75:4, 129:19, 129:20, 137:23 afterwards [1] - 44:21 agencies [4] - 155:6, 156:9, 158:1, 171:10 Agency [2] - 69:11, 71:1 agency [6] - 151:6, 152:9, 152:12, 153:10, 154:22, 162:2 ago [4] - 119:16, 166:21, 183:6, 191:8 agree [19] - 27:16, 47:5, 75:23, 88:19, 101:13, 117:1, 184:15, 184:24, 185:3, 187:5, 188:5,

188:10, 192:10,

192:20, 194:25,

203:6, 203:11, 209:23, 213:25 agreed [3] - 70:17, 197:16, 211:8 agreement [5] - 81:23, 98:22, 212:12, 212:22, 213:1 agreements [3] - 21:5, 21:16, 213:24 ahead [3] - 69:23, 91:25, 210:19 air [4] - 79:18, 93:2, 94:7, 95:4 **Al** [2] - 16:15, 17:11 Allen [15] - 10:19, 10:21, 10:22, 10:23, 23:18, 24:1, 26:9, 32:7, 32:8, 45:24, 54:20, 55:1, 64:17, 64:18, 64:22 Allen's [1] - 26:9 allow [14] - 6:5, 9:24, 21:10, 24:5, 33:2, 38:25, 45:23, 56:25, 101:22, 102:6, 109:6, 112:13, 114:24, 199:10 allowed [4] - 9:25, 24:10, 114:16, 128:25 **allowing** [1] - 9:15 allows [2] - 147:23, 179:17 almost [1] - 206:23 altered [1] - 53:2 alternate [1] - 76:21 alternated [1] - 77:1 **AMANDES** [1] - 3:18 ambiguity [2] - 214:2, 214:3 amend [1] - 175:16 amended [2] - 170:20, 212:22 amendment [3] -174:22, 212:11, 214:2 amendments [1] -212:25 amends [1] - 212:25 American [1] - 78:22 amount [8] - 72:23, 120:2, 129:2, 141:5, 160:4, 201:3, 201:12 amounted [1] - 201:15 amused [1] - 63:6 analogy [1] - 191:5 analyses [1] - 120:20 analysis [8] - 120:18, 120:22, 120:23, 120:25, 128:8,

130:25, 132:7, 173:5 anchors [1] - 145:1 ancient [1] - 59:17 **AND** [4] - 1:10, 2:10, 3:4, 3:20 Andy [1] - 171:18 animation [2] -109:19, 112:19 answer [31] - 11:16, 19:14, 24:6, 24:10, 24:12, 24:13, 24:18, 29:3, 36:3, 36:10, 36:19, 36:22, 37:7, 49:19, 50:2, 50:15, 50:21, 51:17, 51:23, 52:17, 57:6, 58:14, 86:13, 90:2, 128:18, 135:19, 137:3, 180:13, 180:24, 181:8 ANSWER [17] - 32:24, 35:10, 35:15, 47:21, 49:24, 57:18, 57:22, 58:2, 58:8, 58:16, 58:17, 88:2, 88:4, 88:7, 88:9, 88:14, 88:18 answered [5] - 23:20, 38:14, 38:17, 66:12, 137:7 answering [1] - 19:16 answers [6] - 11:8, 11:21, 37:25, 45:14, 46:1, 58:20 **ANTHONY** [2] - 2:21, 3:9 anyway [3] - 62:10, 66:24, 111:2 apart [3] - 189:12, 191:1 apologize [2] - 33:15, 41:7 appear [1] - 56:23 appearance [1] -191:7 appeared [4] - 86:8, 116:3, 146:21, 146:23 appearing [1] - 129:23 application [3] - 79:8, 151:23, 158:2 applied [2] - 105:2, 105:9 applies [1] - 10:15 apply [1] - 8:21 Appraisal [1] - 13:17 appraisal [2] - 13:2, 13:12

181:7

approach [9] - 93:7, 93:9, 96:25, 99:9, 138:6, 195:5, 207:22, 209:20, 210:13 approaching [2] -93:13. 122:8 appropriate [4] -27:20, 93:19, 99:21, 213:21 appropriately [1] -61:22 approval [10] - 92:21, 92:23, 93:9, 95:15, 95:17, 98:20, 100:25, 105:1, 153:4, 156:18 approved [4] - 97:7, 97:8, 170:18, 170:21 approves [1] - 198:11 approving [1] - 37:23 April [15] - 68:16, 70:22, 98:18, 119:8, 126:10, 133:23, 161:23, 171:24, 172:13, 173:15, 175:9, 176:12, 208:14, 212:13, 213:23 aquatic [1] - 211:6 archived [1] - 43:20 area [48] - 28:14, 58:11, 58:21, 62:23, 75:25, 80:2, 81:12, 90:22, 91:1, 94:23, 94:25, 105:25, 106:10, 106:14, 106:21, 118:19, 122:18, 124:17, 124:24, 126:25, 138:16, 141:4, 146:20, 148:14, 149:1, 149:4, 162:9, 162:20, 164:8, 167:10, 168:13, 168:15, 169:4, 169:14, 169:17, 174:15, 175:4, 175:14, 177:3, 177:5, 187:8, 187:9, 194:2, 194:16, 195:1, 203:1, 204:3, 204:21 area's [1] - 173:2 areas [8] - 48:21, 92:15, 117:23, 118:3, 127:2, 144:9, 180:14 argue [6] - 11:8, appreciate [2] - 40:12,

27:18, 27:20, 27:21,

49:14, 213:16 argued [2] - 38:3, 71:24 arguing [10] - 14:11, 30:20, 30:21, 35:20, 38:8. 45:14. 45:20. 51:9. 54:19 argument [16] - 10:11, 22:5, 24:14, 24:20. 27:15, 28:10, 28:16, 29:22, 30:2, 31:10, 31:13, 31:14, 56:5, 64:11, 180:3, 213:4 argumentative [2] -61:19, 62:10 arguments [5] - 24:21, 25:14, 30:3, 30:8, 72:12 arms [1] - 12:15 Army [8] - 62:22, 68:18, 71:8, 151:2, 151:5, 159:11, 160:15, 161:24 arrived [2] - 117:4, 117:5 artfully [1] - 52:7 aside [1] - 207:16 aspects [2] - 6:15, 84:20 assert [1] - 18:2 asserted [2] - 15:22, asserting [1] - 14:23 assertion [2] - 14:24, 18:8 Assessment [2] -27:8, 27:13 assigned [3] - 131:16, 133:6, 175:17 assignment [3] -131:3, 131:11, 131:23 assist [5] - 77:15, 77:19, 80:15, 81:13, **ASSISTANT** [2] - 2:14, assistants [1] - 81:1 assisted [2] - 1:21, 95:17 associated [2] -78:25, 83:24 assume [6] - 14:2, 18:12, 51:14, 187:23, 193:5, 193:10 assumed [1] - 117:6 assuming [2] - 51:20, 192:5 assumptions [1] -

118:2 assure [1] - 94:9 **Astrodome** [1] - 89:15 attachments [1] -62:25 attempt [1] - 21:11 attempted [1] - 18:10 attempting [2] - 97:3, 122:16 attending [1] - 76:18 attention [5] - 84:6, 84:22, 103:24, 105:17, 131:18 **ATTORNEY** [4] - 2:11, 2:14, 2:18, 2:22 attorney [3] - 19:22, 19:24, 19:25 attorney's [6] - 6:11, 10:11, 48:7, 70:18, 73:17, 74:10 attorney/client [5] -14:23, 15:1, 15:22, 15:25, 18:8 attorneys [5] - 16:13, 16:23, 18:5, 26:13, 82:24 auger [1] - 147:22 auger-type [1] -147:22 August [5] - 130:22, 134:6. 134:7. 134:12, 185:14 Austin [3] - 76:6, 157:14, 157:19 **AUSTIN** [1] - 2:19 authority [3] - 5:19, 63:11, 100:13 Authority [1] - 58:12 authorization [2] -170:25, 175:21 authorized [2] -147:12, 165:10 available [10] - 35:8, 35:13, 36:24, 36:25, 37:8, 47:2, 75:16, 118:6, 120:19, 139:4 **AVENUE** [1] - 3:21 average [3] - 117:16, 149:16, 149:20 aware [13] - 7:21, 16:6, 16:7, 17:24, 18:5, 73:1, 88:16, 88:18, 134:6, 137:5, 137:7, 173:25, 195:13 awhile [3] - 119:16, 166:20, 191:8 **Axe** [3] - 16:16, 17:9,

17:12

axe [2] - 9:23, 9:24

Axe's [3] - 17:6, 17:8, 18:13

В

bachelor [1] - 76:13 back-of-theenvelope [1] - 118:1 background [4] -77:11, 87:8, 87:11, 87:12 backgrounds [1] -87:14 Baker [14] - 1:19, 10:12, 15:5, 15:10, 16:6, 18:4, 19:16, 22:3, 29:10, 30:12, 82:14, 82:22, 83:6, 83:10 **BAKER** [2] - 2:4, 2:8 BALLESTEROS [1] -3:10 bank [1] - 104:2 **Barbara** [1] - 16:16 Barge [1] - 106:12 barge [24] - 102:15, 106:9, 106:15, 108:22, 109:16, 110:15, 111:4, 111:8, 112:17, 112:20, 114:8, 115:5, 115:9, 118:12, 142:1, 142:22, 144:1, 144:15, 145:13, 166:16, 166:24, 173:1, 174:15 barged [2] - 118:8, 127:10 barges [3] - 106:16, 111:15, 127:10 **BARRETT** [1] - 3:8 base [1] - 144:10 based [23] - 99:3, 101:1, 105:6, 118:17, 119:12, 128:7, 130:9, 132:12, 133:22, 153:12, 153:13, 154:7, 156:22. 158:7, 166:18, 170:3, 173:5, 176:6, 176:14, 176:18, 190:7, 190:15 basin [2] - 111:12, 153:1 basins [2] - 110:8, 141:10

basis [5] - 26:19,

27:23, 30:14, 59:15,

60:5 batch [1] - 114:8 Bates [8] - 68:2, 68:6, 68:14, 68:18, 68:22, 68:25, 69:6, 69:11 bay [1] - 140:24 Bay [2] - 161:6, 161:10 bearing [2] - 53:1, 82:9 bears [1] - 16:2 became [9] - 77:8, 77:13, 91:2, 91:19, 92:15, 110:12, 130:11, 159:17, 162:4 become [3] - 98:10, 130:8, 173:25 becomes [4] - 51:13, 123:23, 124:2, 124:4 becoming [1] - 115:25 bed [1] - 74:3 bedient [1] - 211:7 Bedient [2] - 64:19, 211:17 Bedient's [1] - 193:7 bedient's [1] - 211:16 began [4] - 41:23, 91:13, 95:24, 177:6 begin [1] - 124:16 beginning [7] - 26:8, 27:2, 31:4, 46:20, 85:1, 133:5, 165:9 behalf [12] - 11:21, 16:20, 19:22, 40:3, 45:24, 65:20, 83:1, 83:2, 83:22, 129:23, 136:4, 182:21 behind [4] - 5:20, 153:2, 154:4, 168:13 belabor [1] - 185:24 belief [1] - 28:6 believes [3] - 65:3, 101:5, 185:6 belong [1] - 58:11 below [4] - 143:16, 147:25, 155:16, 155:20 bench [5] - 99:10, 195:7, 207:25, 209:20, 210:15 bend [1] - 154:6 beneath [5] - 133:1, 140:7, 149:22, 149:23, 203:6 **BENEDICT** [10] - 2:21, 63:18, 67:11, 67:20, 68:11, 69:22, 70:6, 70:14, 71:12, 73:13 benedict [1] - 71:11 Benedict [4] - 67:10,

70:1, 70:12, 211:14 beneficial [11] - 9:19, 16:12, 17:25, 18:3, 19:20, 19:23, 20:8, 20:19, 20:21, 21:2, 21:8 benefit [2] - 16:11, 29:25 Bentsen [2] - 62:19, 62:22 berm [1] - 132:5 berms [1] - 132:13 berthed [1] - 112:21 best [3] - 37:4, 97:14, 106:13 better [4] - 39:22, 173:9, 196:8, 198:18 between [21] - 6:19, 30:19, 87:18, 96:6, 99:17, 113:5, 131:3, 131:15, 133:22, 154:20, 163:8, 168:13, 172:5, 183:9, 185:4, 186:20, 190:25, 207:5, 212:8, 212:12, 212:23 beyond [8] - 24:20, 26:12, 35:20, 93:10, 95:14, 178:8, 178:12, 178:16 bias [1] - 25:21 big [8] - 111:20, 117:19, 141:22, 159:15, 169:18, 169:19, 193:13 **bill** [1] - 69:25 bind [3] - 11:7, 11:15, 12:3 bit [19] - 22:4, 77:11, 84:7, 84:16, 91:25, 92:10, 95:23, 108:5. 119:14, 121:10, 123:15, 128:23, 129:1, 138:5, 141:4, 147:16, 148:9, 153:22, 169:5 bites [2] - 173:3, 194:4 blah [2] - 52:3, 52:4 bleached [2] - 196:12, 197:18 blob [1] - 125:11 blow [2] - 134:15, 193:12 blowup [1] - 110:4 board [5] - 40:19, 185:12, 185:14, 185:19, 187:14 Board [3] - 152:11,

152:16, 153:7

boat [5] - 148:21, 152:25, 153:1, 168:11, 169:10 Bob [4] - 32:7, 32:8, 64:22, 102:24 **Bobby** [3] - 96:15, 103:24, 108:20 **BOCKIUS** [1] - 3:15 **BOD** [1] - 42:15 body [4] - 140:15, 144:11, 154:23, 160:14 book [1] - 57:24 bore [1] - 168:17 boring [1] - 148:11 borings [6] - 147:17, 147:18, 147:19, 147:20, 148:5, 149:8 **born** [2] - 76:2, 92:16 bottom [11] - 104:10, 109:3, 114:15, 126:19, 131:20, 140:7, 159:19, 163:14, 165:10, 171:17, 175:20 bought [3] - 78:11, 79:3, 148:22 boundaries [2] -172:24, 210:3 boundary [1] - 173:2 **BOX** [1] - 2:19 box [2] - 191:17, 191:20 boxes [1] - 146:7 bracketed [1] - 172:9 break [11] - 66:3, 66:5, 66:7, 67:7, 70:9, 127:22, 127:24, 182:22, 189:12, 191:1 Brian [2] - 198:20, 201:24 BRIAN [1] - 3:11 bridge [4] - 104:4, 174:3, 174:9, 174:13 briefly [4] - 32:20, 71:15, 73:13, 171:14 **bring** [2] - 24:7, 71:16 bringing [1] - 26:19 broad [2] - 6:19, 180:16 broader [3] - 180:3, 181:9, 197:10 **brochures** [1] - 97:18 brothers [5] - 12:15, 141:22, 159:14, 159:15. 159:17 brought [7] - 25:2, 25:11, 25:18, 25:19, 26:18, 72:1, 171:7

BRUNS [1] - 3:5 Bryan [3] - 183:11, 183:13, 185:22 buchanan [1] - 74:3 building [2] - 94:18, 141:2 built [13] - 106:2, 115:22, 117:1, 131:1, 132:18, 139:8, 139:16, 140:17, 140:18, 140:23, 149:2, 153:2, 184:16 bulkhead [2] - 153:2, 154:4 bulkheads [1] -168:13 bullet [1] - 96:12 bunch [1] - 61:9 Burma [8] - 96:16, 97:18, 100:22, 101:17, 101:18, 102:13, 103:23, 132:6 burns [13] - 96:24, 97:16, 98:12, 100:6, 100:25, 102:1, 103:4, 104:7, 107:8, 110:17, 123:14, 130:20, 133:8 Burns [7] - 96:15, 101:2, 103:24, 104:15, 105:4, 132:6, 197:24 burns' [3] - 96:13, 97:13, 97:25 Burns' [6] - 96:22, 97:8, 99:19, 100:4, 108:20, 110:14 **bury** [1] - 90:24 business [4] - 78:14, 78:18, 78:19, 80:24 **businesses** [1] - 77:5 but.. [1] - 46:2 BY [13] - 2:7, 2:14, 2:21, 3:8, 3:17, 3:23, 4:8, 74:25, 129:18,

С

137:21, 183:4,

208:4, 209:22

calculated [1] - 156:2 calculation [1] - 118:1 campaigns [1] -118:10 Canada [1] - 80:21 canal [1] - 140:18 candid [1] - 19:2

candidly [1] - 24:3

cannot [3] - 21:14, 73:5, 73:7 capacity [6] - 93:3, 108:5, 108:6, 115:25, 116:6, 116:24 **CAPITOL** [1] - 2:19 captain [2] - 148:21, 148:22 Captain [26] - 142:6, 151:16, 151:20, 151:21, 152:4, 152:21, 154:3, 154:25, 155:11, 158:15, 159:20, 159:23, 164:21, 167:3, 168:11, 169:10, 170:19, 170:23, 174:19, 174:21, 175:3, 175:12, 175:15, 176:5, 176:9, 210:4 car [1] - 94:17 cardboard [17] -125:4, 125:8, 125:14. 125:17. 125:20, 125:21, 190:17, 190:25, 191:5, 191:7, 191:13, 191:15, 191:16, 191:17, 191:20, 191:25 care [5] - 70:4, 71:16, 102:14, 104:16, 105:9 careful [2] - 40:17, 102:11 Caroline [2] - 1:19, 215:24 Carter [16] - 74:17, 90:10, 99:2, 99:14, 105:17, 127:21, 128:2, 128:4, 138:1, 138:22, 180:13, 180:20, 181:5, 181:13, 207:21, 213:3 **CARTER** [37] - 3:17, 74:14, 74:18, 74:25, 81:19, 84:4, 85:7, 86:2, 86:22, 89:1, 95:20, 98:16, 100:17, 103:16, 107:2, 112:15, 119:5, 120:8, 125:23, 126:8, 128:3. 128:15. 129:16, 179:13,

179:18, 180:4,

181:23, 207:22,

208:4, 209:13, 210:9, 212:5, 212:17, 212:19, 212:21, 213:6, 213:20 cartons [2] - 125:5, 125:15 cartoon [1] - 114:12 case [86] - 5:18, 5:20, 6:15, 9:8, 9:9, 16:5, 17:24, 18:24, 20:12, 21:19, 24:9, 24:23, 26:8, 26:17, 26:18, 27:4, 28:22, 29:16, 29:17, 29:20, 30:20, 30:21, 31:2, 31:4, 31:12, 32:21, 33:25, 37:15, 38:3, 41:14, 41:23, 42:4, 42:12, 49:2, 52:2, 52:24, 71:17, 72:2, 72:25, 73:2, 73:3, 73:18, 73:22, 74:4, 75:12, 75:13, 75:16, 81:14, 81:24, 82:2, 83:5, 83:7, 84:7, 84:18, 84:20, 84:25, 85:9, 85:18, 86:9, 87:6, 124:17, 129:23, 130:3, 130:10, 134:18, 136:2, 136:24, 150:4, 162:18, 180:18, 181:18, 182:20, 183:10, 187:19, 188:11, 188:12, 190:3, 192:1, 194:21, 200:21, 201:4, 201:13, 206:15, 207:12, 209:11 cases [8] - 30:17, 30:18, 74:3, 82:1, 83:4, 87:4, 141:2, 144:14 **CAUSE** [1] - 1:2 caused [1] - 207:8 causes [1] - 112:4 cautioned [1] - 115:18 cautioning [1] - 28:15 ceased [5] - 88:17, 126:15, 129:5, 130:8, 137:6 ceasing [1] - 89:7 Cedilote [1] - 69:4 **cell** [1] - 108:8 center [5] - 113:4, 114:17, 117:1, 127:17, 130:24

central [4] - 113:12,

128:15, 132:3, 132:5 certain [7] - 22:3, 25:22, 155:6, 185:25, 188:10, 188:11, 188:12 certainly [3] - 39:18, 42:3. 63:6 certification [2] -152:4, 152:22 certified [3] - 13:13, 14:3, 68:3 certifieds [1] - 68:1 certify [2] - 215:5, 215:13 cetera [6] - 34:12, 44:12, 62:9, 125:5, 163:16 challenges [1] - 7:22 chambers [1] - 215:11 Champion [36] -34:10, 86:11, 86:18, 87:21, 88:20, 89:5, 91:21, 92:8, 92:10, 92:14, 93:6, 93:11, 96:7, 96:11, 97:2, 98:12, 98:23, 99:3, 99:6, 99:17, 99:20, 102:24, 105:9, 107:21, 109:17, 120:2, 129:12, 131:3, 131:15, 133:22, 197:1, 197:17, 197:25, 212:8, 212:23 Champion's [7] - 6:4, 85:23, 89:21, 91:24, 96:24, 105:5 change [2] - 103:18, 213:14 changed [1] - 152:13 Channel [8] - 70:25, 80:18, 90:14, 92:3, 92:5, 109:17, 111:5, 140:17 Chapter [1] - 7:5 characteristic [1] -168:24 characteristics [9] -119:4, 119:14, 121:10, 124:9, 125:16, 125:21, 190:21, 190:24, 191:11 Charge [5] - 5:15, 6:25, 7:8, 7:9, 9:17 charge [2] - 7:22, 7:25 CHASE [1] - 2:5 cheap [2] - 191:15, 191:16

cheaper [1] - 125:4

check [2] - 55:15, 55:23 chemical [5] - 75:7, 76:13, 76:15, 94:5, 120:24 chemistry [5] - 76:16, 94:2, 94:3, 94:4, 94:10 chief [2] - 42:13, 93:1 CHRIS [1] - 3:18 chronologically [1] -192:17 chunk [1] - 169:19 circle [2] - 107:23, 128:15 circular [2] - 115:22, 116:25 circumscribed [1] -183:22 circumstances [4] -32:21, 143:10, 213:10, 213:19 citizens [1] - 53:4 city [1] - 106:11 civil [3] - 18:6, 76:16, 136:1 claim [4] - 20:7, 20:9, 73:23, 74:1 claimed [1] - 82:10 claims [6] - 70:17, 72:1, 72:24, 73:2, 73:4, 180:18 clarification [1] -54:24 clarified [4] - 182:8, 182:19, 199:25, 204:13 clarify [2] - 181:16, 182:9 clarifying [1] - 182:10 clawed [1] - 18:11 clay [13] - 101:10, 104:11, 122:8, 132:19, 132:22, 132:24, 133:1, 149:18, 149:21, 149:23, 150:4, 150:13 clay-type [1] - 132:19 clays [1] - 143:16 clean [4] - 48:22, 49:18, 50:2, 200:19 cleaner [1] - 24:4 cleaning [1] - 82:4 clear [12] - 9:2, 16:10, 25:17, 40:6, 41:19, 67:12, 137:24, 138:2, 138:13, 169:13, 203:13, 206:14

clearly [2] - 14:2, 17:4 client [1] - 78:13 client's [1] - 83:2 clients [5] - 63:6, 80:18, 87:13, 91:14, 136:4 clip [3] - 23:5, 24:1, 53:1 clone [1] - 110:14 close [3] - 116:1, 160:24, 201:25 close-up [1] - 201:25 closed [2] - 135:12, 161:3 closely [2] - 9:15, 171:11 closer [1] - 148:13 closest [2] - 42:18, 126:19 closure [3] - 88:16, 88:22, 137:5 **co**[1] - 63:16 co-counsel [1] - 63:16 Code [1] - 136:19 Coleman [1] - 68:14 **COLIN** [1] - 3:10 colleague [1] - 172:15 collect [1] - 127:9 collected [4] - 25:6, 75:17, 109:15, 111:23 collection [1] - 111:12 collectively [2] - 68:8, college [3] - 77:4, 80:4. 93:24 Colonel [1] - 68:17 column [3] - 174:25, 175:1 combination [4] -110:5, 120:5, 150:21, 191:9 coming [13] - 13:6, 15:19, 30:4, 47:18, 50:12, 50:14, 53:22, 59:17, 60:3, 61:24, 77:21, 112:17, 145:11 comment [3] - 155:6, 155:8, 198:21 **commentary** [1] - 58:5 comments [8] - 57:19, 57:25, 61:18, 62:8,

62:9, 66:20, 156:8,

commercial [11] -

141:11, 149:13,

149:15, 150:3,

154:11, 154:13,

158:14, 158:17,

156:13

160:3, 164:18, 164:22 commercialization [1] - 79:4 commercially [1] -147:6 COMMISSION [1] commission [5] -68:12, 68:24, 152:17, 162:1, 173:21 Commission [1] -154:21 committee [1] - 77:23 communicating [1] community [1] - 76:6 compacting [1] -112:2 companies [10] -80:14, 80:17, 80:23, 81:3, 90:10, 92:19, 99:19, 100:16, 130:20, 195:23 Company [1] - 96:11 **COMPANY** [2] - 1:9, 3:14 company [24] - 77:16, 78:21, 78:25, 79:2, 79:3, 79:7, 79:12, 79:14, 79:16, 80:4, 80:19, 80:25, 81:2, 90:11, 94:18, 96:16, 100:10, 138:12, 147:23, 159:12, 164:20, 172:22, 187:15 compare [2] - 168:2, 169:1 compensated [1] -200:20 competence [1] -160:3 **competent** [1] - 10:12 complaining [1] - 94:1 **complaint** [1] - 83:16 complete [1] - 184:10 completed [1] -184:13 completely 151 -31:10, 186:6, 186:22, 186:25, 187:1 completeness [13] -35:21, 37:3, 38:18, 38:21, 40:25, 41:6, 46:12, 46:24, 47:6, 47:23, 51:1, 51:8, 67:5

completion [1] - 38:12 compliance [1] -20:23 composed [1] -101:10 computer [1] - 1:21 computer-assisted [1] - 1:21 computerized [1] -1:21 concentration [1] -110:18 concentrations [1] -110:16 concept [1] - 146:21 concern [10] - 41:10, 94:9, 101:12, 111:21, 122:24, 174:8, 180:15, 182:14, 182:18, 200:15 concerned [7] - 19:15, 19:16, 58:7, 161:7, 164:8, 192:2, 206:7 concerning [7] -81:15, 87:18, 99:4, 100:23, 209:6, 209:7 concerns [1] - 93:3 concluding [1] - 59:1 conclusion [26] -11:9, 11:22, 12:4, 12:9. 14:12. 14:18. 14:22, 19:22, 21:1, 21:11, 56:17, 89:25, 90:2, 101:13, 103:1, 104:14, 105:12, 117:5, 117:6, 135:19, 171:12, 187:22, 187:24, 195:1, 211:22, 211:25 conclusions [5] -15:6, 15:17, 22:19, 173:6 concrete [4] - 141:14, 141:15, 190:25, 191:5 condition [3] - 126:16, 156:15, 198:12 conditioned [1] -161:9 conditions [5] - 105:1, 121:18, 156:21, 159:4, 159:5 conduct [1] - 105:4 conducted [1] - 128:9 Conference [4] - 5:15, 6:25, 7:8, 9:17 configuration [1] -106:18

configured [1] - 107:6 confined [2] - 38:1, 124:17 confirm [4] - 61:1, 61:4, 134:14, 198:17 confirmed [4] - 59:13, 122:5, 132:20, 197:9 confusing [1] - 148:9 congeners [1] - 49:12 **CONGRESS** [1] - 2:12 congressman [3] -62:24, 63:5, 63:10 CONNECTICUT [1] -3:21 connection [20] -35:14, 37:5, 37:9, 75:11, 75:19, 75:21, 81:17, 82:13, 82:21, 83:6, 92:7, 92:14, 96:2, 96:4, 98:7, 133:4, 134:17, 136:1, 153:19, 154:9 connects [2] - 43:12, 43:13 Connelly [8] - 29:10, 30:12, 82:14, 82:22, 83:6, 83:10, 83:19 **CONNELLY** [1] - 2:4 connelly [1] - 83:9 consequently [1] -29:17 conservation [1] -161:25 consider [4] - 40:14, 62:22, 73:20, 213:18 consideration [1] -18:2 considered [5] - 5:7, 5:18, 41:18, 72:23, 156:13 considering [1] - 5:19 consistency [2] -189:11, 190:16 consistent [5] -118:24, 127:4, 156:17, 172:7, 174:11 consolidate [1] -111:11 constituent [2] -62:19, 62:20 constituted [1] - 120:6 construct [1] - 132:24 constructed [9] -107:8, 130:15, 130:19, 130:21, 132:5, 132:11, 132:14, 132:17, 133:2 construction [13] -

99:18, 105:25, 130:14, 130:24, 132:15, 139:11, 140:21, 141:2, 141:8, 141:24, 151:6, 174:10, 174:16 construe [1] - 182:15 consultants [1] -79:11 **consulted** [1] - 78:12 consulting [12] - 75:9, 78:12, 78:14, 78:18, 79:10, 79:16, 80:14, 80:24, 81:4, 82:13, 82:23 contact [1] - 161:6 contain [1] - 184:23 contained [6] - 15:17, 111:9, 119:1, 195:25, 196:3, 206:17 containing [3] - 127:3, 194:11. 203:2 contains [2] - 57:19, 215:6 contaminated [5] -28:7, 57:3, 102:7, 200:13 contamination [1] -174:8 contemporaneous [1] - 139:3 content [5] - 110:17, 111:1, 111:3, 123:9, 123:15 context [2] - 34:23, 186:23 contingency [1] -31:11 continuation [1] -52:16 continue [4] - 70:2, 114:11, 114:13, 128:2 continued [4] - 6:8, 70:23, 76:14, 78:19 continues [2] - 7:18, 72:12 continuing [7] - 5:13, 6:6, 88:12, 135:13, 135:22, 137:1 contour [1] - 169:22 contract [22] - 98:17, 99:15, 99:17, 99:22, 100:9. 131:3. 131:12, 131:15, 131:24, 133:6. 133:21, 212:8, 212:9, 212:16,

213:5, 213:7, 213:12, 213:19, 213:22, 213:25, 214:3 contracted [3] - 92:9, 92:11, 155:14 contracting [1] -184:20 contractor [18] -89:22, 92:22, 93:7, 93:9, 95:9, 95:16, 97:3, 113:14, 115:14, 118:20, 121:14, 126:13, 129:6, 134:3, 161:5, 208:15, 208:25, 209:1 contractors [6] -91:10, 91:12, 107:9, 130:20, 132:7, 184:17 contracts [1] - 91:15 contradicting [1] -20:11 control [8] - 24:8, 77:23, 78:4, 79:25. 92:20, 93:17, 94:7, 95:4 Control [5] - 26:3, 29:17, 152:10, 152:11, 152:16 controversy [1] -163:22 convenience [1] -68:2 conversation [1] -96:21 convert [1] - 150:18 convey [1] - 174:6 conveyance [3] -134:11, 134:23, 134:24 conveyed [3] - 97:11, 107:19, 142:23 convinced [1] - 98:11 **convincing** [1] - 27:5 cooperate [1] - 21:12 cooperation [1] -69:10 cooperative [1] -76:20 cooperatively [1] -34:10 coordinate [1] - 78:6 **copy** [7] - 14:3, 16:14, 159:19, 159:20, 188:24, 205:20,

215:6

COPY [1] - 1:14

copying [1] - 197:25

cord [1] - 161:11 corner [2] - 169:20, 202:24 **CORP** [1] - 4:4 corp [1] - 160:15 corporate [16] - 6:18, 30:9, 32:3, 32:4, 39:22, 64:14, 64:20, 77:15, 77:16, 77:22, 78:3, 78:10, 79:18, 86:8, 94:15, 196:18 Corporation [8] -16:20, 68:22, 69:5, 129:22, 135:4, 135:7, 212:9, 212:24 CORPORATION [1] corporation [3] -77:19, 186:1, 186:4 corps [3] - 151:14, 170:10, 175:13 Corps [20] - 62:22, 68:18, 71:8, 71:10, 151:2, 151:3, 151:5, 151:11, 154:21, 156:7, 156:13, 159:11, 160:15, 161:24, 164:9, 164:10, 164:16, 170:11, 176:3 correct [62] - 15:20, 29:11, 32:23, 32:24, 35:15, 39:1, 53:25, 64:6, 75:15, 81:18, 86:16, 88:23, 96:18, 97:15, 100:2, 101:18, 101:19, 104:8, 104:9, 112:6, 123:7, 129:25, 130:3, 132:8, 134:9, 135:1, 138:20, 152:18, 152:19, 154:19, 158:19, 158:20, 160:10, 160:16, 161:15, 162:4, 165:1, 171:5, 175:2, 183:6, 185:10, 186:10, 189:22, 192:17, 193:20, 195:15, 196:13, 198:13, 199:23, 200:21, 201:20, 201:22, 202:1, 203:25, 204:8, 205:1, 206:4, 206:9, 206:12, 206:18, 208:22, 215:6 correctly [5] - 89:4,

132:2, 201:10,

201:11, 215:14 correspondence [5] -152:2, 153:20, 171:13, 171:23, 208:24 counsel [20] - 21:20, 26:20, 26:21, 36:8, 53:24, 63:8, 63:16, 99:9, 184:3, 188:19, 192:4, 201:17, 202:17, 205:14, 205:19, 209:24, 210:13, 211:2, 211:3, 215:8 COUNSEL [5] - 2:3, 2:17, 3:4, 3:14, 4:3 **counselor** [1] - 191:3 counsels [1] - 26:22 counter [2] - 46:8, 47:7 counter-designation [2] - 46:8, 47:7 counties [1] - 141:6 counting [2] - 201:5, 201:6 countries [2] - 79:6, 79:9 country [1] - 80:23 county [4] - 18:4, 18:15, 18:18, 18:21 **COUNTY** [6] - 1:4, 1:8, 2:3, 2:11, 2:14, 215:1 County [96] - 1:20, 9:23, 9:25, 11:7, 11:15, 11:21, 11:23, 12:3, 13:2, 13:11, 13:17, 15:19, 15:24, 16:9, 17:21, 18:6, 18:14, 18:16, 18:20, 24:17, 32:9, 34:19, 35:8, 35:13, 36:14, 36:16, 36:24, 37:1. 37:8. 37:21. 37:23. 38:9. 38:10. 39:15. 39:22, 39:24, 39:25, 40:2, 40:3, 41:20, 44:23, 45:25, 46:8, 48:6, 49:4, 49:6, 49:22, 49:24, 51:5, 51:16, 52:9, 56:5, 56:6, 56:13, 64:8, 64:14, 73:24, 82:16, 84:23, 84:24, 85:3, 86:5, 86:9, 86:17, 86:25, 87:16, 87:18, 92:21, 93:2, 93:13, 93:18, 94:17, 94:19, 95:4, 97:4, 100:12, 103:21, 103:23,

104:24, 133:10, 133:16, 135:25, 157:2, 157:4, 158:9, 158:10, 163:10, 163:12, 163:25, 182:21, 213:9, 213:16, 215:4, 215:23 County's [8] - 18:19, 32:5, 64:13, 73:2, 84:15, 136:22, 183:9, 186:21 couple [19] - 24:2, 35:1, 43:18, 63:17, 76:3, 85:25, 103:20, 128:4, 146:7, 156:5, 166:5, 173:12, 177:18, 183:6, 198:23, 200:16, 201:14, 209:19 course [5] - 21:19, 39:24, 72:11, 153:25 Court [7] - 74:4, 213:18, 213:22, 215:3, 215:4, 215:22, 215:23 COURT [217] - 1:2, 1:4, 5:5, 8:8, 8:11, 8:13, 10:18, 10:22, 10:25, 11:4, 11:6, 11:11, 11:17, 11:25, 12:5, 12:12, 12:20, 13:7, 13:11, 14:1, 14:10, 14:15, 15:2, 15:13, 17:2, 17:13, 18:12, 18:21, 19:3, 19:7, 22:13, 22:23, 23:3, 23:8, 24:14, 25:8, 25:23, 26:6, 26:12, 27:16, 28:11, 28:21, 29:4, 30:1, 31:3, 31:8, 31:20, 31:25, 32:10, 32:16, 33:2, 33:6, 33:17, 33:22, 35:1, 35:5, 35:19, 36:1, 36:13, 36:21, 37:19, 38:7, 38:16, 39:3, 39:6, 39:19, 40:4, 40:9, 40:12, 40:20, 40:24, 41:5, 41:25, 42:7, 42:12, 42:16, 42:23, 43:5, 43:9, 43:17, 43:25, 44:8, 44:17, 45:9, 45:13, 45:20, 45:22, 46:2, 46:11, 46:16, 46:22, 47:12, 47:15, 48:1, 48:5, 48:8, 48:12, 48:16, 49:6, 49:13, 50:5,

50:9, 50:18, 50:21, 50:25, 51:7, 51:11, 51:13, 51:23, 52:5, 52:11, 52:14, 53:6, 53:21, 54:5, 54:16, 55:3, 55:7, 55:16, 55:25, 56:3, 57:1, 57:9, 57:12, 58:25, 59:9, 59:24, 60:8, 60:12, 60:15, 60:19, 60:22, 61:1, 61:12, 61:16, 61:20, 61:25, 62:3, 62:13, 63:1, 63:12, 63:21, 63:25, 64:4, 64:10, 64:18, 64:23, 65:1, 65:16, 65:18, 65:24, 66:2, 66:8. 66:22. 67:3. 67:6, 67:9, 67:19, 68:9, 69:16, 70:1, 70:7, 70:11, 70:15, 71:14, 71:19, 72:14, 73:8, 74:8, 74:16, 74:20, 90:1, 90:5, 99:1, 99:9, 99:13, 105:14, 127:21, 128:1, 128:18, 135:18, 137:16, 137:19, 138:7, 177:15, 177:20, 177:23, 178:4, 178:6, 179:4, 179:17, 179:22, 180:12, 181:15, 182:10, 182:24, 183:1, 187:23, 195:6, 195:10, 196:5, 207:21, 207:24, 210:8, 210:10, 210:13, 210:18, 210:25, 211:12, 211:21, 212:4, 212:14, 212:18, 212:20, 213:2, 213:14, 214:7 court [20] - 17:24, 19:2, 23:1, 25:15, 67:14, 69:18, 70:18, 71:25, 72:21, 72:23, 73:1, 73:6, 74:11, 101:4, 212:6, 212:15, 213:3, 213:7, 214:9, 215:11 court's [5] - 19:14, 22:10, 67:17, 70:2, 175:23 courtroom [6] - 11:5, 177:24, 178:2, 178:5, 192:24, 192:25 cover [5] - 10:7, 62:18,

CRAIG [1] - 3:18 crane [2] - 144:4, 144:25 crane-type [1] -144:25 created [2] - 29:19, 152:9 credit [1] - 54:12 critical [1] - 121:5 CROSS [4] - 129:17, 137:20, 183:3, 209:21 cross [8] - 41:25, 59:23. 113:19. 114:6, 114:18, 114:19, 127:2, 179:3 cross-examination [1] - 179:3 CROSS-**EXAMINATION** [4] -129:17, 137:20, 183:3, 209:21 cross-hatched [1] -127:2 cross-section [4] -113:19, 114:6, 114:18. 114:19 crossed [3] - 15:14, 15:15, 59:18 crosses [1] - 28:14 crust [1] - 189:15 **CRUTCHER** [1] - 3:21 CSR [1] - 215:21 cubic [3] - 152:25, 155:18, 155:25 culminates [1] - 99:19 cumulative [3] -12:21, 23:13, 54:19 current [1] - 26:21 currents [2] - 189:16, 207:10 cut [13] - 47:7, 47:13, 47:14, 49:3, 54:2, 56:13, 110:13, 120:15, 121:6, 123:17, 123:21, 124:10, 143:15 cuts [3] - 23:2, 34:8, 143:7 cutter [2] - 143:11, 143:20 cutter-head [1] -143:11

cutterhead [11] -

143:3, 143:6,

122:19, 123:1,

covered [2] - 69:20,

covers [1] - 49:11

180:14

136:22

143:12, 143:15, 144:5, 144:24, 150:14, 150:16, 150:19, 150:21, 150:22 **cutting** [2] - 40:17, 122:15

D

D.C [1] - 3:22 Daily [1] - 70:24 daily [6] - 5:25, 8:20, 24:24, 25:10, 27:19, 215:6 **DAILY** [1] - 1:14 Dallas [1] - 68:14 damaged [1] - 124:21 **DANIEL** [1] - 3:23 dark [1] - 202:11 data [8] - 25:6, 117:24, 172:2, 189:22, 190:6, 190:8, 194:19, 194:24 Date [1] - 215:22 date [18] - 24:18, 98:19, 129:8, 131:6, 131:19, 158:18, 165:18, 175:7, 188:20, 188:22, 189:5, 193:11, 193:14, 193:18, 193:19, 202:3, 213:15 dated [13] - 15:11, 57:15, 57:16, 69:3, 71:4, 134:12, 154:18, 159:10, 160:11, 165:20, 176:8, 212:12, 212:24 **DAVID** [2] - 2:8, 3:19 Davis [1] - 87:1 days [8] - 90:19, 120:21, 130:13, 141:16, 172:11, 172:13, 173:14, 183:6 **de** [19] - 107:17, 108:10, 108:12, 113:2, 113:3, 114:19, 114:22, 116:1, 116:2, 118:3, 126:3, 127:5, 128:6, 128:17, 128:24, 145:15, 186:17, 187:8, 199:14 de-watered [5] -

107:17, 108:12,

116:1, 118:3, 128:24

21:21

de-watering [14] -108:10, 113:2, 113:3, 114:19, 114:22, 116:2, 126:3, 127:5, 128:6, 128:17, 145:15, 186:17, 187:8, 199:14 deal [2] - 90:10, 117:12 dealing [6] - 48:7, 79:18, 91:6, 92:17, 93:2, 180:6 deals [2] - 167:13, 212:10 dealt [1] - 81:1 **DEBRA**[1] - 2:8 decanted [1] - 115:1 December [15] -68:12, 71:10, 108:2, 116:3, 130:25, 158:25, 161:14, 165:11, 171:1, 175:12, 175:21, 198:8, 199:23, 200:15, 208:7 decide [1] - 6:16 decided [3] - 24:7, 24:17, 83:21 decision [5] - 23:19, 26:10, 29:1, 32:5, 32:6 deed [7] - 12:17, 12:18, 12:19, 134:16, 187:12, 204:22, 204:23 deepest [1] - 115:11 **DEFENDANT** [3] - 3:4, 3:14, 4:3 defendant [1] - 21:20 **Defendants** [1] - 1:11 defendants [22] -8:15, 8:17, 10:13, 10:15, 56:8, 65:20, 72:15, 74:9, 74:12, 82:7, 83:25, 84:2, 84:17, 129:24, 130:2, 136:2, 145:23, 157:22, 163:17, 177:7, 204:14, 204:16 Defendants' [12] -60:20, 95:21, 98:14, 100:18, 102:18, 131:8, 134:14, 167:23, 175:10, 176:4, 212:7, 212:21 defendants' [5] - 5:6, 6:18, 8:15, 9:1,

definitely [6] - 64:2, 124:23, 191:12, 202:8, 202:9, 202:14 definition [2] - 74:2, 179:19 definitions [1] - 8:2 degree [1] - 76:11 **delivered** [1] - 107:18 demonstrate [2] -100:3, 118:9 demonstrative [4] -142:5, 142:8, 143:23, 166:16 denied [17] - 5:11, 6:1, 6:5, 6:11, 6:13, 6:23, 7:5, 7:7, 7:15, 7:20, 9:19, 10:6, 10:13, 72:16, 74:7, 74:9, 156:22 deny [2] - 6:20, 156:15 denying [1] - 9:13 department [21] -24:7, 32:20, 32:21, 39:21, 39:23, 68:6, 68:17, 94:2, 94:4, 94:5, 151:2, 152:3, 152:7, 152:23, 157:15, 161:4, 173:14, 173:24, 174:6, 174:18, 176:12 **Department** [9] - 26:3, 29:17, 36:10, 119:7, 119:13, 126:4, 127:7, 190:15, 208:10 department's [2] -70:22, 94:10 depict [2] - 105:21, 109:22 depicted [2] - 114:11, 142:18 depicting [1] - 148:4 depo [2] - 23:2, 64:20 deposit [2] - 92:12, 149:24 deposited [5] -107:15, 112:1, 118:19, 128:10, 180:25 deposition [13] -22:16, 28:12, 33:21, 37:11, 37:12, 41:9, 41:20, 60:6, 183:6, 183:15, 189:2, 200:11, 200:25 deposits [1] - 141:8 depth [6] - 147:24, 149:20, 155:16, 155:21, 162:13

describe [6] - 41:22, 109:19, 119:15, 122:17, 126:2, 156:5 described [8] -109:23. 125:10. 127:6, 127:7, 128:20, 134:20, 147:14, 178:10 describes [3] - 101:4, 155:11, 176:9 describing [3] -120:11, 120:17, 147:4 description [10] -100:24, 123:3, 123:6, 125:3, 126:5, 149:6, 149:7, 159:24, 162:9, 166:18 descriptive [1] -138:19 design [3] - 77:7, 95:17, 127:5 designated [3] -23:16, 188:8, 195:14 designation [2] - 46:8, 47:7 designed [4] - 107:1, 109:5, 116:20, 128:20 despite [3] - 61:18, 62:8, 66:20 detail [1] - 194:22 detailed [1] - 100:12 detected [1] - 196:15 deteriorated [1] -125:8 determination [2] -153:14, 213:24 determine [12] -47:17, 50:13, 72:21, 73:6, 147:6, 147:24, 149:12, 153:10, 156:14, 156:19, 167:9, 190:1 determined [4] - 21:7, 155:23, 195:22, 197:12 develop [1] - 109:19 developed [15] - 79:7, 79:24, 80:7, 80:11, 80:19, 80:22, 81:6, 90:15, 97:16, 97:19, 105:22, 106:7, 106:21, 121:19, 148:23 developing [1] - 97:8 development [4] -32:22, 77:6, 81:15, 83:7

device [5] - 140:10, 142:23, 143:6, 143:22, 144:2 devices [1] - 92:1 diagram [4] - 123:10, 148:4, 162:16, 166:16 diameter [1] - 147:21 dichotomy [1] - 29:13 Dickinson [6] - 76:25, 78:3, 80:5, 94:12, 141:21, 159:15 difference [4] - 30:19, 185:4, 186:20 differences [2] -183:8, 187:20 different [18] - 22:14, 24:1, 28:1, 28:5, 28:16, 38:14, 41:1, 49:12, 55:22, 57:8, 123:14, 125:21, 144:9, 151:6, 158:16, 175:17, 179:5, 183:21 differentiate [1] -67:22 difficult [2] - 97:21, 124:17 dike [11] - 109:6, 109:10, 113:4, 116:4, 118:16, 169:19, 170:7, 176:24, 184:7, 202:25, 203:9 diked [1] - 203:1 dikes [7] - 101:9, 104:11, 113:8, 124:4, 124:5, 132:16, 168:21 diligence [1] - 99:20 dioxin [37] - 42:20, 42:21, 47:2, 47:18, 49:5, 49:7, 49:9, 49:10, 49:21, 50:10, 50:12, 50:13, 51:17, 52:10, 52:22, 53:7, 53:10, 65:5, 172:4, 173:16, 176:21, 176:22, 177:5, 194:10, 194:23, 195:2, 195:14, 195:17, 195:25, 196:3, 196:11, 197:5, 197:10, 197:14, 197:19, 206:16, 207:1 dioxin-loading [1] -49:10 direct [4] - 45:15, 84:6, 131:18, 209:5

DIRECT[1] - 74:24 directed [32] - 5:7, 5:10, 5:16, 5:21, 5:25, 6:3, 6:10, 6:13, 6:21, 6:22, 7:5, 7:6, 7:13, 7:19, 8:16, 8:17, 8:19, 8:24, 9:1, 9:12, 9:13, 9:18, 10:5, 10:8, 10:10, 10:14, 26:17, 48:22, 72:15, 72:17, 74:7, 74:8 direction [1] - 154:16 directly [7] - 31:7, 128:21, 129:3, 132:18, 134:9, 194:13, 209:25 director [1] - 78:4 directors [3] - 185:12, 185:14, 187:14 disagree [4] - 54:1, 116:16, 185:8, 185:9 disagreement [1] -29:15 discharge [6] - 5:25, 8:20, 36:6, 115:17, 181:12, 181:21 discharged [2] -90:18, 92:3 discharges [1] -195:23 discontinuing [1] -87:25 discovered [1] -195:17 discovery [1] - 22:1 discuss [4] - 46:9, 84:10, 84:13, 119:3 discussed [10] -56:15, 67:14, 122:10, 125:16, 146:15, 190:14, 206:2, 209:24, 211:3, 211:5 **discussing** [1] - 184:3 discussion [23] - 5:3, 6:24, 7:3, 7:8, 7:11, 8:1, 9:20, 99:10, 104:4, 176:2, 185:25, 189:24, 190:14, 190:18, 190:19, 190:22, 191:22, 195:7, 207:25, 210:15, 211:1, 212:2, 214:8 Discussion [5] - 8:12, 10:17, 56:2, 65:17, disparity [1] - 196:13 Disposal [1] - 136:10

disposal [53] - 7:17, 7:19, 34:4, 34:14, 35:7, 35:8, 35:14, 37:5, 37:9, 37:22, 37:23, 80:1, 80:6, 84:11, 84:12, 85:4, 88:1. 89:23. 91:6. 92:8, 92:12, 93:4, 95:24, 98:2, 98:8, 98:21, 102:2, 106:13, 111:16, 118:23, 121:15, 121:19, 123:12, 125:24, 126:12, 126:14, 129:5, 129:7, 129:9, 133:18, 136:13, 169:20, 180:2, 180:6, 180:7, 180:8, 180:22, 187:11, 198:11, 209:7, 209:8, 209:10, 209:11 dispose [3] - 91:9, 93:12, 100:1 disposed [3] - 100:13, 102:16, 162:22 disposing [4] - 85:11, 91:22, 96:14, 96:23 dispute [3] - 14:5, 34:16, 87:18 disputed [1] - 53:17 disseminated [1] -208:11 distinction [3] - 30:18, 118:22, 200:14 distraction [1] - 22:5 distribution [1] -194:7 **DISTRICT** [2] - 1:4, 1:11 district [2] - 13:12, 13:17 District [2] - 215:4, 215:23 divert [1] - 123:1 divide [2] - 24:15, 25:9 divided [1] - 128:14 division [2] - 95:5, 96:9 **DIVISION** [1] - 2:18 dock [1] - 59:22 document [48] - 15:1, 15:6, 15:18, 16:1, 16:2, 19:15, 20:14, 20:16, 24:25, 26:5, 27:3, 27:6, 27:9, 30:16, 37:11, 40:2, 55:13, 56:21, 56:24, 58:4, 59:16, 59:18,

59:20, 62:1, 67:25, 96:1, 98:7, 100:19, 102:19, 120:9, 134:19, 147:3, 147:5, 149:5, 154:24, 156:3, 156:24, 157:21, 159:2, 162:15, 163:9, 165:2, 165:5, 174:17, 185:15, 205:22, 206:1, 206:2 documentary [1] -118:7 documentation [8] -108:2, 132:25, 139:5, 146:5, 158:1, 167:17, 205:8, 208:13 documented [4] -25:5, 25:10, 27:19, 71:3 documents [42] -13:2, 19:13, 21:10, 54:25, 55:12, 55:17, 59:17, 67:13, 67:20, 75:13, 75:16, 75:18, 75:20, 84:14, 89:11, 96:8, 99:24, 118:5, 119:12, 119:13, 128:8, 132:21, 135:2, 138:24, 139:6, 139:13, 139:18, 146:7, 146:9, 146:11, 146:15, 146:17, 146:19, 147:1, 163:21, 176:16, 187:20, 192:3, 192:5, 201:17, 205:23, 205:25 dollars [1] - 201:15 done [41] - 22:8, 24:25, 26:3, 27:14, 33:15, 38:4, 38:5, 38:6, 43:15, 43:16, 49:22, 75:14, 75:17, 81:16, 82:24, 85:24, 94:6, 99:20, 100:8, 101:21, 102:10, 120:20, 122:6, 136:3, 138:23, 140:12, 141:5, 147:11, 150:20, 160:1, 167:4, 184:19, 188:13, 192:14, 197:4, 199:9, 203:23, 204:1, 204:7, 204:8 door [11] - 20:25, 27:25, 30:3, 30:7,

30:10, 31:13, 31:22, 41:24, 45:5, 46:13, 47:11 double [2] - 55:23, 62:16 doubt [1] - 73:14 down [40] - 12:22, 22:24, 52:15, 54:17, 65:13, 76:25, 94:11, 109:16, 111:5, 115:3, 127:22, 131:20, 141:21, 144:22, 147:8, 147:16, 147:22, 148:13, 149:22, 153:3, 154:23, 157:8, 159:15, 159:16, 159:19, 161:3, 162:8, 165:17, 166:2, 166:6, 166:8, 166:22, 171:17, 172:14, 173:22, 175:19, 183:12, 186:5, 210:10 Dr [84] - 39:10, 39:12, 39:17, 39:20, 40:3, 59:18, 64:19, 84:16, 86:4, 86:7, 86:14, 87:1, 87:2, 87:3, 87:5, 87:10, 87:15, 88:19, 88:24, 93:7, 93:16, 93:20, 93:23, 94:1, 94:8, 94:13, 94:19, 95:2, 95:15, 95:16, 96:5, 96:22, 96:25, 97:8, 97:12, 97:22, 97:24, 98:5, 98:9, 98:10, 98:20, 100:5, 100:6, 100:22, 101:1, 101:12, 101:15, 101:25, 102:12, 102:17, 103:6, 103:19, 104:14, 106:9, 107:5, 115:18, 116:14, 116:16, 116:23, 117:2, 125:6, 132:20, 136:23, 136:24, 178:1, 178:8. 185:5. 186:21, 193:5, 193:6, 193:7, 193:9, 197:23, 198:5, 198:11, 198:23, 200:1, 200:5, 208:6, 208:8, 208:14, 209:3, 211:17 dr [1] - 211:16

drained [2] - 114:2, 127:14 drains [1] - 127:15 draw [1] - 113:20 drawing [2] - 114:19, 127:1 drawn [1] - 180:10 dredge [30] - 55:11, 59:8, 142:1, 142:18, 143:2, 143:4, 143:9, 143:20, 144:1, 144:7, 144:9, 144:12, 144:14, 144:21, 145:2, 148:24, 148:25, 149:2, 149:4, 150:15, 155:16, 155:19, 158:14, 166:2, 166:3, 166:9, 169:13, 173:1, 192:3 dredged [6] - 140:17, 140:18, 140:25, 141:1, 141:17, 149:1 dredger [4] - 142:7, 143:11, 143:12, 143:24 dredgers [2] - 142:12, 164:10 dredging [120] - 55:16, 56:25, 57:20, 57:25, 58:4, 58:5, 58:6, 59:4, 59:6, 59:7, 59:10, 59:16, 59:17, 62:23, 63:5, 65:8, 66:13, 66:25, 70:23, 71:9, 84:20, 140:4, 140:5, 140:6, 140:11, 140:12, 140:21, 141:4, 141:5, 141:13, 141:19, 141:22, 142:16, 144:11, 144:15, 145:19, 145:23, 146:2, 146:5, 146:9, 146:12, 146:15, 146:20, 146:22, 146:23, 147:7, 150:10, 150:11, 151:9, 151:24, 152:24, 154:4, 154:8, 154:11, 154:13, 154:14, 155:11, 158:9, 159:23, 159:25, 160:2, 160:4, 161:3, 161:9, 161:20, 162:9, 162:25, 163:8, 164:5, 164:8,

164:17, 164:20,

164:22, 164:24, 167:3, 167:9, 167:21, 168:5, 168:12, 168:15, 168:16, 168:17, 169:23, 170:2, 170:5, 171:8, 174:10, 175:4, 175:14, 176:6, 176:23, 177:8, 192:8, 192:15, 193:20, 193:22, 193:23, 194:3, 194:10, 201:16, 202:2, 202:19, 202:23, 203:3, 203:21, 204:7, 204:10, 204:15, 204:21, 205:24, 206:3, 206:20, 206:25, 207:16, 209:23, 209:24, 210:2 dredging-type [1] -205:24 drew [1] - 101:13 dried [3] - 125:4, 125:13 drill [1] - 147:22 drills [1] - 147:22 driven [14] - 26:1, 26:2, 29:15, 29:17, 30:7, 30:11, 30:13, 30:22, 31:1, 31:14, 166:8, 166:23, 174:13 dry [2] - 122:13, 125:12 due [2] - 99:20, 189:18 dug [1] - 172:20 **Dukert** [1] - 60:9 **duly** [1] - 74:23 dump [2] - 91:13, 186:3 **dumping** [1] - 91:1 dumpsite [1] - 186:8 **DUNN** [1] - 3:21 duplicate [1] - 69:1 during [28] - 17:4, 19:5, 22:7, 25:6, 44:19, 76:17, 79:13, 84:12, 85:24, 91:3, 104:16, 104:17, 104:18, 114:22, 117:14, 128:11, 139:15, 139:17, 166:10, 168:14, 182:16, 183:17, 184:13, 186:2, 199:5, 205:6,

208:20, 209:7 duties [2] - 152:12, 152:13

Ε e-mail [2] - 171:17, 171:18 e-mailing [2] - 171:24, 172:13 e-mails [1] - 173:17 earliest [2] - 147:3, 151:19 early [5] - 66:23, 79:15, 83:8, 91:17, 134:7 earn [1] - 200:23 earnest [1] - 205:21 **EARNEST** [1] - 2:7 earth [1] - 124:14 earth-moving [1] -124:14 easily [2] - 102:10, 166:17 east [3] - 106:24, 111:13, 127:15 eastern [31] - 107:19, 107:20, 107:25, 109:13, 109:15, 112:14, 113:13, 113:16, 115:14, 116:5, 116:9, 116:15, 118:13, 126:22, 127:10, 127:19, 128:6, 128:11, 128:14, 128:16, 128:22, 132:4, 138:9, 138:11, 184:5, 184:13, 184:22, 194:4, 202:7, 203:4 easy [2] - 67:23, 124:19 education [2] - 76:10, 76:20 effect [7] - 91:19, 105:1, 158:23, 178:9, 179:8, 179:25, 180:25 effects [4] - 49:20, 158:6, 178:13, 179:12 effluent [3] - 92:3, 195:24, 197:5 effort [2] - 99:23, 204:14 efforts [1] - 71:2

egg [2] - 125:5, 125:15

eight [3] - 111:6,

147:20, 148:12

eight-mile [1] - 111:6 either [13] - 8:3, 25:6, 28:22, 44:23, 54:25, 55:1, 133:19, 136:18, 136:20, 139:18. 145:14. 150:20, 207:10 elevated [4] - 52:21, 53:10, 53:11, 53:12 **elevation** [3] - 113:7, 113:9, 113:13 elsewhere [1] - 55:21 embodiment [2] -99:22, 162:1 emerging [1] - 77:20 emissions [1] - 94:2 emitting [1] - 94:2 end [11] - 25:17, 53:23, 57:4, 68:10, 113:4, 123:12, 139:20, 143:6, 149:3, 158:24, 184:25 ended [1] - 34:14 ending [1] - 64:1 ends [2] - 53:7, 57:5 enforcement [4] -23:14, 93:3, 94:24, 95:1 engage [1] - 37:21 engineer [4] - 75:7, 75:8, 77:8, 77:9 engineered [2] -115:13, 191:20 Engineering [4] -96:17, 97:19, 132:6, 197:24 engineering [9] -76:14, 76:16, 94:5, 96:16, 100:22, 101:18, 102:13, 103:24 engineers [2] - 156:7, 170:10 Engineers [17] -62:22, 68:18, 71:8, 71:9, 71:10, 151:2, 151:4, 151:5, 151:11, 154:21, 156:14, 160:16, 161:24, 164:9, 164:16, 170:11, 176:4 Engineers' [1] -164:11 ensure [1] - 102:14 entered [4] - 70:19,

73:18, 98:22, 100:9

entire [6] - 50:21,

98:3, 124:24,

155:17, 162:23, 187:1 entirely [3] - 28:22, 29:21, 172:24 entitled [4] - 1:18, 15:23, 71:4, 72:21 entity [4] - 22:12, 36:5, 36:12, 87:24 entry [1] - 96:19 **envelope** [1] - 118:1 **envelopes** [1] - 175:5 environment [3] -158:7, 191:18, 191:21 environmental [20] -68:13, 68:24, 75:9, 77:18, 77:20, 77:23, 78:4, 78:6, 78:7, 78:10, 78:20, 79:5, 79:19, 80:14, 84:11, 93:1, 93:17, 94:23, 152:17, 173:21 Environmental [4] -69:10, 71:1, 139:15, 139:25 **ENVIRONMENTAL** [2] - 1:6, 2:18 **EPA** [37] - 16:3, 16:4, 16:8, 16:14, 16:17, 16:24, 16:25, 17:5, 18:9, 18:17, 18:19, 18:22, 18:25, 19:25, 20:10, 21:1, 21:7, 21:12, 21:13, 21:15, 21:19, 22:7, 27:3, 27:12, 28:1, 30:16, 31:13, 42:20, 47:20, 68:14, 71:1, 71:6, 195:14, 195:22, 196:10, 197:5 equalization [1] -120:3 equipment [9] - 97:13, 97:16, 100:4, 121:15, 121:18, 121:21, 124:11, 124:14, 145:16 equitable [1] - 69:25 erosion [5] - 189:17, 189:18, 207:7, 207:11, 207:16 escape [2] - 101:11, 101:23 escapes [1] - 136:8 especially [3] - 22:10, 91:12, 117:14 essence [3] - 27:6, 32:6, 171:2 established [7] - 9:5, 49:11, 51:18, 51:24,

136:20, 153:7, 153:11 establishment [1] -122:19 establishments [1] -141:11 estate [2] - 186:1, 187:15 estimation [1] -117:22 et [6] - 34:12, 44:12, 62:9, 125:5, 163:16 **Europe** [1] - 79:6 evaluate [1] - 156:11 evaluating [1] -167:12 evaporation [3] -117:14, 117:16, 117:17 evening [1] - 210:22 event [2] - 73:16, 184:21 events [1] - 167:14 eventually [1] - 112:12 evidence [48] - 5:19, 9:24, 10:2, 15:14, 16:5, 16:14, 20:6, 20:9, 20:15, 21:20, 22:6, 24:23, 24:25, 25:4, 25:10, 26:5, 27:10, 27:19, 29:19, 30:3, 37:12, 40:13, 40:23, 41:16, 52:23, 53:10, 55:6, 55:13, 59:21, 60:3, 60:14, 62:25, 110:19, 110:24, 118:7, 139:9, 145:22, 167:3, 170:4, 213:10, 213:17, 213:20, 213:22, 213:23, 215:7, 215:16 evidenced [1] - 106:16 evidently [1] - 16:4 exact [3] - 38:13, 38:17, 131:6 exactly [10] - 22:22, 31:6, 31:8, 115:8, 124:3, 130:5, 143:21, 172:9, 172:16, 179:18 examination [5] -26:4, 179:3, 183:17, 194:20, 209:6 **EXAMINATION** [6] -74:24, 129:17, 137:20, 183:3, 208:3, 209:21

examined [1] - 75:15

examples [1] - 33:14 excavated [1] - 132:17 except [7] - 50:22, 52:17, 61:11, 61:13, 72:16, 168:5, 168:16 exception [1] - 63:15 excess [1] - 125:24 exchange [1] - 78:22 exclude [2] - 20:9, 31:23 excluded [5] - 16:8, 17:17, 21:22, 25:15, excluding [1] - 43:7 exclusively [1] -150:21 excuse [2] - 103:17, 211:16 excused [2] - 11:2, 210:11 **exemption** [1] - 7:1 exhaustive [1] -138:23 exhibit [15] - 13:19, 13:22, 57:13, 69:2, 100:17, 126:9, 161:21, 183:13, 184:22, 188:2, 188:25, 189:2, 198:21, 203:16, 203:17 Exhibit [70] - 13:23. 15:11, 16:14, 17:11, 22:16, 23:2, 23:7, 54:20, 55:5, 57:24, 58:10, 59:12, 60:4, 60:7, 60:14, 60:19, 60:20, 62:15, 62:21, 65:15, 65:21, 67:22, 69:1, 95:20, 95:21, 98:15, 100:18, 102:18, 103:16, 103:17, 105:19, 119:5, 131:9, 134:14, 138:4, 146:25, 150:24, 152:1, 154:17, 158:11, 159:8, 160:7, 164:14, 165:12, 167:23, 169:1, 170:9, 171:16, 172:12, 173:11, 175:11, 176:5, 178:18, 178:23, 179:20, 181:4, 183:12, 185:12, 188:3, 192:22, 193:4, 201:18, 202:16,

203:15, 205:10,

212:7, 212:22 exhibits [4] - 69:14, 212:6, 213:3, 215:15 Exhibits [3] - 65:3, 69:17, 70:3 exist [3] - 44:20, 148:17, 155:23 existed [3] - 84:11, 89:12, 146:17 existence [4] - 89:19, 91:7, 136:18, 204:20 existing [4] - 149:2, 153:2, 161:19, 213:12 exits [1] - 11:5 expand [2] - 108:8, 132:3 expanded [1] - 116:5 experience [8] -76:22, 79:17, 80:5, 81:15, 87:9, 100:14, 167:11, 173:5 expert [8] - 10:12, 13:19, 26:2, 30:7, 83:3, 86:24, 87:16, 187:19 expert-driven [1] -30:7 expertise [5] - 75:21, 81:7, 138:24, 176:18 experts [7] - 25:3, 25:21, 29:16, 29:18, 29:23, 30:8, 136:23 Expiration [1] -215:22 expire [2] - 160:18, 175:21 expired [3] - 212:16, 212:17, 213:5 expires [2] - 158:24, 161:14 explain [4] - 94:8, 110:1, 117:3, 166:18 explaining [3] -100:11, 100:12, 138:8 exploration [5] -147:5, 147:11, 147:23, 149:11, 155:14

Exploration [1] -

exploratory [1] -

exporting [1] - 79:6

exposed [2] - 173:4,

express [1] - 67:15

extend [1] - 170:17

155:24

168:16

176:24

211:2, 211:10,

extended [3] - 71:10, 161:17, 170:24 extending [2] - 166:3, 166:11 extension [12] - 81:9, 107:24, 126:23, 127:17, 160:17, 161:15, 165:10, 168:8, 170:21, 175:16, 175:20, 184:10 extensions [1] - 165:9 **extensive** [1] - 197:4 extensively [2] - 9:17, 53:18 extent [11] - 9:5, 14:21, 16:13, 44:20, 44:25, 90:21, 105:12, 112:12, 135:16, 146:16, 187:21 extra' [1] - 172:25

F

facilities [5] - 78:5, 78:8, 94:17, 94:19, 142:24 facility [20] - 5:17, 5:22, 8:25, 9:3, 9:10, 72:18, 89:22, 92:12, 106:25, 118:19, 127:6, 127:11, 130:19, 131:1, 133:9, 138:11, 138:12, 138:18, 139:8, 169:20 fact [33] - 21:7, 21:10, 21:19, 27:3, 27:12, 36:5, 44:18, 56:14, 58:3, 58:10, 64:7, 69:19, 80:17, 89:14, 92:16, 94:10, 95:16, 97:6, 99:24, 106:14, 113:22, 116:19, 117:13, 117:20, 127:12, 130:21, 161:8, 164:23, 170:19, 190:7, 191:16, 194:14, 207:8 facts [4] - 14:20, 15:24, 18:4, 18:7 factual [4] - 10:1, 15:17, 34:16, 53:16 failure [3] - 85:15, 85:19 fair 181 - 25:23, 31:10. 51:15, 67:3, 150:23,

162:6, 181:15,

182:19	115:14, 184:11,	fish [5] - 47:2, 47:11,	form [3] - 121:3,	gained [1] - 80:5
fairly [1] - 169:13	186:7, 186:11,	50:3, 50:7, 50:22	133:23, 211:9	gaining [1] - 76:22
fairness [2] - 10:3,	186:13, 186:16,	five [4] - 71:3, 137:25,	formal [1] - 103:22	Galveston [3] - 141:6,
20:6	186:19, 186:22,	182:22, 196:10	formalized [1] - 40:1	
	186:25, 187:1,	· ·		161:6, 161:10
familiar [7] - 87:2,	187:3, 187:10	five-mill [1] - 196:10	formed [5] - 78:11,	game [1] - 31:10
135:24, 141:20,	filling [3] - 108:3,	five-minute [1] -	79:10, 79:14,	gather [1] - 140:21
143:25, 172:24,	<u> </u>	182:22	130:15, 197:14	gathered [4] - 75:13,
183:20, 198:25	112:18, 113:3 final [2] - 115:7, 129:4	five-volume [1] - 71:3	former [2] - 135:11,	118:12, 141:13,
far [6] - 27:25, 28:15,		fixture [2] - 5:13, 6:7	152:15	143:1
38:3, 84:14, 99:15,	finally [1] - 69:7	flagged [1] - 171:7	formidable [1] -	gathering [1] - 140:22
192:2	financial [2] - 30:21,	flashed [1] - 16:5	143:22	GC [2] - 139:14,
farming [1] - 76:5	31:12	flat [1] - 173:4	forming [1] - 79:10	139:25
fat [1] - 33:14	findings [3] - 21:19,	float [1] - 112:9	forms [1] - 189:15	gen [1] - 25:4
favorable [1] - 74:3	27:3, 27:12	floating [1] - 114:15	formulated [1] - 90:8	GENERAL [2] - 2:18,
February [5] - 16:15,	fine [13] - 11:19,	floats [1] - 145:12	forth [2] - 118:18,	2:22
17:11, 17:14,	12:10, 29:4, 47:9,	flood [1] - 189:16	124:11	general [7] - 30:5,
188:24, 212:24	49:18, 52:9, 60:12,	FLOOR [2] - 2:12, 4:6	fortuitous [1] - 138:18	42:6, 90:15, 134:16,
federal [3] - 79:21,	64:18, 64:21, 67:4,	Floor [1] - 215:24	forum [1] - 6:16	145:20, 157:14,
93:15, 156:9	84:21, 94:4, 177:1	flotation [1] - 144:2	forward [9] - 29:6,	174:15
fee [1] - 31:11	finish [3] - 20:5,	flourish [1] - 78:20	77:12, 83:7, 84:24,	generally [4] - 87:8,
fees [9] - 6:11, 10:11,	116:2, 177:6	flourished [1] - 90:16	98:21, 99:3, 99:6,	109:22, 144:16,
70:18, 72:21, 73:5,	finished [1] - 108:4	flow [8] - 112:14,	109:23, 139:19	150:11
73:7, 73:17, 74:10,	fire [5] - 43:19, 44:6,	113:6, 114:16,	foundation [3] - 36:4,	generated [4] - 90:20,
201:12	44:9, 44:16, 44:17	114:24, 120:2,	161:6, 161:10	90:22, 108:13,
feet [8] - 149:16,	fired [1] - 161:4	120:3, 121:8, 194:4	four [5] - 64:14,	201:12
149:20, 149:21,	firm [5] - 82:15, 82:19,	flowed [1] - 194:15	137:25, 144:8,	gentlemen [5] - 70:15,
150:5, 155:16,	82:22, 83:6, 83:11	flowing [1] - 143:1	148:12, 148:13	71:15, 75:4, 177:20,
155:20, 162:13	firm's [1] - 83:1	flows [1] - 143:1	fourth [2] - 64:8,	210:19
felt [1] - 93:18	firmly [1] - 172:3	fluid [1] - 150:18	174:22	GEORGE [1] - 2:8
fencing [1] - 205:5	FIRST [1] - 2:14	fluidized [1] - 142:21	frame [8] - 9:12, 84:9,	Germany [1] - 80:21
few [8] - 8:9, 71:16,	first [58] - 8:14, 10:24,	fluidizes [1] - 143:7	95:25, 139:7,	GIBBS [2] - 3:5, 3:8
79:9, 81:5, 126:15,	16:16, 20:14, 24:16,	focus [4] - 22:10,	139:15, 167:8,	GIBSON [1] - 3:21
140:3, 172:11,	30:25, 55:15, 66:14,	84:22, 85:17, 183:14	172:6, 172:7	Giugliano [1] - 59:19
172:13	66:15, 67:25, 71:23,	focused [3] - 167:21,	framed [1] - 9:21	GIUGLIANO [4] - 3:9,
fibers [4] - 110:7,	78:1, 78:13, 81:20,	172:7, 172:10	France [1] - 80:21	55:20, 55:23, 59:23
119:23, 191:9	84:22, 86:1, 87:23,	folders [1] - 69:13	frank [1] - 147:12	given [3] - 69:19,
fibrous [4] - 110:5,	89:15, 93:24,	follow [4] - 40:17,	frankly [2] - 25:25,	98:20, 180:23
120:5, 125:14,	100:21, 101:3,	94:13, 206:24, 212:9	47:6	global [1] - 8:18
125:18	103:20, 104:23,	follow-on [1] - 212:9	free [1] - 143:1	Golemon [1] - 196:23
fields [1] - 76:15	110:3, 111:23,	follow-up [2] - 40:17,	free-flowing [1] -	Golemon's [1] - 41:20
fifth [1] - 162:1	125:2, 128:5,	206:24	143:1	Gordon [1] - 134:22
fight [1] - 55:12	130:12, 131:11,	followed [3] - 46:5,	Freedom [2] - 18:23,	gosh [1] - 27:7
figure [5] - 50:11,	133:20, 140:4,	96:24	19:11	governed [1] - 89:19
172:18, 180:16,	142:5, 144:7,	following [14] - 1:19,	freedom [1] - 19:9	government [4] -
182:18, 188:23	145:21, 146:20,	5:3, 5:8, 70:9, 99:11,	frequently [1] - 142:17	22:11, 34:11, 151:5,
Figure [1] - 172:18	146:22, 151:3,	106:3, 127:25,	front [12] - 15:12,	151:7
file [2] - 158:3, 164:15	151:19, 151:22,	169:22, 179:20,	22:5, 23:25, 24:10,	grade [3] - 125:4,
filed [15] - 18:6, 18:20,	152:20, 154:8,	195:9, 208:1,	26:8, 30:15, 53:20,	191:15, 191:16
18:24, 19:8, 20:15,	154:13, 156:4,	210:16, 210:23,	62:5, 158:22, 211:4,	graduate [2] - 76:11,
20:17, 21:25, 27:18,	156:19, 165:23,	210.16, 210.23,	213:10, 213:17	76:15
27:21, 29:7, 30:22,	171:7, 172:1,	follows [2] - 74:23,	full [7] - 75:3, 78:2,	graduated [2] - 77:4,
72:15, 73:24,	172:19, 173:23,	1010 ws [2] - 74.23, 102:9	115:25, 149:7,	77:8
163:20, 163:25	174:5, 178:7, 193:3,	FOR [5] - 2:3, 2:17,	156:4, 161:13, 185:7	grant [2] - 5:21, 8:25
files [1] - 75:18	193:15, 197:23,	3:4, 3:14, 4:3	full-time [1] - 78:2	granted [8] - 8:24,
filing [7] - 19:12,	198:14, 198:16,		fume [1] - 94:3	72:17, 158:12,
19:17, 27:13, 27:23,	212:6	Ford [9] - 87:1, 87:2, 87:3, 87:5, 87:10,	function [1] - 147:24	158:14, 161:15,
30:25, 31:7, 31:9	firsthand [3] - 100:7,			164:2, 165:6, 175:20
fill [4] - 118:3, 122:18,	100:8, 142:2	87:15, 88:19, 88:24, 136:23	G	granting [1] - 153:12
146:11, 187:7	fiscal [2] - 186:2,		<u> </u>	granular [2] - 140:7,
filled [13] - 114:20,	186:3	Ford's [1] - 136:24	gain [1] - 139:2	142:21
		foregoing [1] - 215:6	3 [1] 130.2	144.41

graphically [1] -114:25 grass [7] - 122:13, 122:19, 122:24, 124:1, 161:11, 179:6, 179:21 gravel [2] - 141:2, 141:9 gravity [1] - 113:15 Gray [2] - 135:24, 211:23 gray [1] - 149:15 GRAY [9] - 4:8, 129:18, 131:8, 134:13, 137:14, 187:21, 195:4, 209:15, 211:18 great [2] - 142:11, 194:22 grew [2] - 80:19, 141:10 gross [1] - 197:9 group [2] - 13:16, 77:15 grow [7] - 78:19, 122:24, 124:1, 124:2, 178:10, 179:6, 179:21 growing [2] - 168:23, 179:5 grows [2] - 112:11, 179:6 arowth [1] - 168:25 quess [14] - 6:3. 10:20, 40:24, 56:18, 61:14, 77:25, 93:18, 93:24, 93:25, 138:18, 170:22, 186:24, 192:19, 205:23 guidance [3] - 22:10, 96:25, 105:4 guidelines [1] - 105:8 Gulf [1] - 91:2 guys [1] - 103:12

Н

habitat [2] - 158:7, 161:12 half [3] - 73:14, 73:19, 134:15 hand [2] - 74:20, 215:17 handled [1] - 91:5 handling [10] - 90:25, 91:2, 96:14, 96:23, 101:21, 102:11, 105:5, 115:24, 199:9, 199:18

110:12, 184:8, 189:15, 191:10, 205:20 hard-pressed [1] -73:18 hardness [1] - 190:1 harmful [3] - 10:8, 42:5, 158:6 HARRIS [4] - 1:4, 1:8, 2:3, 215:1 Harris [86] - 1:20, 9:23, 9:25, 11:7, 11:15, 11:21, 11:23, 12:3, 13:2, 13:11, 13:17, 15:19, 16:9, 24:17, 32:5, 32:9, 34:19, 35:8, 35:13, 36:14, 36:16, 36:24, 37:1, 37:8, 37:20, 37:22, 38:9, 38:10, 39:15, 39:22, 39:24, 39:25, 40:2, 40:3, 41:20, 44:23, 45:25, 46:8, 49:3, 49:6, 49:22, 49:24, 51:5, 51:16, 52:9, 56:5, 56:6, 56:13, 64:8, 64:12, 64:14, 73:23, 82:16, 84:15, 86:5, 86:8, 86:17, 86:25, 87:16, 92:21, 93:2, 93:13, 93:18, 94:17, 94:19, 95:4, 103:21, 103:23, 133:10, 133:16, 135:25, 136:22, 157:2, 157:4, 158:9, 158:10, 163:10, 163:12, 163:25, 182:21, 183:9, 186:21, 213:9, 213:16, 215:4, 215:23 hatched [1] - 127:2 hate [1] - 53:15 hauled [5] - 117:21, 124:15, 127:20, 130:13, 186:3 hauling [1] - 91:13 Haustein [1] - 68:18 hazardous [3] - 42:22, 79:25, 195:14 HCAD [2] - 13:6, 14:4 head [9] - 39:21,

hands [1] - 76:22

handwritten [3] -

98:19, 108:17,

hands-on [1] - 76:22

154:1, 157:9, 157:10

hard [9] - 14:3, 73:18,

39:23, 79:3, 92:20, 143:11, 145:2, 150:19, 150:22, 179:19 headed [1] - 48:14 headquartered [1] -77:17 Health [9] - 27:8, 27:12, 36:11, 103:23, 119:13, 126:4, 127:7, 190:15, 208:10 health [4] - 48:21, 49:19, 53:4, 211:6 Health's [1] - 119:7 hear [7] - 32:10, 83:19, 86:25, 87:15, 115:23, 181:11, 196:16 heard [13] - 1:18, 5:6, 81:23, 86:4, 86:24, 92:9, 93:8, 101:2, 117:2, 140:2, 175:23, 179:5 hearing [3] - 99:11, 208:1. 210:16 hearsay [9] - 12:24, 13:5, 13:12, 14:11, 23:6, 55:8, 56:17, 62:16, 65:3 heavier [2] - 112:6, 119:21 heavily [1] - 169:14 heavy [4] - 97:20, 121:17, 121:21, 124:13 heirs [3] - 21:4, 21:9, 21:16 held [3] - 1:19, 133:24, 134:4 hello [1] - 137:22 help[1] - 165:24 Henderson [4] - 96:7, 96:9, 102:24, 120:14 hereby [1] - 215:5 HGHC [1] - 49:25 high [7] - 108:18, 108:19, 110:12, 110:25, 188:19, 192:12, 193:16 higher [3] - 76:9, 113:12, 123:15 highlight [1] - 165:22 highlighted [1] - 17:15 highlighting [1] -125:2 Highway [2] - 104:3, 174:3 highways [1] - 157:15 himself [1] - 105:5

HINTON [24] - 4:8, 8:9, 12:16, 13:1, 13:15, 13:23, 14:8, 14:13, 14:19, 15:4, 15:10, 15:15, 16:17, 17:7, 17:18, 18:15, 18:23, 20:4. 20:13. 22:20. 22:25, 23:5, 72:5, 73:11 hip [1] - 74:1 historical [7] - 75:20, 84:14, 117:15, 138:24, 167:7, 167:13, 167:15 history [6] - 132:8, 145:18, 146:15, 154:7, 165:8, 171:7 HIT [5] - 154:2, 162:20, 163:7, 169:24 **hmm** [1] - 41:25 hold [3] - 111:20, 116:25, 124:5 home [1] - 210:21 Honor [82] - 8:6, 11:2, 11:19, 12:16, 13:1, 14:8, 14:13, 14:19, 14:24, 15:9, 15:20, 15:23, 16:18, 17:18, 18:16, 20:13, 20:25, 22:25, 23:6, 23:25, 25:16, 28:10, 28:25, 31:17, 31:22, 32:1, 32:15, 33:13, 34:9, 36:19, 37:10, 38:12, 40:10, 40:17, 41:3, 41:11, 42:2, 42:11, 43:2, 43:24, 45:17, 48:3, 49:17, 54:1, 54:10, 56:24, 59:12, 63:10, 64:25, 65:19, 66:6, 66:16, 67:1, 67:11, 68:7, 69:15, 69:22, 71:12, 71:21, 72:6, 72:10, 72:19, 73:12, 73:13, 74:14, 74:18, 89:24, 105:11, 128:3, 129:16, 137:18, 138:6, 177:17, 178:1, 195:4, 195:12, 209:13, 209:16, 210:7, 210:9, 210:12, 211:18 Honorable [1] - 1:19 hoods [1] - 94:3 hopefully [1] - 131:19 horizon [1] - 79:20 Hospital [1] - 76:2

hour [1] - 200:23 hours [3] - 201:1, 201:7, 201:8 housing [1] - 141:11 Houston [48] - 1:20, 57:24, 63:11, 66:21, 70:25, 75:24, 76:2, 76:4, 76:8, 76:9, 76:12, 76:19, 77:17, 78:2, 80:18, 90:14, 91:1, 92:3, 93:25, 109:9, 109:16, 111:5, 117:13, 117:16, 118:19, 140:22, 141:4, 141:6, 141:10, 148:18, 148:19, 148:23, 149:3, 154:2, 160:9, 163:7, 163:13, 164:21, 166:4, 166:12, 166:25, 168:10, 169:6, 169:9, 174:20, 176:25, 194:15, 215:24 HOUSTON [5] - 2:6, 2:12, 3:6, 3:16, 4:6 Houston's [1] - 58:5 **HUDSON**[1] - 48:18 Hudson [1] - 48:6 human [1] - 211:6 hundred [2] - 81:11, 201:15 hundreds [1] - 106:11 hydraulic [12] - 140:5, 140:6, 140:11, 141:13, 142:16, 143:8, 144:2, 145:11, 150:10, 150:11, 155:19, 166:8 hydraulically [4] -108:22, 140:17, 140:24, 144:10 hvdroclone [1] -110:14 hypothetical [1] -192:18 ı

I-10 [5] - 148:7, 165:17, 174:3, 174:9, 174:13 idea [5] - 109:25, 171:8, 183:8, 190:20, 201:3 ideal [3] - 101:6, 104:5, 106:8 identified [6] - 15:18,

interrupt [1] - 190:12

67:16, 127:3, 153:23, 174:23, 203:20 identifies [2] - 36:4, 104:2 identify [6] - 67:16, 86:11, 146:1, 162:17, 184:6 II [3] - 90:16, 162:23, 163:4 imagine [2] - 7:10, 7:25 immediate [1] - 174:8 immediately [3] -55:1, 133:1 impact [3] - 167:3, 202:19, 202:23 impacted [2] - 203:3, 204:11 impacting [1] - 202:2 impacts [2] - 156:11, 167:9 impaired [2] - 52:22, 54:3 impermeable [2] -123:23 implementing [2] -79:23, 126:6 implies [1] - 39:14 importance [1] -149:15 important [3] - 44:12, 118:21, 122:18 **importantly** [1] - 91:17 imposing [1] - 136:1 impossible [2] -101:10, 104:12 impoundment [41] -107:12, 107:16, 107:20, 107:25, 108:1, 108:3, 109:1, 109:13, 109:15, 111:8, 111:12, 111:25, 112:14, 112:23, 113:10, 115:14, 116:5, 116:6, 116:9, 116:15, 124:4, 126:19, 127:19, 128:6, 128:11, 128:14, 128:16, 128:22, 130:14, 132:4, 170:5, 184:2, 184:5, 184:13, 184:23, 193:20, 193:22, 194:1, 194:5, 202:7, 204:11 impoundments [17] -81:17, 85:1, 133:2, 178:24, 188:15,

189:21, 190:2, 192:9, 192:12, 192:17, 193:23, 200:13, 203:4, 203:21, 204:1, 206:17, 207:2 impression [3] -52:21, 98:5, 103:9 improper [6] - 26:18, 27:14, 27:17, 27:22, 30:2, 30:4 **improperly** [1] - 25:11 **IN** [1] - 1:4 inactive [1] - 166:3 inadvertently [1] -59:14 INC [4] - 1:10, 1:11, 3:4, 3:4 incarnation [1] -154:22 incentive [1] - 25:21 inches [1] - 146:14 include [2] - 40:18, 137:11 included [2] - 67:2, 215:9 includes [5] - 41:9, 137:9, 140:20, 143:5, 156:9 including [5] - 23:13, 37:12, 69:12, 119:13, 201:6 incompatible [1] -119:2 inconsistent [1] -22:12 incorporates [1] -72:12 incorrect [1] - 27:15 increase [1] - 172:4 Indian [1] - 156:10 indicate [4] - 147:10, 149:10, 165:5, 170:16 indicated [2] - 107:5, 159:6 indicates [4] - 37:17, 147:17, 154:24, 156:12 indicating [10] - 7:1, 49:20, 76:3, 116:4, 126:23, 148:12, 168:8, 169:15, 184:2, 205:6 indicating) [8] -126:21, 148:7, 148:8, 148:15, 162:21, 163:5, 169:15, 184:8

indication [5] - 129:7,

157:20, 163:16, 204:16, 204:18 indispensable [2] -1:6, 73:4 individually [2] - 32:8, 68:8 Industrial [8] - 16:20, 68:21, 69:5, 129:21, 135:4, 135:6, 212:8, 212:23 **INDUSTRIAL** [2] - 1:9, 4:4 industrial [8] - 79:20, 79:24, 80:15, 81:9, 81:15, 87:11, 91:14, 120:20 industries [1] - 92:4 industry [7] - 80:12, 90:15, 92:16, 174:14, 197:5, 197:11 inert [4] - 41:15, 41:17, 41:18, 41:22 inferring [1] - 102:17 inflame [1] - 27:9 informal [1] - 9:17 Information [2] -18:24, 19:12 information [18] -10:1, 14:24, 16:12, 16:25, 19:5, 19:9, 28:1, 28:4, 28:6, 35:22, 47:2, 83:13, 99:4, 134:20, 139:4, 173:25, 177:7, 205:4 informed [1] - 155:4 initial [2] - 112:24, 180:21 initiated [2] - 54:7, 54:8 **initiation** [1] - 32:22 inorganic [4] - 119:18, 119:19, 120:5, 191:10 inorganics [2] - 110:5, 125:19 inside [3] - 154:6, 189:12, 189:21 insoluble [1] - 125:19 inspected [1] - 97:13 inspection [2] - 69:9, 71:5 inspections [2] -129:13, 204:9 inspector [1] - 127:3 instance [5] - 8:18, 21:23, 140:16, 143:13, 203:20 instances [1] - 93:23 instead [1] - 73:2

instructed [4] - 71:25, 72:7, 72:13, 72:14 instruction [2] -198:23, 199:4 instructions [2] - 8:2, 22:9 insufficient [1] -116:24 intact [2] - 168:21, 191:20 integrity [1] - 192:16 intend [2] - 101:7, 104:6 intended [4] - 8:21, 8:25, 10:7, 67:13 intending [2] - 13:7, 180:14 intends [1] - 104:7 intention [2] - 115:13, 200:12 intentionally [1] -128:21 interchangeably [1] -132:16 interest [7] - 9:21, 19:21, 19:23, 30:20, 30:21, 31:12, 73:25 interested [4] - 94:23, 141:25, 156:8, 156:10 intermediate [1] -109:6 internal [1] - 116:3 International [19] -5:9, 5:24, 6:2, 6:10, 8:22, 71:21, 71:25, 72:11, 72:20, 85:23, 86:11, 86:18, 87:20, 88:20, 89:6, 154:2, 168:10, 194:15, 196:18 international [15] -79:4, 148:18, 148:20, 148:24, 149:3, 160:10, 163:7, 164:21, 166:4, 166:12, 166:25, 169:6, 169:10, 174:20, 176:25 INTERNATIONAL [2] -1:9, 3:14 interpret [3] - 85:2, 85:6, 162:24 interpretation [4] -85:12, 86:20, 213:7, 214:4 interpreting [4] -56:19, 58:19, 58:22, 213:18

interstate [1] - 174:2 intracoastal [1] -140:18 introduce [1] - 22:6 introduced [1] - 16:5 introduction [1] -65:15 inundated [7] -188:16, 189:7, 189:13, 189:15, 192:12, 206:9, 206:10 investigate [1] -164:12 investigating [1] -71:2 investigation [17] -18:17, 21:13, 24:25, 25:12, 43:21, 71:2, 75:14, 84:19, 96:2, 128:9, 130:10, 132:13, 133:23, 134:17, 165:13, 165:19, 165:25 investigator [5] -120:10, 120:17, 122:16, 125:3, 126:3 involved [10] - 32:22, 47:20, 81:25, 82:23, 130:8, 130:11, 145:23, 155:21, 164:25, 177:8 involvement [4] -82:8, 84:16, 98:9, 151:8 involves [1] - 62:15 involving [2] - 80:6, 82:1 **IP** [2] - 34:10, 85:22 **IP's** [2] - 5:16, 8:19 ironic [1] - 26:25 **is..** [1] - 14:18 issuance [2] - 161:8, 163:23 issue [55] - 5:13, 6:7, 6:25, 7:9, 7:11, 7:17, 7:18, 8:19, 8:20, 9:15, 9:22, 12:15, 14:1, 14:3, 17:20, 18:3, 19:14, 20:10, 22:1, 22:2, 22:10, 23:11, 23:14, 25:9, 27:17, 31:15, 32:2, 40:13, 42:25, 43:17, 44:7, 45:3, 49:10, 53:13, 54:15, 59:24, 72:18, 72:22, 93:16, 133:25, 134:18, 156:14, 171:7,

178:7, 178:14, 179:4, 179:22, 181:18, 181:20, 187:8, 187:19, 196:20, 211:16, 213:7, 214:2 issued [5] - 62:10, 153:15. 156:20. 158:19, 158:20 issues [21] - 5:20, 8:1, 8:4, 8:17, 16:8, 17:16, 20:1, 22:3, 48:25, 50:3, 70:13, 77:18, 77:20, 80:16, 84:18, 93:5, 154:9, 178:25, 180:18, 182:20, 182:23 itself [10] - 45:5, 56:12, 97:17, 105:18, 106:19, 144:1, 188:4, 194:24, 204:24, 209:25

J

Jacinto [24] - 69:9, 97:10, 104:3, 145:24, 148:14, 154:6, 164:18, 165:17, 166:2, 174:2, 174:9, 177:4, 186:1, 189:7, 189:10, 189:13, 191:2, 191:18, 194:11, 195:1, 195:3, 203:6, 203:11, 206:10 Jack [20] - 142:6, 148:21, 151:16, 151:20, 152:4, 154:25, 155:11, 158:15, 159:20, 164:21, 167:3, 170:19, 170:23, 174:19, 174:21, 175:12, 175:15, 176:5, 176:9, 210:4 Jack's [4] - 159:23, 168:11, 169:10, January [5] - 57:17, 154:18, 167:23, 170:11, 170:17 Jenn [20] - 81:19, 84:4, 85:8, 86:3, 86:23, 89:2, 95:21, 98:16, 107:3, 125:24, 138:3, 142:4, 143:18, 145:9, 147:9, 152:1,

157:8, 166:16, 169:4, 205:21 jet [2] - 108:17, 110:12 jetted [1] - 123:17 jetting [2] - 123:4, 124:15 **Jim** [1] - 102:24 **JOHN** [1] - 2:9 Johns [1] - 178:1 joined [4] - 8:15, 8:20, 10:14, 74:1 joins [4] - 72:4, 72:5, 73:10, 73:11 joint [1] - 154:20 jointly [1] - 134:21 Joseph's [1] - 76:2 **JPMORGAN** [1] - 2:5 judge [5] - 157:4, 163:12, 179:13, 207:22, 212:5 Judge [3] - 1:19, 27:2, 54:13

judgment [3] - 73:18, 73:21, 74:6 JUDICIAL [1] - 1:11 July [9] - 68:4, 68:20, 68:23, 152:3, 212:7, 212:19, 213:5, 214:1 June [9] - 35:12,

103:19, 151:2, 192:14, 193:14, 193:17, 193:19, 198:24, 208:6 jurisdiction [1] -

151:11 jury [45] - 5:4, 15:12, 17:16, 21:7, 24:1,

24:6, 24:11, 24:23, 25:20, 26:9, 27:4, 27:9, 28:22, 29:13, 30:15, 40:14, 53:20, 66:4, 69:21, 81:23, 99:11, 127:21, 127:24, 130:2,

136:5, 138:9, 138:22, 140:2, 142:8, 142:11.

142:8, 142:11, 143:24, 171:25, 177:19, 178:22,

177.19, 176.22, 179:1, 182:15, 191:8, 195:8, 198:3,

208:1, 210:16, 210:24, 213:8, 213:11, 213:17

Jury [5] - 70:10, 71:18, 74:15, 177:22, 182:25

Κ

KAIM [1] - 3:9 keep [9] - 29:12, 52:1, 52:2, 53:15, 56:11, 59:10, 59:15, 66:2, 66:4 Ken [2] - 62:18, 62:21 kept [3] - 28:25, 72:22, 94:21 Kidd [3] - 215:3, 215:21, 215:21 kills [1] - 27:6 Kim [1] - 69:7 Kimberly [3] - 215:3, 215:21, 215:21 kind [36] - 12:15, 24:6, 29:13, 33:23, 48:4, 48:9, 76:22, 95:13, 103:1, 106:20, 109:24, 112:19, 113:19, 114:24, 115:3, 119:1, 123:12, 125:14, 126:20, 139:1, 139:3, 142:14, 143:3, 145:22, 146:11, 147:24, 148:7, 150:11, 155:5, 155:13, 165:7, 184:8, 198:7, 207:6, 209:10 kinds [6] - 92:17, 119:25, 141:12, 155:7, 171:10, 191:25 knowing [2] - 27:11, 200:5 knowledge [11] -18:19, 35:10, 39:22, 81:14, 84:15, 84:19, 98:8, 98:9, 105:6, 167:17, 174:12 known [4] - 76:20, 110:8, 118:22, 152:16 kraft [8] - 196:3, 196:4, 196:5, 196:11, 196:12,

L

197:10, 197:18

labeled [2] - 67:21, 68:18 laboratory [2] - 78:10, 78:11 labs [1] - 94:3 lack [2] - 36:4, 39:21 ladies [5] - 70:15, 71:14, 75:4, 177:20, 210:18 **Lafarge** [5] - 159:11, 159:17, 159:18, 161:2, 165:1 **lagoon** [3] - 114:18, 118:13, 145:15 **land** [12] - 105:21, 106:25

106:19, 106:25, 113:11, 113:15, 124:2, 124:20, 124:24, 147:13, 157:14, 204:19, 204:24

landfill [1] - 36:7 landowner [1] -172:23

language [7] - 6:4, 6:17, 6:20, 104:13, 104:15, 187:13, 212:10

large [8] - 91:2, 120:2, 141:5, 146:13, 146:14, 154:14, 158:4, 197:9

larger [3] - 19:19, 80:23, 178:14 largest [1] - 177:5

Larry [1] - 68:5 last [16] - 67:12, 81:5, 121:11, 126:6, 126:8, 129:9,

136:23, 149:7, 161:13, 172:14, 172:16, 178:19, 185:17, 188:2,

190:4, 201:14 lasted [1] - 78:9

late [3] - 79:15, 91:18 lately [1] - 198:19 latter [1] - 198:1 laughing [2] - 63:16,

63:18 launched [1] - 78:14 Lauren [1] - 48:6

law [5] - 6:18, 9:9, 9:10, 73:24, 83:1 laws [2] - 79:23, 91:18 lawsuit [22] - 18:6, 18:20, 19:9, 19:10,

18:20, 19:9, 19:10, 19:12, 19:18, 21:25, 25:3, 25:11, 26:19, 27:13, 27:18, 27:21, 27:24, 29:7, 30:7, 30:25, 31:7, 31:9, 32:23, 182:3, 182:4

lawyer [8] - 26:1, 29:15, 30:11, 30:13, 30:21, 31:1, 31:14,

206:23

lawyer-driven [1] - 31:14

lawyers [1] - 30:20 lay [3] - 106:25, 113:11, 113:15 lead [1] - 45:2

leads [1] - 144:13 learned [1] - 196:10 least [8] - 173:3,

189:8, 196:1, 196:14, 197:20, 203:13, 203:22, 206:12

leave [10] - 77:24, 101:22, 102:7, 178:2, 199:11, 199:16, 200:3,

200:6, 200:9, 207:15 **leaving** [1] - 104:19

left [4] - 78:1, 142:14, 143:23, 178:5 **legal** [23] - 11:9,

11:22, 12:3, 12:8, 14:12, 14:18, 14:22, 15:16, 17:25, 56:17,

72:22, 89:25, 90:1, 105:12, 105:13,

105:14, 135:16, 135:18, 187:22, 187:24, 213:4,

213:7, 213:14 **legislature** [2] - 136:17, 152:11

less [1] - 197:14 letter [93] - 9:23, 9:24, 10:2, 13:9, 14:6, 14:20, 14:21, 16:15,

16:19, 17:3, 17:6, 17:8, 17:11, 17:20, 18:4, 18:9, 18:13,

18:19, 18:22, 19:2, 19:8, 19:21, 20:18, 21:6, 22:11, 22:15, 22:18, 22:19, 34:18.

35:12, 37:22, 39:25, 56:25, 57:3, 58:10, 58:15, 58:20, 58:22, 50:44, 63:48, 63:49

59:11, 62:18, 62:19, 62:20, 62:21, 62:24, 65:6, 68:4, 68:12, 68:16, 68:20, 68:23,

69:2, 70:22, 95:10, 100:21, 100:25, 101:18, 103:6, 103:7, 103:7

103:7, 103:9, 103:10, 103:19, 103:20, 103:22,

103:25, 151:1, 151:16, 159:10, 159:20, 160:19,

170:10, 173:13,
173:16, 175:7,
175:11, 176:8,
176:12, 198:6,
198:7, 198:8,
198:14, 198:17,
198:20, 198:24,
199:3, 199:6,
199:17, 199:21,
199:22, 200:15,
205:13, 205:19,
209:2, 209:4
letterhead [4] - 40:1,
69:3, 103:21, 103:23
letters [14] - 16:13,
59:2, 95:10, 104:21,
158:3, 197:23,
198:10, 198:15,
198:16, 198:22,
199:24, 200:1,
208:6, 208:19
letting [1] - 200:3
levees [6] - 132:3, 132:5, 132:11,
132:14, 132:16,
132:14, 132:10,
level [12] - 37:24,
38:20, 38:22, 38:23,
53:11, 53:12, 53:13,
79:22, 93:15,
112:11, 112:12,
155:17
levels [5] - 47:17,
50:13, 52:21, 53:10,
172:4
LEWIS [1] - 3:15
liability [1] - 29:22
liable [1] - 82:10
life [5] - 26:16, 76:1,
76:4, 122:24, 178:11
light [1] - 138:24
likely [2] - 123:13,
176:20
likewise [1] - 56:7
lime [2] - 110:6,
119:20
limine [2] - 182:12,
182:13
limit [1] - 158:5
limited [10] - 15:8,
22:3, 38:12, 38:13,
38:20, 38:23, 150:24, 161:1
159:24, 161:1, 162:10, 199:5
162:10, 199:5 limiting [1] - 22:9
Lincoln [1] - 76:5
line [41] - 11:11, 12:6,
14:7, 14:10, 22:21,
23:9, 31:23, 31:24,
33:12, 34:4, 35:6,
33.12, 37.4, 30.0,

```
37:7, 37:20, 41:1,
 46:19, 49:21, 52:12,
 53:6, 53:7, 56:22,
 57:1, 57:5, 57:12,
 57:13, 57:15, 57:23,
 58:3, 58:14, 58:20,
 59:10, 75:9, 90:4,
 113:20, 155:21,
 165:10, 176:14,
 180:10
Line [14] - 11:12, 12:7,
 22:23, 29:6, 34:6,
 35:11, 40:7, 40:22,
 43:10, 46:20, 53:23,
 61:15, 61:18, 64:3
lines [38] - 10:25,
 12:13, 12:23, 22:21,
 28:25, 31:18, 32:18,
 33:4, 33:8, 33:11,
 35:18, 35:23, 38:25,
 39:1, 40:7, 43:3,
 43:5, 43:14, 45:8,
 45:10, 45:19, 47:5,
 47:8, 47:9, 47:10,
 47:12, 47:24, 50:7,
 53:1, 54:11, 58:19,
 61:24, 65:20, 66:1,
 67:2, 67:5, 169:22,
 178:21
liquid [11] - 90:17,
 101:22, 102:6,
 104:18, 199:4,
 199:10, 199:16,
 200:3, 200:6, 200:8,
 200:13
liquids [1] - 102:7
list [6] - 13:19, 15:20,
 55:19, 156:25
listed [4] - 42:22,
 157:11, 157:14,
 163:19
listen [1] - 27:10
litigation [2] - 25:19,
 26:1
live [2] - 72:22, 76:5
lived [3] - 75:24, 76:1,
 76:4
living [1] - 75:6
LLP [5] - 2:4, 3:5,
 3:15, 3:21, 4:5
Load [1] - 70:24
load [2] - 118:12,
 123:18
loaded [5] - 51:21,
 109:15, 111:3, 111:7
loading [1] - 49:10
loads [1] - 118:14
local [6] - 37:24,
 38:20, 38:22, 38:23,
```

93:15, 156:9

141:13 locate [1] - 103:5 located [2] - 144:9, 204:19 location [21] - 77:9, 98:4, 98:5, 100:23, 103:13, 104:2, 106:14, 119:1, 132:18, 132:23, 133:1, 147:7, 148:5, 165:16, 173:2, 174:25, 175:1, 175:4, 175:5, 175:18, 199:25 locations [2] - 148:11, 197:15 look [43] - 5:13, 6:7, 12:24, 32:10, 32:11, 32:16, 34:2, 37:19, 44:22, 54:7, 57:10, 57:23, 63:6, 75:12, 75:20, 85:15, 103:16, 117:15, 125:14, 126:10, 131:9, 154:17, 159:7, 159:19, 160:6, 164:14, 167:16, 167:22, 168:2, 169:5, 170:9, 171:13, 172:11, 172:14, 172:21, 175:9, 175:10, 183:20, 198:16, 198:18, 201:16, 213:22 looked [24] - 33:18, 74:3, 103:10, 110:4, 117:4, 121:1, 125:10, 125:11, 139:6, 147:4, 167:2, 167:6, 167:7, 171:11, 183:15, 188:6, 192:3, 194:19, 194:22, 198:1, 198:3, 198:19, 202:18, 205:12 looking [35] - 19:21, 33:22, 34:1, 57:7, 57:9, 57:13, 99:21, 114:18, 139:7, 142:12, 143:22, 144:20, 152:20, 152:22, 158:11, 161:5, 161:13, 161:21, 162:6, 163:14, 165:4, 169:7, 170:22, 171:4, 171:6,

locally [2] - 38:1,

171:17, 172:2, 172:17, 172:18, 173:9, 175:19, 176:4, 180:6, 194:20, 194:23 looks [4] - 44:6, 56:20, 143:21, 202:14 loss [2] - 158:7, 189:18 lost [1] - 113:23 **LOUISIANA** [3] - 3:6, 3:15, 4:6 low [10] - 122:4, 122:7, 122:21, 123:24, 123:25, 124:1, 155:17, 155:21, 155:22, 173:4 lower [9] - 112:17, 113:6, 113:7, 113:9, 114:2, 114:24, 126:17, 128:7 lowered [2] - 142:20, 144:10 lowers [1] - 144:4 lunch [2] - 66:3, 70:9 М

machine [1] - 1:21 magnitude [1] -196:14 mail [2] - 171:17, 171:18 mailed [1] - 157:6 mailing [2] - 171:24, 172:13 mails [1] - 173:17 main [1] - 178:25 maintain [6] - 75:18, 78:17, 85:15, 85:19, 85:20, 135:13 maintained [2] -80:10, 80:24 maintaining [3] -86:10, 86:19, 91:22 MAINTENANCE [2] -1:10, 4:4 Maintenance [8] -16:20, 68:21, 69:5, 129:22, 135:4, 135:6, 212:9, 212:24 maintenance [16] -87:19, 87:25, 88:6, 88:12, 88:21, 89:6, 91:10, 91:12, 91:15, 129:13, 135:23, 137:2, 152:24, 158:16, 168:12, 174:16

major [1] - 195:2 manage [3] - 98:11, 99:25, 152:10 managed [3] - 98:4, 109:10, 138:17 management [21] -79:25, 81:10, 92:17, 96:11, 106:2, 106:22, 106:23, 107:7, 107:9, 107:10, 107:13, 108:7, 108:9, 109:7, 109:11, 111:24, 115:16, 126:24, 138:10, 138:11, 138:15 MANAGEMENT [4] -1:10, 1:11, 3:4, 3:4 Management [16] -6:12, 6:23, 7:4, 8:22, 9:11, 26:22, 26:23, 68:24, 69:3, 72:3, 72:10, 73:9, 138:13, 139:9, 139:23, 192:4 Management's [1] -138:17 manager [4] - 77:14, 77:21, 96:9, 96:10 managing [3] - 80:15, 93:4, 99:21 manner [2] - 101:21, 199:10 manufacturing [1] -77:16 map [3] - 58:21, 126:9, 153:19 marathon [7] - 77:16, 77:25, 78:2, 78:4, 78:11, 78:13, 94:16 March [4] - 57:15, 57:25, 95:25, 96:5 mark [1] - 65:9 MARK [1] - 3:9 Mark [1] - 178:1 marshall [1] - 69:4 mARY [1] - 2:21 material [75] - 18:25, 41:21, 92:1, 102:7, 106:13, 107:18, 108:16, 110:4, 110:5, 110:10, 110:13, 110:15, 111:3, 112:8, 114:9, 118:25, 119:15, 120:5, 120:6, 120:11, 120:12, 120:19, 120:23, 121:3, 121:10, 121:20, 121:25, 122:3, 122:7, 122:9,

_	
400.44 400.04	454.4 404.40
122:14, 122:21,	154:1, 184:18,
122:22, 123:3,	187:13, 212:8,
123:19, 124:5,	212:23
124:18, 125:4,	MCGINNES [2] - 1:9,
125:9, 125:13,	4:4
125:14, 125:18,	McKinney [1] - 68:5
125:20, 127:16,	MCL [1] - 53:13
132:13, 132:19,	mean [22] - 25:13,
140:14, 141:2,	32:25, 33:20, 34:23,
141:8, 141:12,	49:10, 52:6, 52:19,
142:20, 142:25,	56:19, 63:9, 90:11,
143:7, 145:3,	
145:16, 147:25,	122:2, 150:1,
	155:22, 181:13,
149:19, 150:2,	186:11, 187:2,
150:14, 153:1,	188:19, 192:12,
176:24, 177:1,	193:16, 201:5,
178:16, 180:6,	204:22, 204:25
185:1, 185:6, 186:3,	meandering [1] -
186:12, 187:4,	148:14
189:9, 189:11,	meaning [5] - 5:22,
189:14, 189:21,	28:21, 30:22,
190:15	179:17, 182:15
materials [25] - 90:17,	means [8] - 38:18,
91:9, 97:9, 118:6,	53:11, 64:19,
119:18, 119:19,	122:23, 144:3,
119:22, 119:23,	, ,
119:25, 120:20,	186:12, 186:23,
132:17, 140:7,	187:1
	meant [2] - 9:4,
140:8, 140:21,	148:25
141:23, 143:13,	meantime [1] - 161:6
145:13, 150:4,	measurement [1] -
177:2, 186:7,	189:25
186:22, 186:25,	mechanism [1] -
187:2, 191:10,	207:11
194:20	mechanisms [2] -
math [2] - 201:10,	207:13, 207:16
201:11	meet [2] - 94:8, 119:24
matter [8] - 38:2,	
38:19, 73:24, 80:17,	meeting [1] - 40:19
92:16, 104:4, 201:1,	meetings [8] - 47:1,
214:3	47:15, 47:16, 47:19,
	47:21, 47:25, 50:10,
matters [3] - 71:16,	50.12
	50:12
134:18, 177:18	Mega [4] - 164:17,
matting [2] - 121:15,	
matting [2] - 121:15, 121:17	Mega [4] - 164:17,
matting [2] - 121:15,	Mega [4] - 164:17, 164:20, 172:22,
matting [2] - 121:15, 121:17	Mega [4] - 164:17, 164:20, 172:22, 204:7 MELANIE [1] - 4:8
matting [2] - 121:15, 121:17 Maximum [1] - 70:24	Mega [4] - 164:17, 164:20, 172:22, 204:7 MELANIE [1] - 4:8 members [1] - 82:19
matting [2] - 121:15, 121:17 Maximum [1] - 70:24 mayor [1] - 163:15	Mega [4] - 164:17, 164:20, 172:22, 204:7 MELANIE [1] - 4:8 members [1] - 82:19 memo [4] - 14:15,
matting [2] - 121:15, 121:17 Maximum [1] - 70:24 mayor [1] - 163:15 McGinnes [32] -	Mega [4] - 164:17, 164:20, 172:22, 204:7 MELANIE [1] - 4:8 members [1] - 82:19 memo [4] - 14:15, 15:2, 97:24, 190:16
matting [2] - 121:15, 121:17 Maximum [1] - 70:24 mayor [1] - 163:15 McGinnes [32] - 12:17, 12:19, 13:4,	Mega [4] - 164:17, 164:20, 172:22, 204:7 MELANIE [1] - 4:8 members [1] - 82:19 memo [4] - 14:15, 15:2, 97:24, 190:16 memorandum [2] -
matting [2] - 121:15, 121:17 Maximum [1] - 70:24 mayor [1] - 163:15 McGinnes [32] - 12:17, 12:19, 13:4, 16:20, 21:4, 21:9, 21:16, 69:5, 121:12,	Mega [4] - 164:17, 164:20, 172:22, 204:7 MELANIE [1] - 4:8 members [1] - 82:19 memo [4] - 14:15, 15:2, 97:24, 190:16 memorandum [2] - 96:20, 164:15
matting [2] - 121:15, 121:17 Maximum [1] - 70:24 mayor [1] - 163:15 McGinnes [32] - 12:17, 12:19, 13:4, 16:20, 21:4, 21:9, 21:16, 69:5, 121:12, 121:13, 121:20,	Mega [4] - 164:17, 164:20, 172:22, 204:7 MELANIE [1] - 4:8 members [1] - 82:19 memo [4] - 14:15, 15:2, 97:24, 190:16 memorandum [2] - 96:20, 164:15 memory [2] - 10:13,
matting [2] - 121:15, 121:17 Maximum [1] - 70:24 mayor [1] - 163:15 McGinnes [32] - 12:17, 12:19, 13:4, 16:20, 21:4, 21:9, 21:16, 69:5, 121:12, 121:13, 121:20, 123:13, 129:21,	Mega [4] - 164:17, 164:20, 172:22, 204:7 MELANIE [1] - 4:8 members [1] - 82:19 memo [4] - 14:15, 15:2, 97:24, 190:16 memorandum [2] - 96:20, 164:15 memory [2] - 10:13, 96:15
matting [2] - 121:15, 121:17 Maximum [1] - 70:24 mayor [1] - 163:15 McGinnes [32] - 12:17, 12:19, 13:4, 16:20, 21:4, 21:9, 21:16, 69:5, 121:12, 121:13, 121:20, 123:13, 129:21, 130:23, 131:24,	Mega [4] - 164:17, 164:20, 172:22, 204:7 MELANIE [1] - 4:8 members [1] - 82:19 memo [4] - 14:15, 15:2, 97:24, 190:16 memorandum [2] - 96:20, 164:15 memory [2] - 10:13,
matting [2] - 121:15, 121:17 Maximum [1] - 70:24 mayor [1] - 163:15 McGinnes [32] - 12:17, 12:19, 13:4, 16:20, 21:4, 21:9, 21:16, 69:5, 121:12, 121:13, 121:20, 123:13, 129:21, 130:23, 131:24, 134:8, 134:10,	Mega [4] - 164:17, 164:20, 172:22, 204:7 MELANIE [1] - 4:8 members [1] - 82:19 memo [4] - 14:15, 15:2, 97:24, 190:16 memorandum [2] - 96:20, 164:15 memory [2] - 10:13, 96:15
matting [2] - 121:15, 121:17 Maximum [1] - 70:24 mayor [1] - 163:15 McGinnes [32] - 12:17, 12:19, 13:4, 16:20, 21:4, 21:9, 21:16, 69:5, 121:12, 121:13, 121:20, 123:13, 129:21, 130:23, 131:24, 134:8, 134:10, 134:25, 135:3,	Mega [4] - 164:17, 164:20, 172:22, 204:7 MELANIE [1] - 4:8 members [1] - 82:19 memo [4] - 14:15, 15:2, 97:24, 190:16 memorandum [2] - 96:20, 164:15 memory [2] - 10:13, 96:15 mention [1] - 27:8
matting [2] - 121:15, 121:17 Maximum [1] - 70:24 mayor [1] - 163:15 McGinnes [32] - 12:17, 12:19, 13:4, 16:20, 21:4, 21:9, 21:16, 69:5, 121:12, 121:13, 121:20, 123:13, 129:21, 130:23, 131:24, 134:8, 134:10, 134:25, 135:3, 135:6, 135:10,	Mega [4] - 164:17, 164:20, 172:22, 204:7 MELANIE [1] - 4:8 members [1] - 82:19 memo [4] - 14:15, 15:2, 97:24, 190:16 memorandum [2] - 96:20, 164:15 memory [2] - 10:13, 96:15 mention [1] - 27:8 mentioned [8] - 41:5,
matting [2] - 121:15, 121:17 Maximum [1] - 70:24 mayor [1] - 163:15 McGinnes [32] - 12:17, 12:19, 13:4, 16:20, 21:4, 21:9, 21:16, 69:5, 121:12, 121:13, 121:20, 123:13, 129:21, 130:23, 131:24, 134:8, 134:10, 134:25, 135:3, 135:6, 135:10, 147:14, 148:10,	Mega [4] - 164:17, 164:20, 172:22, 204:7 MELANIE [1] - 4:8 members [1] - 82:19 memo [4] - 14:15, 15:2, 97:24, 190:16 memorandum [2] - 96:20, 164:15 memory [2] - 10:13, 96:15 mention [1] - 27:8 mentioned [8] - 41:5, 69:18, 84:8, 97:6,
matting [2] - 121:15, 121:17 Maximum [1] - 70:24 mayor [1] - 163:15 McGinnes [32] - 12:17, 12:19, 13:4, 16:20, 21:4, 21:9, 21:16, 69:5, 121:12, 121:13, 121:20, 123:13, 129:21, 130:23, 131:24, 134:8, 134:10, 134:25, 135:3, 135:6, 135:10, 147:14, 148:10, 148:13, 153:20,	Mega [4] - 164:17, 164:20, 172:22, 204:7 MELANIE [1] - 4:8 members [1] - 82:19 memo [4] - 14:15, 15:2, 97:24, 190:16 memorandum [2] - 96:20, 164:15 memory [2] - 10:13, 96:15 mention [1] - 27:8 mentioned [8] - 41:5, 69:18, 84:8, 97:6, 108:10, 119:16, 141:9, 159:13
matting [2] - 121:15, 121:17 Maximum [1] - 70:24 mayor [1] - 163:15 McGinnes [32] - 12:17, 12:19, 13:4, 16:20, 21:4, 21:9, 21:16, 69:5, 121:12, 121:13, 121:20, 123:13, 129:21, 130:23, 131:24, 134:8, 134:10, 134:25, 135:3, 135:6, 135:10, 147:14, 148:10,	Mega [4] - 164:17, 164:20, 172:22, 204:7 MELANIE [1] - 4:8 members [1] - 82:19 memo [4] - 14:15, 15:2, 97:24, 190:16 memorandum [2] - 96:20, 164:15 memory [2] - 10:13, 96:15 mention [1] - 27:8 mentioned [8] - 41:5, 69:18, 84:8, 97:6, 108:10, 119:16,

```
metallic [1] - 94:18
method [5] - 96:13,
 96:23, 97:8, 97:20,
 97:25
Mexico [2] - 80:21,
 91:2
mid [2] - 89:13, 90:7
middle [6] - 106:20,
 107:23, 113:21,
 121:24, 125:25,
 126:24
might [12] - 44:14,
 55:13, 55:14, 119:2,
 144:15, 150:18,
 150:19, 158:5,
 171:8, 191:6, 192:7,
 197:15
mile [2] - 111:6
miles [2] - 76:3, 76:6
mill [22] - 85:1,
 107:21, 109:17,
 110:9, 111:16,
 111:24, 115:5,
 116:13, 116:22,
 117:21, 118:8,
 119:17, 123:6,
 127:20, 182:4,
 190:1, 190:22,
 194:11, 195:21,
 196:10, 206:17,
 207:2
mill's [1] - 108:14
million [2] - 155:18,
 155:25
mills [7] - 195:24,
 196:3, 196:11,
 196:12, 197:1,
 197:6, 197:10
MIMC [30] - 7:12, 8:23,
 12:15, 18:1, 18:14,
 19:19, 20:19, 20:21,
 21:12, 21:14, 26:23,
 72:5, 72:7, 73:11,
 129:21, 130:7,
 130:8, 130:11,
 130:23, 131:2,
 131:16, 133:6,
 133:9, 133:17,
 135:13, 137:10,
 137:11, 184:16,
 185:14, 197:24
MIMC's [7] - 7:6, 9:18,
 9:21, 10:10, 19:25,
 133:3, 184:13
mind [6] - 53:1,
 167:24, 177:23,
 182:22, 201:24,
 211:20
mine [3] - 59:22,
```

88:25, 150:7

```
mined [1] - 173:2
mining [4] - 172:3,
 172:19, 172:22,
 174:10
minor [1] - 129:2
minute [9] - 8:7, 45:9,
 63:23, 89:9, 89:11,
 101:15, 182:22,
 207:23, 210:14
minutes [5] - 185:12,
 185:14, 185:20,
 187:14, 187:16
misleading [2] - 39:9,
 49:8
misremembering [1] -
 203:19
mitigation [1] - 158:6
modifications [1] -
 156:21
modified [2] - 24:6,
 24:12
modify [1] - 156:14
moment [3] - 33:24,
 119:4, 177:21
Monday [1] - 9:17
monitor [2] - 49:22,
 51:5
monitoring [6] -
 43:15, 43:21, 45:2,
 45:15, 54:6, 129:14
monofil [1] - 118:22
month [1] - 160:18
months [5] - 84:1,
 117:14, 133:8,
 183:6, 209:1
MORGAN [1] - 3:15
morning [1] - 210:21
most [8] - 76:4, 90:16,
 90:22, 97:25,
 100:15, 145:14,
 176:20, 193:25
motion [35] - 5:10,
 5:11, 5:16, 5:21,
 5:24, 5:25, 6:2, 6:5,
 6:10, 6:12, 6:20,
 6:22, 7:4, 7:6, 7:19,
 8:19, 8:21, 8:24,
 9:11, 9:13, 9:18,
 10:5, 10:8, 10:10,
 10:14, 21:21, 72:4,
 72:5, 72:8, 72:17,
 73:10, 73:11, 74:8,
 182:12, 182:13
motions [10] - 5:6,
 5:8, 7:13, 8:4, 8:15,
 8:16, 8:23, 9:1,
 71:22, 72:14
mouth [1] - 97:9
move [14] - 54:25,
 55:5, 59:14, 60:13,
```

123:18, 144:25, 150:9, 154:16, 166:9, 169:5, 174:4, 187:25, 197:22, 202:16 moved [2] - 78:2, 145:2 moves [1] - 72:20 moving [5] - 44:14, 50:19, 124:14, 139:19, 173:22 MR [318] - 2:7, 2:8, 2:9, 2:14, 2:21, 3:8, 3:8, 3:9, 3:9, 3:10, 3:11, 3:17, 3:18, 3:18, 3:19, 3:23, 8:6, 10:20, 10:23, 11:2, 11:10, 11:13, 11:18, 12:2, 12:10, 12:14, 13:10, 13:13, 13:22, 15:8, 16:2, 16:19, 17:10, 17:14, 19:1, 19:4, 19:11, 20:5, 21:18, 23:1, 23:4, 23:24, 24:22, 25:16, 25:24, 26:11, 26:15, 28:9, 28:19, 28:24, 29:5, 30:24, 31:6, 31:17, 31:21, 32:1, 32:14, 32:18, 33:3, 33:7, 33:9, 33:10, 33:20, 34:7, 35:4, 35:17, 35:25, 36:2, 36:8, 36:17, 36:18, 37:10, 38:2, 38:11, 39:1, 39:4, 39:10, 39:20, 40:6, 40:10, 40:16, 40:21, 41:3, 41:7, 41:10, 41:13, 41:19, 42:1, 42:3, 42:11, 42:14, 42:18, 43:2, 43:7, 43:8, 43:12, 43:24, 44:4, 44:11, 44:13, 45:8, 45:11, 45:17, 45:21, 45:24, 46:3, 46:7, 46:14, 46:18, 47:4, 47:13, 47:16, 48:3, 48:6, 48:9, 48:13, 48:14, 48:17, 48:20, 49:3, 49:8, 49:17, 50:8. 50:17. 50:20. 50:24, 51:3, 51:10, 51:12, 51:19, 51:20, 51:25, 52:6, 52:8, 52:13, 52:16, 52:18, 53:9, 53:15, 53:19, 53:25, 54:1, 54:10, 54:12, 54:14, 54:23, 55:4, 55:10, 55:18, 55:20, 55:22, 55:23,

56:23, 57:7, 57:11, 58:24, 59:3, 59:12, 59:20, 59:22, 59:23, 60:2, 60:9, 60:13, 60:18, 60:21, 60:24, 61:7, 61:13, 61:17, 61:23, 62:2, 62:8, 62:11, 62:17, 63:2, 63:4, 63:7, 63:9, 63:15, 63:17, 63:18, 63:19, 63:24, 64:2, 64:6, 64:7, 64:17, 64:21, 64:25, 65:10, 65:12, 65:19, 65:25, 66:6, 66:15, 66:23, 67:1, 67:4, 67:11, 67:20, 68:11, 69:22, 70:6, 70:14, 71:12. 71:21, 72:3, 72:9, 72:11, 72:19, 73:9, 73:13, 74:14, 74:18, 74:25, 81:19, 84:4, 85:7, 86:2, 86:22, 89:1, 89:24, 90:3, 95:20, 98:16, 98:24, 99:8. 100:17. 103:16, 105:11, 107:2, 112:15, 119:5, 120:8, 125:23, 126:8, 128:3, 128:13, 128:15, 129:16, 135:15, 137:18, 137:21, 138:3, 142:4, 143:17, 144:18, 145:8, 146:24, 147:8, 148:2, 150:24, 151:25, 153:17, 153:21, 157:7, 160:13, 162:14, 165:22, 166:15, 167:22, 169:3, 171:15, 177:12, 177:17, 178:1, 178:3, 178:7, 179:7, 179:13, 179:18, 180:4, 180:23, 181:5, 181:7, 181:11, 181:20, 181:23, 181:25, 182:2, 182:5, 182:21, 183:4, 183:11, 185:11, 185:17, 185:22, 187:25, 193:12, 195:12, 196:6, 201:18, 201:24, 205:10, 205:14, 205:16, 205:21, 207:18, 207:22,

208:4, 209:13, 209:17, 209:19, 209:22, 210:6, 210:9, 211:1, 211:13, 212:5, 212:17, 212:19, 212:21, 213:6, 213:9, 213:16, 213:20, 214:5 MS [37] - 2:8, 2:21, 3:10, 4:8, 4:8, 8:9, 12:16, 13:1, 13:15, 13:23, 14:8, 14:13, 14:19, 15:4, 15:10, 15:15, 16:17, 17:7, 17:18, 18:15, 18:23, 20:4, 20:13, 22:20, 22:25, 23:5, 48:18, 72:5, 73:11, 129:18, 131:8, 134:13, 137:14, 187:21, 195:4, 209:15, 211:18 mud [1] - 121:8 muddy [1] - 121:18 MUIR [57] - 2:9, 12:2, 13:10, 13:13, 13:22, 15:8, 31:6, 32:1, 33:9, 35:25, 36:8, 36:18, 37:10, 38:2, 38:11, 39:10, 41:3, 41:7, 41:13, 42:1, 43:2, 43:7, 43:24, 44:4, 44:11, 45:11, 46:3, 46:18, 48:3, 48:6, 48:9, 48:13, 49:3, 50:17, 50:20, 50:24, 51:3, 51:12, 51:19, 52:8, 52:13, 52:16, 53:15, 54:1, 56:23, 57:7, 57:11, 59:3, 60:24, 61:17, 62:2, 62:8, 63:2, 63:17, 64:7, 65:10, 67:1 Muir [19] - 12:23, 13:8, 14:11, 23:12, 35:24, 39:8, 40:25, 43:18, 45:14, 48:2, 50:5,

Ν

51:15, 56:16, 56:18,

61:6, 62:7, 62:15,

Muir's [2] - 33:24,

65:2, 65:7

must [1] - 5:21

35:20

N.W [1] - 3:21 **name** [9] - 75:3, 75:5,

87:1, 93:8, 138:18, 139:12, 139:18, 152:14, 162:3 naming [1] - 183:16 Nann [1] - 16:16 national [1] - 80:20 natural [1] - 161:25 nature [2] - 180:23, 191:24 navigable [1] - 151:12 navigational [1] -140:16 near [4] - 145:24, 171:8, 174:9, 203:21 nearing [1] - 115:25 nebulous [1] - 103:5 necessarily [3] -21:18, 41:18, 64:13 **necessary** [3] - 1:6, 73:3, 111:18 necessity [2] - 102:10, 209:6 need [30] - 6:24, 7:2, 7:24, 10:4, 20:14, 22:14, 24:15, 44:15, 44:17, 52:25, 55:4, 60:5, 66:6, 74:9, 116:7, 120:22, 133:10, 133:13, 133:17, 143:10, 150:13, 151:13, 158:4, 158:5, 178:2, 178:19, 208:16, 209:20 needed [5] - 36:20, 39:18, 108:5, 141:12, 181:3 needing [1] - 108:11 needs [4] - 24:3, 127:22, 156:21, 156:22 nefarious [1] - 44:24 negligent [1] - 44:23 negotiate [1] - 94:6 **NELSON** [1] - 3:23 never [10] - 18:7, 18:10, 36:4, 87:24, 98:22, 135:5, 139:12, 171:21, 210:1, 210:4 new [7] - 13:24, 28:22, 78:7, 78:20, 79:18, 164:20, 211:9 newspapers [1] -94:22 next [34] - 12:12, 14:6, 23:5, 23:11, 45:19, 48:1, 57:13, 65:1, 71:17, 84:4, 85:7, 85:16, 86:2, 86:22,

123:2, 125:23, 141:22, 143:17, 144:18, 145:5, 145:9, 153:6, 153:17, 154:22, 162:5, 174:4, 174:5 nine [1] - 171:1 **NO**[1] - 1:2 nomenclature [1] -183:16 nominal [1] - 147:21 non [1] - 53:12 non-elevated [1] -53:12 none [3] - 145:25, 159:6, 209:23 nontoxic [1] - 41:14 normal [2] - 81:9, 93:10 north [6] - 104:3, 113:4, 126:20, 148:8, 162:19, 174:2 northwest [3] -172:21, 202:23, 203:1 northwestern [2] -169:20, 194:6 notable [1] - 168:1 note [5] - 8:14, 11:20, 102:22, 103:3, 174:24 noted [4] - 66:17, 159:5, 172:5, 211:4 notes [3] - 65:9, 118:9, 137:25 nothing [3] - 7:23, 125:15, 210:9 notice [24] - 57:16, 154:20, 155:1, 155:10, 156:5, 156:25, 157:3, 157:6, 157:22, 157:24, 161:22, 161:23, 163:10, 163:13, 163:17, 177:10, 177:11, 197:17, 204:19, 204:22, 204:23, 204:24, 206:6 notification [1] - 206:2 noting [1] - 187:19 November [5] - 84:1, 159:10, 160:11, 164:17, 164:23 number [15] - 16:3, 27:7, 44:24, 55:14, 55:22, 70:16, 75:12,

88:10, 89:1, 111:4,

112:15, 112:25,

114:4, 122:12,

110:2, 117:17,
122:22, 123:9,
123:11, 165:8,
175:17, 176:10
numbered [3] - 1:18,
68:2, 215:10
numerical [2] - 190:5,
190:10
numerous [2] - 75:15,
178:15

O

object [9] - 36:18,
48:20, 52:18, 54:21,

48:20, 52:18, 54:21, 69:23, 89:25, 105:12, 128:13, 213:9 objected [1] - 158:2 objecting [2] - 58:18, 181:16 objection [54] - 10:24, 11:18, 12:13, 12:21, 12:22, 12:24, 13:21, 14:5, 14:7, 15:22, 18:10, 22:15, 22:19, 23:6, 23:8, 23:9, 35:25, 36:1, 40:4, 40:13, 40:15, 43:1, 43:8, 43:9, 55:7, 55:8, 55:9, 55:10, 59:2, 60:3, 60:10, 60:17, 61:3, 62:6, 62:14, 62:16, 63:14, 65:2, 65:15, 66:13, 66:18, 90:4, 98:24, 99:8, 135:15, 158:8, 163:25, 182:6, 187:21, 195:4, 211:8, 211:19, 211:21, 211:23 objections [12] -23:13, 33:24, 35:20, 60:25, 61:11, 61:14, 69:16, 70:2, 163:20, 163:24, 211:14, 211:15 objects [1] - 56:17 obligation [5] - 87:19, 88:24, 135:13, 135:22, 137:12 obligations [6] -87:25, 88:6, 88:12, 88:22, 89:6, 137:2 observation [2] -168:18, 171:25 observations [2] -169:8, 174:11 observe [1] - 167:16 observed [2] - 141:19,

164:5 observing [1] - 193:4 obtain [3] - 92:22, 146:5, 151:20 obtained [4] - 76:13, 95:10, 131:2, 134:11 **obvious** [1] - 106:12 obviously [1] - 208:8 occasion [3] - 82:17, 87:6, 94:20 occur [2] - 21:10, 153:14 occurred [7] - 34:5, 35:7, 131:24, 132:15, 154:14, 206:19, 215:11 occurring [3] - 78:21, 91:16, 128:17 OCTOBER [2] - 1:15, 5:1 October [7] - 1:18, 17:3, 71:7, 78:9, 175:16, 176:1, 215:18 **OF** [11] - 1:1, 1:4, 1:4, 1:11, 2:11, 2:17, 2:18, 3:4, 215:1, 215:1 off-loaded [1] - 111:7 offer [22] - 22:20, 29:24, 37:3, 45:6, 45:19, 46:9, 46:12, 46:24, 58:23, 61:4, 62:23, 67:13, 67:17, 68:7, 69:24, 69:25, 70:4, 105:13, 212:6, 212:21, 215:15 offered [7] - 28:3, 29:24, 38:23, 46:17, 52:13, 63:2, 215:16 offering [11] - 43:13, 62:20, 62:21, 63:24, 63:25, 64:2, 64:4, 69:15, 130:1, 212:14, 213:3 offers [3] - 44:5, 46:18, 64:20 office [8] - 13:16, 29:8, 30:12, 48:7, 77:16, 78:3, 79:18, 157:14 **OFFICE** [2] - 2:11, officer [1] - 93:1 offices [1] - 80:20 Official [2] - 215:3, 215:22 officials [2] - 156:10, 164:4 offload [1] - 111:15

offshore [1] - 91:1 offsite [3] - 91:6, 91:14, 92:12 often [1] - 25:19 old [4] - 141:16, 148:24, 172:20, 174:1 Ole [8] - 96:16, 99:18, 131:3, 131:15, 131:24, 133:7, 133:22, 134:3 ON [1] - 1:5 once [12] - 24:4, 44:16, 44:18, 46:4, 105:10, 110:11, 118:3, 118:25, 125:13, 135:12, 168:24 one [125] - 5:17, 5:21, 5:23, 7:23, 8:25, 9:3, 9:4, 9:10, 12:12, 12:18, 13:8, 13:15, 13:24, 14:1, 16:21, 23:18, 24:2, 24:12, 24:13, 25:9, 25:13, 27:3, 27:5, 27:17, 32:2. 33:13. 35:2. 39:11, 40:14, 43:19, 44:5, 45:11, 45:13, 46:16, 46:18, 55:11, 63:21, 64:10, 66:8, 66:9, 66:11, 69:8, 70:16, 72:16, 72:18, 73:14, 82:17, 83:24, 89:3, 92:15, 94:8, 99:18, 103:11, 107:8, 110:2, 110:3, 111:6, 114:4, 117:18, 118:24, 121:1, 122:22. 126:19, 129:4, 130:21, 130:22, 132:9, 136:8, 136:11, 136:22, 137:24, 142:1, 142:13, 142:17, 143:21, 144:8, 145:5, 147:1, 151:19, 153:9, 155:3, 157:4, 157:5, 157:14, 157:15, 158:23, 158:24, 161:7, 162:5, 162:7, 163:1, 165:18, 166:21, 168:3, 171:9, 179:2, 182:11, 184:16, 185:24, 187:7, 193:12, 194:9, 196:24, 196:25,

197:15, 197:24, 198:2, 198:21, 198:25, 199:1, 199:25, 200:19, 202:18, 203:20, 205:12, 208:7, 211:18, 213:11 one-way [1] - 111:6 ones [5] - 24:4, 45:12, 45:13, 64:10, 161:7 ongoing [11] - 34:25, 66:25, 87:19, 87:25, 88:5, 88:21, 89:16, 127:5, 129:13, 129:14 onshore [3] - 145:13, 145:14, 150:10 open [7] - 20:25, 30:3, 31:21, 41:24, 46:13, 112:13, 215:11 Open [1] - 146:10 opened [2] - 47:11, 89:15 opening [5] - 23:24, 24:11, 27:25, 84:23, 89:13 opens [4] - 30:7, 30:10, 31:13, 45:5 operate [6] - 79:12, 116:20, 122:11, 133:10, 133:14, 133:18 operated [7] - 79:13, 105:2, 107:1, 139:16, 148:19, 159:15, 167:14 operating [6] - 78:5, 94:12, 121:18, 123:14, 159:22, 164:10 operation [43] - 34:14, 89:16, 89:19, 89:20, 92:8, 92:13, 92:22, 95:23, 97:17, 98:21, 99:5, 99:7, 101:21, 101:25, 102:6, 104:16, 104:19, 124:22, 126:2, 126:3, 127:5, 128:6, 128:11, 128:17, 130:9, 139:9, 139:11, 143:14, 144:17, 155:19, 159:16, 166:10, 181:2, 184:14. 184:25, 198:11, 199:6, 199:9, 199:18, 200:3, 208:20, 208:21, 208:23

operations [16] - 77:7, 88:1, 89:7, 91:24, 95:18, 95:24, 110:25, 119:17, 123:13, 126:12, 126:14, 129:5, 130:7. 133:4. 146:16. 200:8 operator [3] - 133:9, 135:11 opinion [35] - 7:24, 14:18, 26:3, 88:24, 89:5, 89:8, 99:2, 99:5, 105:13, 105:15, 106:4, 118:2, 128:9, 128:19, 130:4, 130:15, 133:24, 135:16, 136:22, 176:20, 176:22, 184:17, 189:11, 189:14, 190:23, 191:4, 191:19, 192:7, 197:21, 200:11, 206:16, 206:21, 206:25, 207:14, 208:22 opinions [10] - 29:24, 88:20, 104:22, 130:1, 183:9, 190:3, 194:9, 200:16, 206:14, 206:15 opportunity [1] -48:11 opposed [5] - 29:16, 31:9, 41:4, 43:3, 135:7 optional [13] - 35:20, 37:2, 38:18, 38:21, 40:25, 41:6, 46:11, 46:23, 47:6, 47:23, 51:1, 51:8, 67:5 order [9] - 29:24, 116:2, 116:21, 123:17, 136:12, 144:11, 150:9, 156:11, 168:2 organic [4] - 119:18, 119:22, 119:23, 125:17 organization [2] -78:12, 92:20 organizations [1] -158:1 orient [1] - 148:17 oriented [1] - 107:22 original [2] - 133:21,

188:25

originally [3] - 24:11,

109:3, 110:17

otherwise [1] - 97:21 ought [3] - 16:24, 17:6, 61:21 ourselves [1] - 130:6 outcome [1] - 73:25 outer [2] - 127:18, 132:4 outermost [1] - 184:9 outlined [1] - 148:7 outside [12] - 5:4, 17:3, 19:6, 23:15, 99:10, 177:18, 179:1, 195:8, 204:1, 207:25, 210:15, 210:24 overall [1] - 25:1 overburden [2] -149:19, 150:17 overburdened [3] -143:16, 149:25, 150:2 overflow [2] - 109:6, 111:11 overflowing [1] -118:16 overrule [3] - 12:22, 14:5, 40:15 overruled [4] - 23:9, 23:10, 40:4, 62:14 overruling [1] - 69:23 overwhelmingly [3] -177:4, 206:19, 206:23 **OWENS** [1] - 2:14 own [9] - 41:24, 58:21, 75:17, 78:11, 88:8, 88:13, 88:21, 121:7, 137:2 owned [7] - 87:24, 134:21, 148:6, 154:5, 184:19, 186:1, 210:3 owner [7] - 13:4, 20:19, 20:22, 21:2, 21:8, 134:10, 147:13 owners [6] - 21:4, 157:11, 157:12, 157:13, 157:24, 163:15 ownership [11] - 6:8, 7:11, 9:19, 16:12, 17:21, 17:25, 18:3, 19:21, 19:23, 20:8 owns [1] - 187:15 oyster [7] - 140:22, 140:23, 141:9, 141:16, 144:16,

159:16

Б	121:24, 123:2,	115:24, 116:6,	129:16, 177:14,	153:14, 155:5,
Р	125:3, 125:25,	116:16, 117:1,	209:13	156:15, 156:22,
P.O [1] - 2:19	147:17, 149:7,	119:17, 124:2,	passage [1] - 79:22	158:2, 158:12,
Page [42] - 10:25,	149:13, 152:20,	124:4, 124:25,	passed [3] - 22:2,	158:13, 158:15,
11:11, 11:14, 12:6,	156:4, 156:5,	127:17, 127:18,	79:21, 136:17	158:16, 159:23,
12:7, 14:17, 22:21,	161:13, 164:15,	138:9, 138:10,	passion [1] - 93:17	160:18, 160:23,
22:23, 23:9, 23:11,	164:16, 165:4,	138:11, 138:15,	past [7] - 26:17, 40:22,	161:14, 161:17,
32:15, 32:18, 33:8,	170:23, 172:1,	150:13, 156:18,	67:12, 82:14, 82:22,	161:18, 161:19,
35:23, 37:6, 37:20,	172:14, 172:16,	161:8, 162:12,	87:4, 172:25	163:23, 164:2,
40:7, 40:22, 43:3,	173:22, 185:23,	163:2, 169:19,	Patel [3] - 13:8, 14:6,	164:6, 165:5,
46:20, 47:24, 48:17,	190:16	170:6, 170:7,	14:15	170:18, 170:20,
48:23, 49:18, 51:4,	Paragraph [1] -	176:24, 184:9,	PAULA [1] - 4:8	170:23, 174:19,
54:22, 56:11, 56:22,	212:10	186:2, 197:1	pay [2] - 59:22, 183:2	175:13, 175:17,
57:9, 61:11, 61:17,	paragraphs [1] - 104:1	partially [2] - 189:8,	penalties [7] - 17:21,	175:25, 176:5,
65:20, 66:19, 120:8,	parameter [1] - 122:18	207:9	23:20, 24:9, 24:18,	176:10, 176:13,
148:2, 149:5, 156:3,	Pardue [13] - 59:18,	participants [1] -	29:2, 32:6, 136:1	178:15, 178:17,
156:24, 158:18,	64:19, 86:4, 86:7,	196:9	penalty [5] - 17:4,	178:20, 181:3,
163:9, 203:16	116:14, 116:23,	participate [2] - 44:1,	18:7, 19:6, 73:19,	181:21, 182:1, 210:2
page [32] - 12:22,	125:6, 185:5,	50:1	139:21	permits [19] - 34:18,
14:7, 14:9, 22:13,	186:21, 193:5,	participated [1] -	pending [1] - 174:23	35:7, 35:13, 36:15,
22:21, 32:12, 33:4,	193:6, 193:9, 198:5	70:25	penetrate [1] - 122:1	36:23, 36:25, 37:8,
34:5, 46:19, 48:2,	Pardue's [3] - 86:14,	participates [1] -	penetrated [1] - 170:5	38:5, 38:9, 59:8,
48:14, 51:15, 58:4,	116:16, 117:2	49:24	people [3] - 27:1,	133:19, 171:10,
58:14, 59:5, 67:5,	parent [1] - 79:2	participating [6] -	31:12, 56:9	180:6, 181:8,
81:21, 81:22,	parentheticals [1] -	46:25, 82:8, 139:10,	per [5] - 5:23, 9:3, 9:4,	182:17, 209:6,
125:23, 126:8,	165:8	161:10, 175:24,	9:7, 31:1	209:8, 209:11, 209:23
131:20, 153:18,	parker [7] - 141:21,	195:22	percent [5] - 110:18,	permitted [6] - 154:12,
159:1, 162:8,	159:11, 159:14,	participation [2] -	110:22, 110:23,	168:14, 178:8,
162:14, 174:4, 174:5, 174:17,	159:16, 161:2, 165:1	50:10, 139:22	110:25, 123:9	178:12, 179:10,
174.3, 174.17,	Parker [1] - 159:17	particle [1] - 143:8 particular [22] - 19:14,	percentages [1] -	182:9
211:4	Parks [11] - 68:5,	21:23, 22:1, 45:1,	111:10	permitting [7] - 33:25,
pages [3] - 19:13,	70:21, 171:9, 171:22, 173:14,	45:6, 59:16, 77:6,	performed [3] - 82:6,	36:7, 65:8, 93:14,
34:8, 71:4	174:6, 174:18,	77:9, 92:8, 118:24,	160:23, 163:8	104:25, 146:16,
paid [10] - 25:3, 25:21,	176:11, 205:13,	134:5, 145:19,	perhaps [1] - 201:11	165:7
26:2, 29:16, 29:18,	205:18, 206:5	162:12, 163:1,	period [20] - 17:4, 19:1, 34:13, 44:19,	personally [1] - 81:10
29:23, 82:7, 147:10,	parks [5] - 57:14,	170:25, 183:18,	66:16, 79:13, 84:12,	personnel [1] - 164:16
200:24	62:9, 66:20, 68:17,	184:21, 185:19,	90:14, 91:3, 118:14,	persons [2] - 156:8,
PAPER [2] - 1:9, 3:14	173:24	186:23, 187:18,	118:15, 123:22,	157:5
Paper [13] - 8:22,	parlance [1] - 118:23	202:20, 203:16	126:11, 139:17,	pertinent [1] - 22:5
71:22, 71:25, 72:12,	parol [2] - 213:20,	particularly [2] -	139:21, 141:3,	Peterson [8] - 96:16,
72:20, 85:23, 86:12,	213:21	44:14, 104:10	168:14, 199:5,	99:18, 131:4,
86:18, 87:20, 88:20,	part [77] - 7:9, 15:2,	parties [18] - 5:12,	199:20, 204:8	131:16, 131:24,
89:6, 96:11, 107:21	23:22, 24:14, 25:2,	8:20, 9:15, 10:3,	periodically [1] -	133:7, 133:22, 134:3
paper [16] - 84:25,	32:11, 34:9, 34:12,	10:7, 37:21, 70:16,	111:14	petrochemical [1] -
110:9, 115:5,	34:14, 37:11, 38:24,	70:18, 72:13, 81:23,	permeability [5] -	76:24
119:24, 182:4,	39:5, 40:22, 44:8,	88:17, 137:6, 137:9,	122:4, 122:7,	PHA [1] - 31:22
190:1, 190:22,	46:5, 47:4, 47:17,	156:10, 163:20,	122:21, 123:25,	pharmaceutical [1] -
194:11, 195:24,	48:1, 48:13, 49:25,	212:12, 213:25,	124:1	80:4
196:2, 196:11,	50:3, 50:12, 50:15,	215:9	permissible [2] - 56:7,	Phase [4] - 162:23,
196:12, 197:6,	50:22, 52:2, 52:19,	parts [3] - 51:21,	62:12	162:24, 204:3
197:10, 206:17,	52:23, 60:24, 61:4,	143:24, 203:13	permission [3] -	phase [2] - 163:3,
207:2 Paper's [5] - 5:9, 5:24,	62:20, 63:9, 70:23,	party [14] - 1:7, 7:24,	34:20, 36:15, 151:14	163:4
6:2, 6:10, 196:18	71:17, 81:4, 106:22,	8:3, 28:22, 72:25,	permit [54] - 6:5, 36:6,	phases [2] - 130:21,
Papers [1] - 212:23	107:15, 107:19, 108:7, 108:8, 109:7,	73:4, 73:14, 73:15, 73:17, 73:20, 74:2,	37:22, 58:1, 62:9,	162:23
paragraph [28] - 57:3,	108:7, 108:8, 109:7, 109:9, 109:11,	73.17, 73.20, 74.2, 74:6, 82:9, 92:11	71:9, 93:12, 95:6,	phone [1] - 96:5
58:16, 101:3,	112:14, 113:13,	party's [1] - 69:2	95:7, 133:10,	PHONE [7] - 2:6, 2:13, 2:20, 3:7, 3:16, 3:22,
101:14, 120:13,	114:9, 115:11,	Pasadena [1] - 106:11	133:12, 133:13,	2.20, 3.7, 3.16, 3.22, 4:7
121:11, 121:23,	115:16, 115:22,	pass [4] - 80:9,	133:18, 151:17,	4.7 photo [14] - 107:4,
, 121.20,	, 110.22,	μασσ _[4] = 00.0,	151:23, 153:12,	prioto [14] = 107.4,

138:4, 167:20, 167:24, 168:2, 169:2, 171:3, 173:4, 188:3, 188:21, 201:23, 202:17, 202:20 photograph [9] -105:20, 106:5. 107:3, 115:20, 169:22, 183:13, 183:19, 183:23, 201:20 photographs [7] -170:4, 176:19, 183:14, 188:7, 188:11, 188:12, 189:6 photography [6] -167:8, 167:12, 167:15, 167:18, 173:10, 205:3 phrase [2] - 49:1, 186:22 phrased [2] - 9:21, 179:9 phrasing [1] - 41:15 physical [7] - 120:25, 121:1, 125:16, 190:21, 190:23, 191:7, 204:24 phytotoxic [3] -122:22, 179:15 Phytotoxicity [1] -122:23 pick [3] - 53:24, 64:15, 64:21 picks [1] - 53:8 picture [3] - 146:12, 183:21, 203:5 pictures [1] - 142:6 pieces [2] - 10:1, 191:4 piled [1] - 176:25 piles [1] - 170:1 pilot [2] - 100:3, 160:1 pipe [13] - 109:5, 112:21, 114:1, 114:17, 114:22, 115:9, 116:2, 127:14, 144:13, 166:3, 166:11, 166:23 piped [1] - 112:22 Pit [4] - 194:1, 202:10, 203:10 pit [21] - 117:8, 172:20, 172:21, 175:6. 180:22. 184:21, 184:22, 185:1, 185:6,

186:13, 186:18, 188:7, 188:8, 189:9, 189:12, 194:7, 195:2 pitch [1] - 37:17 pits [29] - 60:4, 69:10. 52.2 86:10, 86:19, 90:9, 117:25, 124:18, 83:21 124:23, 174:1, 176:23, 183:15, 118:2 183:21, 186:11, 186:16, 186:19, 187:3, 187:7, 187:9, 187:10, 189:7, 191:18, 204:6, 204:19, 204:20, 205:6, 206:4, 206:9, 207:2, 207:8 place [18] - 42:25, 54:3, 89:20, 106:17, 136:13, 144:11, 144:12, 145:24, 154:10, 166:22, 168:16, 186:17, 192:15, 194:10, 195:19, 199:18, 203:21, 206:20 placed [3] - 90:9, 114:22, 191:17 placement [1] - 132:3 places [3] - 39:17, 44:4, 211:4 placing [1] - 89:22 Plaintiff [1] - 1:4 plaintiff [2] - 29:25, 135:25 **PLAINTIFF** [2] - 2:3, 2:17 plaintiffs [2] - 83:22, 132:11 plaintiffs' [2] - 42:12, 85:17 Plaintiffs' [1] - 67:21 plan [1] - 101:2 planning [1] - 99:20 plant [26] - 76:24, 25:18 77:2. 77:6. 77:9. 77:14, 77:18, 77:21, 78:1, 90:23, 91:8, 94:7 98:4, 109:2, 110:7, 110:8, 111:17, 112:7, 122:23, 123:16, 124:16, 141:23, 141:24, 178:11, 181:22, 181:24, 182:3 planting [1] - 161:11 plants [5] - 90:22, 178:10, 179:4,

179:17, 179:21

play [1] - 47:8

played [3] - 41:21, 168:6, 168:7 60:10, 130:7 ponder [1] - 182:22 plays [1] - 25:1 ponds [5] - 108:15, pleading [2] - 49:1, 113:21, 120:4, 123:5, 126:17 pleadings [2] - 83:16, pontoons [1] - 145:12 port [2] - 58:12, 58:15 plenty [2] - 117:22, Port [4] - 57:24, 58:5, 63:11, 66:21 **plug** [3] - 112:13, portion [16] - 10:7, 114:21, 114:23 22:18, 23:18, 34:3, **POGGE** [1] - 3:10 40:18, 41:11, 54:2, point [61] - 9:2, 16:3, 57:8, 113:12, 16:10, 17:2, 18:14, 114:17, 168:19, 168:20, 172:20, 19:4, 19:19, 21:24, 178:22, 184:12, 23:21, 24:5, 25:17, 185:7 25:18, 26:17, 28:3, 30:23, 30:25, 32:11, portions [9] - 20:1, 33:7, 34:8, 36:13, 23:22, 24:16, 46:21, 37:2, 45:1, 50:25, 58:11, 186:12, 53:21, 54:6, 54:23, 188:15, 193:13, 56:3, 60:21, 63:10, 215:7 63:13, 63:16, 66:24, position [17] - 6:18, 77:11, 77:24, 78:25, 8:3. 19:20. 20:11. 83:23, 91:20, 103:8, 22:11, 31:4, 46:24, 105:7. 105:9. 64:13, 93:17, 144:7, 112:18, 112:25, 144:12, 166:9, 183:10, 186:21, 113:2, 113:3, 113:4, 187:18, 206:18, 113:21, 114:14, 114:20, 121:13, 212:15 123:21, 124:8, positioning [1] -129:11, 134:6, 144:24 148:17, 159:2, positions [3] - 11:24, 171:11, 174:22, 130:2, 144:4 186:20, 196:13, possibility [3] -206:8, 210:4 111:22, 128:23, pointed [3] - 19:7, 207:4 125:1, 186:6 possible [3] - 20:20, pointedly [1] - 44:6 20:21, 140:13 pointer [2] - 142:9, post [5] - 85:24, 157:10 88:16, 88:22, 89:7, points [1] - 127:12 137:5 poles [1] - 166:8 post-closure [2] policy [1] - 23:14 88:16. 137:5 political [2] - 25:13, postmasters [1] -163:16 pollution [2] - 92:20, potential [5] - 7:22, 149:12, 174:7, Pollution [5] - 26:2, 207:13, 207:16 29:16, 152:10, potentially [2] - 10:2, 152:11, 152:15 27:25 pond [20] - 97:9, power [2] - 97:20, 101:5, 112:16, 110:14 112:17, 112:22, practically [2] -113:6, 113:23, 101:10, 104:12 113:25, 114:2, practice [5] - 79:24, 114:14, 114:24, 84:15, 91:19, 92:15, 115:10, 123:20, 93:10 126:18, 126:22, practices [1] - 98:8 128:7, 128:24, pre [10] - 13:18, 13:20,

15:20, 23:7, 54:22, 55:19, 59:13, 65:11, 65:13 pre-admit [3] - 13:18, 13:20, 15:20 pre-admitted [7] -23:7, 54:22, 55:19, 59:13, 65:11, 65:13 preapproved [1] -104:24 precisely [3] - 150:20, 204:4, 210:2 predates [1] - 167:20 predecessor [2] -26:21, 26:22 prejudice [4] - 5:11, 6:6, 6:13, 9:14 prepared [3] - 69:10, 71:5, 127:19 presence [8] - 5:4, 80:20, 174:7, 177:19, 179:1, 195:8, 205:6, 210:24 Present [3] - 70:10, 74:15, 182:25 present [6] - 13:19, 28:21, 70:17, 71:18, 127:24, 177:22 presented [7] - 7:15, 13:14, 17:16, 20:10, 28:13, 32:3, 74:11 preserved [3] - 211:7, 211:13, 211:15 president [2] - 80:25, 161:4 Presiding [1] - 1:19 pressed [1] - 73:18 pressure [3] - 108:18, 108:19, 110:12 pretty [8] - 13:20, 83:20, 83:21, 106:17, 138:23, 143:22, 169:14, 180:4 prevail [1] - 73:5 prevailing [6] - 72:25, 73:15, 73:17, 73:20, 74:2, 74:6 prevent [1] - 115:17 previous [7] - 13:24, 65:7. 69:18. 133:8. 158:15, 212:12, 213:4 previously [3] - 7:16, 17:17, 101:4 primarily [5] - 93:2, 140:15, 179:20, 206:19, 206:23 primary [7] - 75:9, 80:18, 90:24, 91:5,

194:2, 194:4, 194:6 privilege [4] - 14:23, 15:22, 15:25, 18:8 privileged [1] - 15:1 problem [13] - 12:1, 20:24, 22:17, 34:15, 34:25, 36:20, 44:18, 45:6, 54:18, 91:3, 171:12, 182:6, 200:2 problems [2] - 81:3, 94:10 procedurally [1] -54:24 proceed [4] - 74:13, 137:18, 183:2, 195:11 proceeding [1] - 18:11 proceedings [10] -1:19, 99:12, 127:25, 195:9. 208:2. 210:17, 210:23, 212:3, 215:8, 215:14 Proceedings [1] -1:21 process [29] - 22:7, 23:15, 47:17, 50:13, 77:7, 81:25, 82:1, 82:9, 100:4, 100:12, 108:10, 109:20, 109:23, 109:25, 110:6, 112:24, 115:8, 119:18, 124:25, 126:6, 144:25, 146:8, 156:6, 156:18, 156:23, 197:13, 197:15, 197:19, 2:18 199:13 processing [2] -71:1 119:17, 145:16 produced [6] - 1:21, 14:25, 15:21, 16:4, 18:9, 170:1 production [2] - 16:3, 200:8 products [1] - 29:22 professional [1] - 75:8 proffering [1] - 40:11 Program [1] - 155:24 program [8] - 49:25, 51:18, 51:24, 76:20, 79:4, 81:8, 94:24, project [14] - 83:22, 108:4, 116:2, 139:6, 146:6, 158:4, 161:8, 161:9, 162:8, 162:10, 162:12, 163:2, 169:16, 184:10 136:23, 185:11,

projects [4] - 139:2, 141:24, 151:7, 155:8 proof [6] - 22:21, 67:18, 69:24, 69:25, 70:4. 215:16 proper [3] - 21:4, 54:3, 111:24 properly [1] - 15:18 properties [3] - 112:9, 120:25, 121:2 property [28] - 17:22, 19:24, 20:19, 21:5, 101:23, 102:8, 103:5, 113:12, 135:3, 135:9, 148:6, 148:22, 151:22, 153:24, 154:5, 157:11, 157:12, 157:13, 157:24, 163:15, 166:24, 169:24, 186:6, 187:1, 199:11, 199:16, 210:3 property's [1] - 172:24 proposal [4] - 98:11, 146:21, 152:21, 156:15 proposed [11] - 57:20, 58:6, 59:9, 95:16, 95:17, 98:12, 155:5, 155:10, 155:12, 156:11, 158:8 proposing [4] - 37:21, 50:6, 50:16, 154:25 protect [1] - 53:4 PROTECTION [1] -Protection [2] - 69:11, provide [5] - 10:12, 21:8, 81:14, 129:12, 199:19 provided [1] - 106:9 provides [6] - 39:21, 73:15, 73:16, 107:9, 122:19, 122:25 provisions [1] -156:20 prudent [1] - 93:19 public [13] - 13:3, 13:5, 13:14, 48:21, 53:4, 57:16, 78:21, 154:20, 155:1, 155:4, 155:8, 157:16, 157:22 Public [2] - 27:8, 27:12 pull [9] - 112:20, 131:8. 134:13.

198:20, 201:18, 205:10, 205:21 pulleys [1] - 145:1 **pulp**[1] - 119:17 pulping [1] - 110:6 pump [5] - 97:20, 97:21, 143:9, 144:3, 145:11 pumped [2] - 115:4, 129:2 pumping [3] - 111:8, 115:8, 142:22 purchased [1] -147:14 purpose [9] - 5:20, 12:7, 15:8, 26:18, 27:14, 27:17, 27:22, 101:6, 149:11 purposes [11] - 5:22, 18:7, 20:23, 31:16, 70:4, 104:6, 140:16, 141:18, 142:8, 150:3, 211:19 put [52] - 10:2, 11:19, 13:16, 15:11, 16:22, 16:24, 17:5, 17:6, 18:13, 21:6, 23:25, 24:10, 26:8, 27:3, 27:4, 28:7, 29:13, 30:15, 35:21, 35:22, 41:13, 41:16, 42:7, 42:9, 44:2, 84:24, 90:13, 91:25, 109:18, 112:23, 113:15, 113:17, 114:9, 116:9, 116:10, 116:15, 127:10, 128:21, 142:4, 143:9, 151:12, 153:1, 168:12, 172:25, 183:11, 186:15, 186:17, 191:1, 191:20, 192:22, 204:19, 205:17 puts [1] - 143:7 putting [7] - 33:23, 34:23, 68:1, 84:25, 85:10, 206:5, 211:20

Q

qualifies [1] - 9:10 qualitative [3] - 190:6, 190:8, 191:11 qualitatively [1] -190:13 Quality [1] - 136:7 quality [6] - 68:13, 68:24, 70:24,

120:11, 152:17, 173:21 **QUALITY** [1] - 1:6 quantification [1] -52:20 quantify [1] - 53:14 quantities [1] - 10:9 Quebedeaux [42] -39:10, 39:12, 39:17, 39:20, 40:3, 84:16, 93:7, 93:20, 93:23, 94:1, 94:8, 94:13, 94:19, 95:16, 96:5, 96:22, 96:25, 97:8, 97:12, 97:22, 97:24, 98:9, 98:10, 98:20, 100:5, 100:6, 100:22, 101:1, 101:15, 101:25, 102:17, 103:19, 106:9, 107:5, 115:18, 132:20, 198:11, 200:5, 208:6, 208:8, 208:14, 209:3 Quebedeaux's [10] -93:16, 95:2, 95:15, 98:5, 101:12, 102:12, 104:14, 197:23, 198:23, 200:1 QUESTION [8] -47:19, 57:19, 58:16, 88:3, 88:5, 88:8, 88:11, 88:15 questions [28] - 5:14, 19:17, 22:15, 24:12, 25:2, 31:5, 31:6, 36:23, 37:25, 38:20, 39:12, 45:25, 46:3, 61:2, 64:9, 66:11, 85:22, 128:5, 133:16, 137:15, 180:13, 180:21, 188:1, 207:19, 208:5, 209:5, 209:15, 209:20 QUESTIONS [5] -74:25, 129:18, 137:21, 208:4, 209:22 quick [1] - 188:19 quickly [3] - 137:24,

R

162:7, 173:13

quite [2] - 108:4,

160:23

rain [2] - 109:8, 122:1

rained [1] - 109:9 rainfall [5] - 107:19, 117:8, 117:13, 117:17, 123:1 raise [3] - 9:25, 20:1, 74.20 raised [3] - 15:7, 18:8, 34:12 raises [1] - 144:4 raising [2] - 17:16, 31:15 ran [1] - 91:9 range [6] - 68:6, 68:14, 68:22, 68:25, 69:6, 69:11 ranged [1] - 150:4 ranges [2] - 149:15, 149:20 rapidly [7] - 120:13, 121:2, 121:4, 122:14, 122:25, 123:21, 124:9 rather [8] - 23:1, 68:2, 79:23, 122:9, 162:11, 172:18, 180:17, 213:8 re [2] - 5:18, 152:4 re-reviewed [1] - 5:18 reached [1] - 195:1 react [1] - 173:6 reacting [1] - 30:23 read [16] - 50:9, 69:7, 69:21, 70:20, 83:20, 86:21, 98:19, 103:4, 132:1, 157:16, 157:18, 171:25, 196:17, 198:22, 199:1, 199:6 reading [11] - 38:15, 52:9, 56:19, 56:20, 56:21, 56:24, 57:8, 59:1, 59:11, 94:22, 186:10 readings [1] - 190:3 reads [1] - 50:22 ready [1] - 74:12 real [4] - 59:24, 154:13, 186:1. 187:15 realize [1] - 148:16 realized [1] - 172:19 really [30] - 11:25, 12:14, 14:19, 24:23, 25:24, 30:24, 36:2, 41:3, 42:7, 51:17, 52:17, 78:13, 79:10, 90:6, 93:4, 114:7, 117:3, 131:17,

142:16, 154:12,

158:4, 168:4,

report [7] - 69:9, 71:3,

171:11, 179:25, 44:10, 44:11, 44:21 209:9 97:24 refers [3] - 23:6, release [2] - 111:22, 184:20, 192:1, **RECORD** [2] - 1:1, 193:13, 202:11, 57:16, 96:12 209:2 204:2 record [36] - 5:5, 5:22, reflect [2] - 131:23, released [3] - 128:25, reason [9] - 11:13, 8:7, 8:11, 8:12, 8:13, 146:19 176:23. 181:1 28:2, 28:17, 42:5, 8:14, 10:16, 10:17, reflected [1] - 192:13 releases [12] - 24:24, 42:17, 42:19, 93:13, 13:5, 13:12, 13:14, reflecting [2] - 188:14, 25:1, 25:5, 25:10, 181:23, 186:16 31:16, 55:25, 56:2, 188:15 26:5, 27:19, 176:21, reasonable [5] - 56:9, 56:4, 63:20, 65:16, reflects [4] - 88:24, 200:12, 206:16, 56:10, 59:15, 72:24, 207:1, 207:6, 208:16 65:17, 65:18, 67:8, 113:22, 165:3, 67:9, 69:8, 69:22, 74:2 releasing [1] - 178:20 215:14 **REASONER** [36] - 3:8, 70:5, 70:8, 133:24, relevance [3] - 23:13, refresh [2] - 96:14, 182:24, 193:10, 11:2, 72:3, 72:9, 131:14 63:3, 182:5 210:25, 211:19, 73:9, 137:18, regard [27] - 5:24, 6:2, relevant [13] - 15:25, 211:20, 212:1, 137:21, 138:3, 6:7, 6:25, 7:8, 7:14, 18:5, 20:9, 21:25, 212:3, 212:4, 214:9 142:4, 143:17, 24:21, 24:22, 39:23, 7:17, 8:19, 9:23, Record [3] - 1:21, 144:18, 145:8, 63:13, 63:14, 64:14, 10:6, 22:15, 24:15, 146:24, 147:8, 215:10, 215:14 182:2, 182:20, 192:1 36:10, 48:12, 70:13, 148:2, 150:24, recordation [2] - 96:4, 72:16, 121:22, relief [2] - 74:4, 74:5 151:25, 153:17, 97:7 130:15, 131:15, rely [1] - 190:9 153:21, 157:7, Records [1] - 146:10 133:16, 133:17, relying [1] - 136:1 160:13, 162:14, records [12] - 13:3, 133:24, 160:20, remain [1] - 191:20 165:22, 166:15, 13:17, 14:4, 43:20, 179:6, 179:23, remainder [1] - 41:8 167:22, 169:3, 44:10, 44:19, 44:22, 211:22, 211:25 remarks [1] - 63:8 171:15, 177:12, 117:15, 118:18, regarding [8] - 56:25, remediation [1] - 82:6 181:5, 181:11, 130:10 57:25, 65:5, 70:22, remember [15] -182:2, 205:14, recover [4] - 73:5, 88:22, 89:7, 90:6, 24:17, 32:12, 42:23, 205:21, 209:17, 73:7, 145:16, 155:18 180:5 183:7, 185:19, 211:1, 211:13 recovering [1] regardless [1] - 111:2 188:16, 188:18, Reasoner [4] - 11:5, 199:14 registered [1] - 75:7 189:5, 190:17, 137:17, 138:8, recovery [2] - 73:16, regulating [2] - 36:11, 190:18, 191:21, 177:15 149:13 36:12 192:5, 194:21, reasons [10] - 19:16, redact [1] - 20:1 regulation [1] - 120:21 198:7, 210:21 22:14, 25:13, 25:18, redacted [5] - 17:15, regulations [24] remind [3] - 101:16, 69:17, 71:23, 72:6, 41:12, 211:4, 211:8, 78:7, 78:20, 79:19, 101:20, 136:5 72:10, 140:12, 211:9 79:20, 79:22, 79:23, removal [4] - 82:5, 140:13 redactions [3] - 17:19, 80:6, 80:8, 80:11, 89:21, 92:8, 98:1 rebut [1] - 44:2 211:10, 211:14 80:12, 81:16, 84:11, remove [7] - 92:11, rebutting [1] - 48:23 redeveloping [1] -89:12, 89:18, 90:6, 108:17, 124:8, receive [7] - 83:8, 161:12 90:8, 92:6, 105:7, 145:2, 150:8, 83:17, 84:2, 106:15, **REDIRECT** [1] - 208:3 105:8, 105:16, 150:16, 152:25 153:3, 155:5, 163:13 redwell [1] - 69:13 179:23, 179:25, removed [11] - 45:12, received [7] - 70:21, refer [3] - 17:7, 153:8, 180:5, 182:16 108:16, 114:23, 83:23, 156:13, regulators [1] - 175:25 166:6 120:12, 123:4, 156:25, 157:22, regulatory [1] - 129:12 reference [6] - 60:1, 123:8, 142:20, 157:24, 163:17 151:15, 151:16, reincarnation [1] -160:2, 160:4, 170:6, receiving [2] - 157:3 152:15 151:17, 174:19, 170:7 recent [3] - 172:2, 174:20 relate [2] - 38:22, removing [1] - 140:6 172:3, 172:19 referenced [4] - 16:22, 130:2 render [1] - 104:12 recently [1] - 173:25 42:4, 65:23, 137:9 related [2] - 41:8, renew [1] - 161:18 recess [1] - 210:19 references [1] - 59:9 52:22 reoffer [1] - 43:2 recognize [1] - 100:19 referencing [4] - 40:7, relates [2] - 18:17, reorient [1] - 130:6 recognizing [1] -22:18 61:25, 69:4, 173:17 rep[1] - 64:20 93:16 referred [4] - 17:8, relating [2] - 17:20, repeat [1] - 6:14 recollection [1] -140:10, 201:17, 101:25 repeated [2] - 26:16, 131:14 relation [2] - 162:17, 213:12 26:20 recommendation [1] -162:18 referring [9] - 17:9, repeatedly [1] - 39:17 17:10, 97:23, 103:7, relationship [2] repetitive [1] - 38:24 reconsider [1] - 42:25 107:12, 134:20, 80:10, 80:22 rephrase [1] - 99:1 reconstruct [3] -138:16, 179:24, relayed [2] - 96:22, replaces [1] - 212:12

117:4, 119:7, 119:9, 127:9, 208:10 report' [1] - 71:5 reported [10] - 1:21, 39:11, 41:8, 121:12, 121:14, 121:25, 122:13, 123:8, 164:17, 215:12 reporter [4] - 99:11, 195:8, 208:1, 210:16 REPORTER [1] -196:5 Reporter [2] - 215:3, 215:22 Reporter's [3] - 1:21, 215:9, 215:13 REPORTER'S [2] -1:1, 1:13 reporting [1] - 120:14 reports [2] - 83:3, 164:4 represent [3] - 127:1, 129:21, 138:12 representative [5] -32:3, 32:4, 77:22, 86:8, 196:18 representatives [1] -164:11 represented [1] -87:12 representing [2] -82:15 represents [1] - 167:1 reps [2] - 30:9, 64:14 request [7] - 18:24, 19:10, 19:12, 152:4, 161:15, 170:16, 176:3 requested [3] - 71:7, 170:20, 215:8 requesting [2] -160:17, 175:25 require [5] - 92:22, 93:8, 102:11, 155:6, 206:24 required [15] - 34:18, 34:21, 73:3, 74:5, 80:11, 93:6, 108:21. 111:22, 133:19, 155:7, 178:15, 181:9, 182:17, 209:8, 209:12 requirement [9] -93:11, 95:7, 95:14, 100:15, 104:25, 129:11, 129:15, 152:24, 155:4 requirements [5] -

88:16, 93:14,

133:12, 135:21, returned [3] - 79:10, Roberts [9] - 151:16, 211:5 screening [1] - 69:8 137:5 116:21, 177:3 151:20, 151:21, sampling [2] - 43:15, scroll [6] - 147:8, requires [2] - 6:20, reurge [1] - 43:8 152:21, 154:3, 70:23 147:16, 157:2, 178:23 155:11, 167:4, Samuel [1] - 68:13 157:7, 165:17, revealed [1] - 166:2 research [3] - 75:17, reverse [1] - 136:12 170:20, 175:12 **San** [24] - 69:9, 97:10, 188:22 scrolling [1] - 153:3 77:6. 176:7 review [24] - 16:9, roberts [6] - 148:21. 104:3, 145:24, 152:4, 159:20, 148:13, 154:6, resembled [1] - 125:4 69:25, 99:4, 99:24, scrutinize [1] - 9:16 160:9, 170:11, 164:18, 165:17, reserve [1] - 9:25 106:5, 118:5, se [1] - 31:1 170:17 166:2, 174:2, 174:9, residents [1] - 164:7 119:12, 128:8, search [2] - 53:3, Roberts' [5] - 142:7, 177:4, 186:1, 189:7, resolved [2] - 83:4, 129:7, 130:9, 146:11 170:23, 174:19. 189:10, 189:13, 132:12, 133:23, searching [1] - 52:3 176:6, 176:9 191:2, 191:18, resource [1] - 161:25 138:23, 146:7, seated [7] - 70:11, resources [5] - 152:3, 146:18, 153:10, **ROBIN** [1] - 3:8 194:11, 195:1, 71:19, 74:16, 128:1, 195:3, 203:6, 152:8, 152:23, 154:7, 156:23, rOCK [1] - 2:14 177:25, 183:1, 203:11, 206:10 157:25, 163:21, Roderick [5] - 96:6, 161:25, 211:6 195:10 170:3, 171:20, 96:9, 102:23, 102:24 **Sand** [4] - 164:17, respect [17] - 5:9, second [22] - 12:18, 176:15, 176:18 164:20, 172:23, rods [1] - 166:8 5:16, 17:19, 18:9, 12:21, 25:8, 56:1, reviewed [13] - 5:18, 204:8 role [6] - 80:14, 81:4, 19:14, 35:11, 44:5, 58:4, 59:5, 72:20, 19:17, 20:17, 84:14, 82:23, 93:4, 130:6, sand [35] - 141:1, 105:5, 139:14, 95:11, 96:12, 141:9, 141:14, 139:22, 139:25, 89:10, 96:1, 102:19, 133:3 101:14, 120:12, 141:15, 143:1, 133:20, 134:17, 145:19, 174:10, room [6] - 116:8, 121:23, 131:20, 175:4, 175:13, 147:1, 202:17, 116:10, 117:9, 143:13, 143:16, 147:17, 156:4, 202:22, 205:19 147:7, 149:13, 189:21, 204:15 117:10, 117:22, 159:1, 165:4, 149:14, 149:15, respectfully [1] reviews [1] - 171:10 118:2 170:22, 173:22, 149:17, 149:19, revision [1] - 28:19 rose [1] - 112:12 174:25, 178:14, 161:14 149:22, 149:23, respond [2] - 26:7, revisit [2] - 5:14, 28:16 **ROSS** [1] - 3:11 214:6 150:3, 150:7, 150:9, 26:15 Richmond [1] - 94:17 rotoclone [1] - 108:20 secondly [1] - 20:18 150:17, 154:11, responded [1] rid [1] - 118:14 roughly [2] - 153:25, section [10] - 113:19, 155:19, 155:23, 164:11 ride [1] - 141:25 197:4 114:6, 114:18, 158:14, 159:16, respondents [1] ridge [3] - 106:20, rule [10] - 7:2, 28:9, 114:19, 128:22, 160:2, 161:19, 106:23, 106:24 129:3, 130:24, 16:21 37:10, 38:12, 38:15, 162:13, 164:18, 159:4, 163:15, response [3] - 20:7, righty [1] - 10:20 41:4, 43:3, 128:23 168:12, 170:1, 197:11 78:7, 80:12 rise 121 - 109:4, 114:11 ruled [4] - 7:16, 8:18. 172:3, 172:19, responses [1] - 17:4 risk [1] - 118:16 8:23, 23:21 Section [1] - 9:13 176:23 sectors [1] - 113:5 responsibilities [1] -River [24] - 69:9, rules [2] - 65:4, 105:8 sandbar [1] - 174:1 sediment [3] - 47:18, 94:16 97:10, 104:3, ruling [9] - 7:18, 8:4, sandy [1] - 149:18 50:14, 174:8 145:24, 148:14, responsibility [3] -8:10, 8:21, 10:15, satisfactory [1] - 98:1 sediments [2] - 50:11, 154:6, 164:18, 23:15, 31:15, 67:17, 78:6, 85:23, 87:19 saw [11] - 103:22, 70:23 165:17, 166:2, responsible [3] -70:2 129:9, 139:12, see [72] - 34:18, 35:17, 174:2, 174:9, 177:4, 86:10, 86:19, 172:4 rulings [6] - 5:8, 7:23, 139:17, 158:3, 186:2, 189:7, 39:12, 56:22, 57:6, responsiveness [1] -8:16, 65:7, 67:15, 164:9, 176:11, 36:19 189:10, 189:13, 69:18 58:1, 58:12, 87:17, 193:3, 193:9, 198:5 91:10, 94:5, 100:7, rest [5] - 22:17, 31:19, 191:2, 191:18, run [2] - 50:2, 100:3 scar [3] - 148:25, 194:12, 195:2, 100:8, 107:4, running [2] - 81:2, 38:24, 60:5, 127:15 149:2 114:20, 115:3, 195:3, 203:6, restoration [3] -90:3 scene [1] - 164:23 115:21, 117:4, 203:11, 206:11 161:11, 163:1, runoff [2] - 123:1, schematically [1] -118:5, 127:12, 169:16 river [19] - 28:7, 52:22, 187:4 114:7 54:9, 101:11, 129:6, 131:21, restriction [1] - 199:19 runs [3] - 13:25, schematics [2] restrictive [1] - 38:15 101:23, 104:17, 46:21, 99:25 136:25, 139:21, 109:24, 142:19 142:1, 145:4, 145:5, 106:15, 115:4, rests [1] - 71:13 **RYAN** [1] - 2:11 school [7] - 76:7, 141:10, 151:10, 145:10, 151:1, result [7] - 39:25, Ryan [1] - 26:13 76:8, 76:15, 76:18, 151:17, 152:5, 164:8, 169:12, 54:7, 194:9, 195:21, **Ryan's** [2] - 29:8, 76:21, 76:23, 77:1 181:1, 181:13, 154:24, 157:2, 196:9, 206:19, 30:12 **SCHRADER** [2] - 3:19, 157:9, 157:10, 194:13, 194:14, 208:15 198:13, 199:5, 54:12 159:2, 159:24, results [2] - 42:10, S 207:10 **science** [1] - 76:13 162:16, 163:10, 149:8 roads [1] - 140:23 scientific [1] - 26:4 164:19, 165:13, sadly [1] - 26:25 retained [3] - 81:13, scope [1] - 23:16 166:13, 167:8, Robert [3] - 74:19, sale [1] - 164:18 82:14, 86:25 75:5, 102:23 screen [3] - 15:11, 168:6, 168:21, **sample** [1] - 49:15 return [3] - 107:20, ROBERT [1] - 74:22 16:6, 192:22 168:22, 169:13, samples [2] - 147:23, 111:15, 116:12

	169:16, 169:18,	serve [2] - 18:23,	132:19, 153:24,	90
	169:25, 170:14,	186:7	153:25, 163:1,	10
	172:21, 173:15,	serves [1] - 10:13	163:6, 187:12	10
	174:19, 174:20,	service [1] - 91:14	shows [10] - 46:25,	10
	175:11, 184:8,	set [7] - 34:17, 68:2,	58:4, 58:5, 59:4,	10
	187:16, 188:7,	78:10, 108:16,	63:19, 112:19,	10
	188:22, 188:25,	121:25, 123:5, 173:1	113:2, 114:6,	10
	189:6, 193:15,	sets [1] - 34:7	126:16, 165:7	10
	199:8, 202:4, 202:6,	settle [7] - 109:2,	shut [1] - 94:11	10
	202:19, 203:5,	109:3, 114:10,	side [21] - 33:24, 76:6,	10
	204:13, 204:16,	119:21, 120:4,	106:23, 106:24,	10
	205:2, 208:13	121:3, 128:25	113:16, 113:22,	11
	seeing [6] - 46:15,	settled [7] - 108:15,	115:10, 124:24,	11
	94:24, 183:18,	110:7, 110:8,	125:1, 127:10,	11
	185:15, 185:19,	110:11, 112:7,	138:14, 142:13,	11
	188:23	112:8, 114:15	142:14, 144:25,	12
	seek [7] - 23:19,	settling [2] - 108:13,	145:1, 145:2, 145:5,	12
	24:18, 29:2, 32:6,	114:23	194:4, 194:6, 202:25	12
	36:6, 92:19, 92:21	seven [5] - 67:20,	sides [4] - 101:9,	12
	seeking [2] - 24:9,	69:14, 111:6, 173:14	104:11, 145:3,	13
	95:15	several [7] - 23:12,	182:19	13
	seep [1] - 122:5	84:1, 93:23, 94:16,	sign [6] - 21:5, 21:10,	13
	seepage [2] - 101:11,	94:18, 118:14,	21:16, 155:7,	13
	104:12	180:19	204:25, 205:5	13
	sees [1] - 13:3	shaded [1] - 126:25	signed [1] - 98:18	13
	segregate [1] - 207:5	shear [1] - 190:7	significance [4] -	13
	segregated [2] -	sheer [1] - 121:9	99:14, 104:22,	13
	116:11, 116:20	sheet [1] - 165:14	106:4, 154:8	13 14
	selection [2] - 106:6	shell [7] - 140:22,	significant [3] - 129:2,	14
	semesters [2] - 76:21,	140:24, 141:9,	189:17, 211:5	14
	77:1	141:16, 141:17,	signify [1] - 95:12	15
	send [3] - 83:13,	144:16, 159:16	silently [1] - 63:18	15
	83:16, 210:21	Ship [8] - 70:25,	silt [1] - 119:20	16
	sending [1] - 19:25 sends [1] - 174:18	80:18, 90:14, 92:3,	silts [1] - 110:5 similar [4] - 82:23,	16
	senior [2] - 77:8	92:4, 109:16, 111:5, 140:16	87:12, 87:14, 143:4	16
	sense [3] - 43:18,		similarly [4] - 8:23,	16
	74:5, 184:1	shore [2] - 142:24, 144:14	109:8, 127:17, 141:1	16
	sent [8] - 13:15, 16:19,	shoreline [4] - 140:9,	simply [3] - 8:4,	16
	16:23, 16:25, 18:22,	166:4, 166:12,	180:21, 214:3	16
	94:4, 111:23, 146:6	166:17	single [2] - 49:9, 49:20	16
	sentence [11] - 16:16,	short [3] - 103:3,	Sipocz [6] - 171:18,	17
	57:5, 121:12,	121:25, 126:11	171:19, 171:21,	17
J	122:12, 153:6,	show [20] - 20:16,	172:6, 172:12,	17 17
	165:23, 172:1,	24:5, 24:22, 28:3,	172:17	17
	173:23, 174:5,	43:25, 63:4, 65:10,	Sipozc's [1] - 173:6	18
	186:5, 193:15	81:19, 81:22, 85:25,	sit [1] - 193:7	18
	separate [1] - 69:13	87:16, 113:1, 114:5,	site [155] - 20:22, 21:3,	18
	separated [1] - 117:20	142:8, 142:11,	21:9, 21:13, 25:5,	20
	separation [4] - 92:1,	143:24, 148:17,	39:11, 39:12, 40:1,	20
	116:12, 120:4,	156:25, 178:22,	40:2, 48:24, 49:15,	20
	140:10	192:21	49:22, 52:23, 54:6,	20
	September [7] - 69:3,	showed [3] - 60:9,	54:7, 57:20, 57:21,	2
	69:11, 71:4, 130:12,	89:14, 123:10	58:6, 69:9, 71:2,	site
J	131:5, 131:25, 133:5 sequentially [1] -	showing [6] - 12:17,	71:5, 82:4, 82:6, 85:10, 85:11, 85:20,	82
J	187:7	97:2, 112:16, 112:17, 113:18,	85:24, 85:25, 87:20,	19
	series [1] - 187:7	166:16	87:24, 88:1, 88:6,	situ
J	seriousness [1] -	shown [9] - 13:4,	88:13, 88:17, 88:21,	situ
J	59:25	114:25, 117:23,	88:22, 89:7, 89:23,	situ
1	-55		, , , ,	

0:24, 91:9, 100:7, size [3] - 117:25, 143:8, 162:10 00:24, 102:2, 02:16, 103:11, sketch [1] - 162:19 04:4, 104:19, **skip** [1] - 29:5 04:24, 105:2, **skipped** [1] - 45:9 05:10, 105:18, slay [1] - 5:18 05:21, 106:6, slide [15] - 81:20, 06:7, 106:8, 84:5, 84:24, 85:7, 06:12, 106:21, 86:2, 86:22, 88:10, 07:5, 107:13, 89:1, 112:15, 07:18, 108:23, 136:24, 142:5, 11:5, 111:20, 143:18, 144:18, 12:8, 112:18, 145:5, 145:9 16:24, 118:4, slides [3] - 23:25, 18:20, 119:8, 24:11, 86:1 21:15, 121:19, slightly [3] - 113:7, 22:6, 124:16, 113:9 26:6, 126:12, slip [3] - 152:25, 26:14, 129:13, 168:11, 169:11 30:16, 132:23, sloped [1] - 115:3 33:11, 133:14, sludge [65] - 85:1, 33:18, 133:25, 85:16, 90:22, 96:14, 34:4, 134:5, 96:23, 98:11, 107:9, 34:24, 135:12, 107:13, 107:15, 35:14, 135:23, 108:8, 108:11, 37:2, 137:6, 110:9, 110:20, 39:11, 139:15, 110:23, 111:21, 39:20, 139:22, 112:1, 112:6, 43:14, 145:19, 112:11, 114:1, 45:24, 146:10, 114:14, 114:20, 46:17, 148:6, 115:2, 115:15, 53:23, 154:1, 115:24, 116:9, 54:10, 155:17, 116:10, 116:12, 61:11, 162:17, 116:15, 116:20, 62:21, 163:4, 116:25, 117:6, 63:5, 163:6, 163:7, 117:7, 117:21, 65:13, 165:19, 117:22, 118:3, 66:1, 167:4, 119:25, 120:6, 67:25, 168:6, 126:23, 127:13, 68:8, 168:19, 127:17, 128:10, 68:20, 169:11, 132:4, 162:22, 71:8, 172:5, 168:6, 168:7, 72:21, 175:6, 168:22, 169:20, 76:19, 176:21, 178:10, 178:13, 77:1, 178:16, 179:12, 184:23, 78:21, 180:2, 185:1, 190:1, 81:1, 181:13, 190:22, 190:24, 84:19, 187:15, 195:24, 196:2, 88:14, 200:3, 196:11, 197:10, 200:6, 201:25, 197:18, 199:14, 202:2, 205:9, 206:3, 206:17, 207:2, 208:8, 208:15, 209:10 208:17, 209:25, sludge-handling [1] -13:11, 213:13 115:24 es [5] - 81:6, 81:11, sludges [3] - 97:21, 2:20, 187:11, 108:13, 168:24 95:17 slurry [4] - 108:24, tuated [1] - 130:4 111:9, 112:23, 140:8 tuation [1] - 138:25 small [2] - 143:8, tuations [1] - 81:5 160:4

SMITH [1] - 2:21 so... [1] - 38:1 soil [2] - 101:5, 147:22 soils [1] - 169:17 sold [1] - 78:23 solely [1] - 18:17 solicited [1] - 182:7 soliciting [1] - 156:8 solid [13] - 7:17, 7:19, 79:25, 80:6, 80:9, 90:7, 90:21, 93:4, 108:14, 121:3, 123:8, 143:13, 209:9 **Solid** [3] - 136:10, 136:11, 136:13 solidified [2] - 124:9, 127:16 solidify [3] - 120:13, 121:2, 123:20 solids [10] - 92:2, 108:25, 109:3, 110:25, 111:2, 111:9, 114:10, 120:5, 123:15, 125:19 soluble [1] - 119:20 solution [2] - 34:12, 110:13 solve [1] - 94:10 solving [1] - 81:3 someone [4] - 83:24, 89:14, 173:20, 182:15 someplace [1] - 91:11 sometime [2] - 149:1, 170:2 sometimes [1] - 87:13 somewhat [3] - 34:6, 201:7, 201:8 somewhere [4] -55:14, 115:10, 184:8, 190:24 **sophomore** [1] - 77:1 sorry [14] - 14:8, 17:18, 32:1, 57:7, 88:9, 110:21, 110:23, 115:23, 157:9, 159:3, 183:12, 183:13, 185:18, 190:11 sort [7] - 99:19, 99:22, 110:3, 114:21, 121:24, 145:15, 202:25 sorts [1] - 42:15 sought [1] - 81:7 sounds [2] - 124:3, 180:16 source [12] - 49:4,

49:7, 49:9, 49:20,

51:16, 52:10, 53:14, 118:24, 132:23, 177:5, 195:2, 207:6 sources [2] - 120:20, 194:23 south [2] - 76:25, 173:2 South [1] - 75:25 southern [1] - 178:24 **space** [2] - 91:8, 91:9 **Spata** [7] - 134:10, 134:22, 147:12, 148:6, 148:11, 148:23, 155:14 Spata's [1] - 134:22 Spatas [1] - 187:12 speaking [4] - 9:2, 119:3, 196:23, 197:8 special [4] - 105:1, 156:20, 159:4, 159:5 specific [13] - 10:11, 36:23, 41:11, 42:24, 46:15, 48:24, 49:1, 66:17, 97:16, 103:13, 173:1, 180:5, 190:2 specifically [14] - 9:8, 9:22, 14:16, 21:5, 24:19, 33:23, 37:1, 48:22, 66:19, 103:10, 157:5, 179:14, 179:21, 189:20 specifications [1] -119:24 **speculation** [2] - 36:4, 98:25 **spelled** [1] - 75:5 spelling [1] - 19:22 spent [3] - 79:5, 201:1, 201:4 **Spill** [5] - 5:10, 6:23, 10:6, 136:7, 136:16 split [1] - 48:4 spoil [1] - 153:1 spoiled [1] - 57:4 **spoliation** [1] - 44:7 spot [1] - 202:11 spread [1] - 122:14 spreads [1] - 122:25 springing [1] - 12:15 spudded [3] - 144:22, 166:2, 166:22 **spudding** [1] - 166:6 spuds [6] - 144:8, 144:9, 144:22, 166:20, 166:21,

166:23

St [1] - 76:2

stabilize [1] - 168:24

stabilized [3] - 119:1, 127:16, 141:16 stabilizes [1] - 124:6 stand [11] - 15:6, 22:4, 62:12, 105:13, 121:6, 121:9, 122:1, 122:9, 123:22, 182:7, 190:8 standard [2] - 17:25, 91:19 standards [3] - 153:8, 153:9, 153:11 standing [3] - 18:2, 122:20, 127:13 standpoint [2] -129:12, 191:12 stands [1] - 70:2 STANFIELD [104] -3:18, 10:20, 10:23, 11:10, 11:13, 11:18, 12:10, 12:14, 23:24, 24:22, 25:16, 25:24, 26:11, 28:9, 28:19, 28:24, 29:5, 30:24, 31:17, 31:21, 32:14, 32:18, 33:3, 33:7, 33:10, 33:20, 34:7, 35:4, 35:17, 36:2, 36:17, 39:1, 39:4, 39:20, 40:6, 40:10, 40:16, 40:21, 41:10, 41:19, 42:11, 42:14, 42:18, 43:8, 43:12, 44:13, 45:8, 45:17, 45:21, 45:24, 46:7, 46:14, 47:4, 47:13, 47:16, 48:14, 48:17, 48:20, 49:8, 49:17, 50:8, 51:10, 51:20, 51:25, 52:6, 52:18, 53:9, 53:19, 53:25, 54:10, 54:14, 54:23, 55:4, 55:22, 58:24, 59:12, 59:22, 60:9, 60:13, 60:21, 61:7, 61:13, 61:23, 62:11, 62:17, 63:4, 63:9, 63:15, 63:19, 63:24, 64:2, 64:6, 64:17, 64:21, 64:25, 65:19, 65:25, 66:15, 66:23, 67:4, 71:21, 72:11, 72:19, 178:1 Stanfield [4] - 39:19, 50:6, 51:14, 71:20 start [16] - 10:18, 10:19, 10:21, 22:23, 43:22, 46:22, 47:14, 52:25, 57:1, 61:9,

66:4, 139:1, 146:7,

156:5

179:3, 188:7, 188:8 started [11] - 46:25, 47:21, 91:16, 94:22, 105:23, 110:17, 122:13, 139:5, 140:15, 146:6, 146:22 starting [11] - 28:25, 33:11, 34:3, 41:1, 50:18, 57:12, 61:17, 64:3, 89:16, 110:3, 210:22 **starts** [5] - 23:11, 53:16, 54:2, 124:1, 160:22 State [7] - 36:12, 58:12, 75:8, 126:4, 133:13, 208:10, 215:5 state [16] - 20:18, 38:8, 68:6, 68:14, 68:19, 68:22, 68:25, 69:6, 69:11, 93:15, 119:7, 120:10, 133:17, 152:9, 156:9, 190:15 **STATE** [3] - 1:4, 2:17, 215:1 statement [8] - 20:11, 41:8, 53:16, 84:23, 85:2, 85:5, 89:14, 182:12 statements [1] - 182:7 States [5] - 71:1, 71:8, 78:5, 79:8, 80:21 states [2] - 14:17, 20:20 stating [1] - 11:22 **STATION** [1] - 2:19 status [2] - 17:22, 20:19 statute [4] - 6:17, 6:20, 73:15, 73:25 statutes [8] - 7:14, 9:4, 9:6, 9:7, 82:10, 135:25, 136:4, 136:6 **statutory** [1] - 7:1 stay [1] - 180:11 stayed [1] - 117:8 stenotype [1] - 1:21 step [10] - 20:13, 71:15, 111:4, 112:24, 115:7, 126:6, 127:22, 177:21, 177:23, 210:10 stepped [2] - 48:9, 178:21 steps [3] - 49:14, 51:5,

Steve [1] - 68:17 stiff [1] - 150:14 still [15] - 11:20, 13:4, 37:13, 78:25, 93:24, 123:16, 133:12, 141:17, 143:5, 146:17, 150:19, 168:21, 168:22, 186:17, 196:13 stipulation [4] - 21:22, 69:20, 70:19, 175:23 stock [1] - 78:22 stood [1] - 27:1 stop [4] - 12:20, 54:4, 63:5, 66:9 stopped [2] - 126:12, 139:20 stopping [1] - 62:23 storage [2] - 184:23, 187:9 stored [1] - 127:18 **storing** [1] - 97:9 strata [2] - 149:14, 149:17 **STRAWN** [1] - 4:5 stream [2] - 95:4, 120:1 streams [3] - 90:17, 90:18, 91:3 **STREET** [3] - 2:5, 3:15, 4:6 strength [7] - 121:7, 121:9, 121:22, 189:20, 189:23, 190:5, 190:7 **strike** [1] - 31:18 strong [1] - 124:5 studied [1] - 194:20 study [18] - 42:20, 70:24, 175:24, 194:23, 194:25, 195:19, 195:21, 196:9, 196:10, 197:1, 197:3, 197:4, 197:7, 197:8, 197:13, 197:17, 203:23, 203:25 stuff [8] - 16:22, 48:10, 61:9, 114:22, 119:19, 121:1, 125:12, 169:25 **styled** [1] - 215:10 subgrade [2] - 144:23, 166:9 subheading [1] -120:11 subject [8] - 37:13, 37:14, 38:2, 38:19, 41:1, 57:16, 132:10, 207:10

submerged [2] -188:16, 203:5 submergence [1] -203:8 submitted [1] - 71:6 **submitting** [1] - 29:19 subsidiary [1] - 21:24 substance [4] - 42:6, 42:22, 142:21, 195:14 subsurface [3] -147:5, 155:13, 169:17 Subsurface [1] -155:24 successfully [1] -121:14 suck [2] - 143:9, 150:15 sucked [1] - 142:21 suction [8] - 142:18, 143:2, 143:5, 144:4, 144:6, 150:15, 150:18, 150:22 **suffer** [1] - 6:5 sufficient [2] - 178:17, 189:17 sufficiently [1] - 124:5 suggest [4] - 27:11, 28:19, 44:2, 122:3 suggested [1] - 26:24 suggestion [2] - 44:3, 44:20 suggestions [2] -26:16, 27:1 suggests [2] - 173:25, 181:17 suit [4] - 20:15, 20:17, 24:8, 29:23 SUITE [2] - 3:6, 3:15 sulking [1] - 122:20 summarize [1] -160:21 summary [2] - 165:23, 165:25 summer [1] - 117:14 Superfund [10] - 22:7, 23:15, 81:6, 81:8, 81:11, 81:24, 82:1, 82:9, 82:20, 195:17 supersedes [1] -212:11 supervisor [2] -173:19, 173:20 support [8] - 24:24, 28:6. 121:17. 121:21, 132:21, 168:25, 212:15, 213:4

suppose [1] - 102:5

supposed [1] - 105:4 Supreme [1] - 74:4 surface [3] - 147:25, 189:10, 189:18 surprising [1] -120:22 surround [1] - 124:4 surrounding [1] -147:13 survey [14] - 49:11, 153:25, 188:13, 188:18, 192:13, 192:14, 192:21, 193:3, 193:11, 193:16, 193:18, 206:8, 206:12, 210:5 suspect [1] - 55:13 suspected [1] - 175:5 suspend [1] - 71:9 suspended [3] -176:1, 176:6, 176:13 suspension [1] -176:9 sustain [2] - 22:14, 43:1 sustained [7] - 22:19, 43:9, 69:16, 70:3, 99:13, 211:21, 211:23 sworn [2] - 74:21, 74:23 **SYDNEY** [1] - 3:10 system [19] - 70:25, 107:8, 107:14, 107:16, 107:20, 108:7, 108:14, 109:4, 109:14, 109:18, 113:5, 114:10, 115:17, 115:25, 116:19, 122:10, 128:20, 144:2, 187:6

Т

T-r-a-n-s [1] - 157:18
talks [9] - 14:20, 16:9,
32:12, 52:19, 53:17,
101:9, 149:14,
156:7, 170:19
tank [1] - 94:17
tCEQ [1] - 68:11
TCEQ [40] - 58:10,
62:9, 66:20, 67:12,
67:23, 68:4, 68:5,
68:16, 68:20, 68:21,
68:23, 69:1, 69:4,
69:8, 69:17, 69:24,
70:13, 70:17, 70:19,
70:21, 70:23, 71:5,

71:7, 71:13, 72:16, 72:21, 72:24, 73:1, 73:6, 73:14, 73:17, 73:19, 73:25, 152:18, 162:4, 173:21, 175:24, 206:6 technical [1] - 77:22 technique [3] - 98:3, 108:20, 140:6 techniques [2] -95:15, 189:25 technologies [2] -79:5, 79:7 technology [1] - 79:4 telephone [3] - 83:15, 96:21, 164:12 telltale [1] - 173:3 tendered [1] - 215:15 tensile [4] - 189:20, 189:23, 190:5, 190:7 tension [1] - 6:19 term [1] - 39:22 terminal [14] - 148:18, 148:20, 148:24, 149:3, 160:10, 162:20, 163:7, 164:21, 166:12, 166:25, 169:6, 169:10, 174:21, 177:1 Terminal [3] - 154:2, 168:11, 194:15 terminals [1] - 166:4 Terminated [1] -212:18 terminated [3] - 200:4, 212:17, 214:1 termination [1] -213:15 terminology [1] -166:21 terms [13] - 17:22, 42:8, 43:13, 69:18, 80:10, 104:1, 146:12, 146:16, 146:18, 146:19, 154:1, 196:14, 197:9 test [3] - 42:10, 160:3, 168:17 testified [4] - 74:23, 86:5, 178:14, 181:25 testifies [1] - 55:2 testify [3] - 23:16, 36:9, 83:1

testifying [2] - 36:9,

testimony [35] - 10:12,

14:21, 15:5, 22:17,

25:22, 28:13, 33:18,

60:16

40:19, 41:12, 41:13, 42:24, 44:14, 46:15, 60:1, 64:22, 81:14, 83:4, 86:14, 86:21, 87:1, 87:17, 89:10, 92:9, 116:17, 117:2, 125:6, 131:21, 132:10, 136:24, 181:14, 193:4, 193:7, 193:11, 196:17 testing [3] - 42:8, 42:15, 122:6 TEXAS [14] - 1:4, 1:5, 1:5, 1:11, 2:6, 2:12, 2:17, 2:18, 2:19, 3:4, 3:6, 3:16, 4:6, 215:1 Texas [67] - 1:20, 6:23, 8:22, 26:22, 26:23, 36:10, 36:12, 57:14, 58:12, 62:9, 66:20, 68:5, 68:12, 68:17, 68:23, 68:25, 70:21, 72:3, 72:10, 73:9, 75:8, 75:25, 76:1, 76:5, 80:9, 81:12, 81:16, 82:10, 90:15, 133:13, 136:10, 136:13, 136:16, 136:17, 136:19, 138:13, 139:10, 139:23, 152:2, 152:7, 152:10, 152:15, 152:16, 152:23, 153:7, 154:21, 157:14, 157:15, 157:19, 161:25, 171:9, 171:22, 173:13, 173:21, 173:24, 174:6, 174:18, 192:5, 205:13, 205:18, 206:5, 208:10, 215:5, 215:21, 215:23, 215:24 **Texas'** [2] - 7:4, 9:11 Texas's [1] - 6:12 THE [227] - 1:4, 1:4, 2:3, 2:11, 2:17, 2:18, 3:4, 3:14, 5:5, 8:8, 8:11, 8:13, 10:18, 10:22, 10:25, 11:4, 11:6, 11:11, 11:17, 11:25, 12:5, 12:12, 12:20, 13:7, 13:11, 14:1, 14:10, 14:15, 15:2, 15:13, 17:2, 17:13, 18:12, 18:21, 19:3, 19:7, 22:13,

22:23, 23:3, 23:8, 24:14, 25:8, 25:23, 26:6, 26:12, 27:16, 28:11, 28:21, 29:4, 30:1, 31:3, 31:8, 31:20, 31:25, 32:10, 32:16, 33:2, 33:6, 33:17, 33:22, 35:1, 35:5, 35:19, 36:1, 36:13, 36:21, 37:19, 38:7, 38:16, 39:3, 39:6, 39:19, 40:4, 40:9, 40:12, 40:20, 40:24, 41:5, 41:25, 42:7, 42:12, 42:16, 42:23, 43:5, 43:9, 43:17, 43:25, 44:8, 44:17, 45:9, 45:13, 45:20, 45:22, 46:2, 46:11, 46:16, 46:22, 47:12, 47:15, 48:1, 48:5, 48:8, 48:12, 48:16, 49:6, 49:13, 50:5, 50:9, 50:18, 50:21, 50:25, 51:7, 51:11, 51:13, 51:23, 52:5, 52:11, 52:14, 53:6, 53:21, 54:5, 54:16, 55:3, 55:7, 55:16, 55:25, 56:3, 57:1, 57:9, 57:12, 58:25, 59:9, 59:24, 60:8, 60:12, 60:15, 60:19, 60:22, 61:1, 61:12, 61:16, 61:20, 61:25, 62:3, 62:13, 63:1, 63:12, 63:21, 63:25, 64:4, 64:10, 64:18, 64:23, 65:1, 65:16, 65:18, 65:24, 66:2, 66:8, 66:22, 67:3, 67:6, 67:9, 67:19, 68:9, 69:16, 70:1, 70:7, 70:11, 70:15, 71:14, 71:19, 72:14, 73:8, 74:8, 74:16, 74:20, 90:1, 90:5, 99:1, 99:9, 99:13, 105:14, 127:21, 128:1, 128:18, 135:18, 135:20, 137:16, 137:19, 138:7, 177:15, 177:20, 177:23, 178:4, 178:6, 179:4, 179:17, 179:22, 180:12, 181:15, 182:10, 182:24, 183:1, 187:23, 195:6, 195:10,

207:20, 207:21,	TMDL [19] - 43:23,	145:13	142:13, 142:14,	United [5] - 71:1, 71:8,
207:24, 210:8,				
, ,	45:3, 45:5, 45:16,	transport [4] - 104:17,	142:17, 142:19,	78:5, 79:8, 80:20
210:10, 210:12,	45:23, 46:4, 48:12,	106:10, 106:12,	145:9, 153:6,	University [4] - 76:9,
210:13, 210:18,	48:18, 49:10, 49:16,	207:12	157:13, 157:23,	76:12, 76:19, 93:25
210:25, 211:12,	49:25, 51:17, 51:24,	transportation [2] -	162:23, 163:8,	unless [1] - 36:5
211:21, 212:4,	53:18, 194:19,	157:17, 157:18	178:25, 198:14,	unlike [2] - 92:4,
212:14, 212:18,	194:23, 194:25,	TRAVIS [1] - 2:5	198:16, 203:4,	158:15
212:20, 213:2,	211:22, 211:25	treatment [5] - 80:1,	204:9, 211:4, 212:6,	unloaded [2] - 108:24,
213:14, 214:7, 215:1	today [8] - 131:4,	92:5, 109:18,	213:3, 213:23	109:17
theme [2] - 25:1, 34:9	131:12, 176:2,		type [16] - 35:8, 35:14,	
		111:17, 180:9	37:9, 79:15, 92:21,	unloading [1] - 113:21
themselves [1] - 83:4	183:9, 198:2,	trees [2] - 124:2, 179:6	, , , , ,	unreasonable [1] -
thereby [1] - 76:21	198:22, 199:2, 201:4	TRIAL [1] - 1:2	100:10, 118:24,	27:15
therefore [5] - 20:21,	together [6] - 13:16,	trial [4] - 1:18, 27:2,	119:14, 124:11,	unredacted [3] -
56:6, 73:6, 207:9,	87:7, 87:13, 104:21,	99:25, 100:2	124:21, 125:9,	40:18, 178:18,
213:12	108:25, 134:22	tribes [1] - 156:10	132:19, 143:11,	178:22
therein [2] - 15:17,	tomorrow [1] - 210:21	tricky [1] - 28:12	144:25, 147:22,	unsolicited [1] - 182:8
15:24	tons [2] - 160:2, 168:5	tried [4] - 25:4,	205:24	unusual [7] - 91:21,
thereof [1] - 58:11	took [13] - 78:21,	180:10, 190:20	types [4] - 142:11,	92:13, 92:19, 92:24,
they've [2] - 175:17,	100:5, 100:6,	,	142:17, 151:6, 167:9	
	· · · · · ·	trim [1] - 33:14	typically [1] - 140:10	100:14, 105:3,
211:13	112:21, 113:14,	trip [1] - 111:6	ιγρισαιιγ [1] - 140.10	146:10
thick [2] - 149:17,	118:24, 124:23,	trucks [3] - 91:13,		up [76] - 16:9, 23:2,
149:24	133:7, 145:23,	106:11	U	25:4, 25:19, 26:17,
thickness [3] -	183:5, 194:10,	true [1] - 215:6		27:1, 29:13, 34:7,
149:16, 150:4,	195:19, 206:20	truly [1] - 215:14	U.S [1] - 16:3	34:17, 40:17, 42:2,
155:23	top [16] - 109:4, 112:5,	trustee [4] - 13:4,	UAO [3] - 16:22,	43:12, 43:13, 43:17,
thinking [3] - 27:23,	112:9, 114:16,	134:11, 135:10,	20:23, 31:22	46:5, 48:4, 51:1,
63:7, 201:22	114:21, 120:9,	184:18	ultimate [2] - 98:17,	51:4, 53:8, 53:24,
thinks [1] - 61:6	122:9, 127:13,	Trustee [2] - 134:8,	106:2	63:19, 78:10, 79:3,
third [10] - 64:8, 92:11,	131:9, 134:15,	134:25	ultimately [8] - 42:10,	79:14, 82:4, 84:24,
120:9, 123:5, 131:9,	159:4, 162:19,	truth [1] - 136:9	79:21, 106:7,	90:17, 94:7, 94:21,
136:8, 152:14,	174:4, 178:11,		148:19, 148:22,	97:1, 102:9, 108:3,
	185:23	try [6] - 49:4, 49:7,	161:9, 162:3, 176:6	
165:9, 171:25,		50:11, 52:10, 124:8,		108:16, 112:13,
198:17	Total [1] - 70:24	139:2	um-hmm [1] - 41:25	112:17, 112:20,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3,	139:2 trying [11] - 29:14,	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13,	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11	139:2 trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18,	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13, 139:6	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3,	139:2 trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18, 150:7, 151:20,	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4,	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13, 139:6 threat [2] - 53:4, 211:5	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3, 159:3	139:2 trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18,	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 16:21,	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24, 126:21, 131:8,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13, 139:6 threat [2] - 53:4, 211:5 three [12] - 7:14, 9:6,	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3, 159:3 TOWER [1] - 2:5	139:2 trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18, 150:7, 151:20,	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 16:21, 18:1, 37:10, 43:3,	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24, 126:21, 131:8, 134:13, 134:15,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13, 139:6 threat [2] - 53:4, 211:5	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3, 159:3 TOWER [1] - 2:5 toxic [4] - 42:17,	139:2 trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18, 150:7, 151:20, 161:17, 180:16,	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 16:21, 18:1, 37:10, 43:3, 55:13, 73:24, 79:12,	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24, 126:21, 131:8, 134:13, 134:15, 136:23, 137:24,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13, 139:6 threat [2] - 53:4, 211:5 three [12] - 7:14, 9:6,	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3, 159:3 TOWER [1] - 2:5 toxic [4] - 42:17, 122:23, 178:9, 179:8	139:2 trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18, 150:7, 151:20, 161:17, 180:16, 182:18, 188:23, 201:24	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 16:21, 18:1, 37:10, 43:3, 55:13, 73:24, 79:12, 82:10, 91:15,	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24, 126:21, 131:8, 134:13, 134:15,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13, 139:6 threat [2] - 53:4, 211:5 three [12] - 7:14, 9:6, 9:7, 13:25, 129:23,	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3, 159:3 TOWER [1] - 2:5 toxic [4] - 42:17,	139:2 trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18, 150:7, 151:20, 161:17, 180:16, 182:18, 188:23, 201:24 TSUCHIYAMA [1] -	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 16:21, 18:1, 37:10, 43:3, 55:13, 73:24, 79:12, 82:10, 91:15, 143:10, 155:23,	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24, 126:21, 131:8, 134:13, 134:15, 136:23, 137:24,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13, 139:6 threat [2] - 53:4, 211:5 three [12] - 7:14, 9:6, 9:7, 13:25, 129:23, 130:2, 135:25,	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3, 159:3 TOWER [1] - 2:5 toxic [4] - 42:17, 122:23, 178:9, 179:8	139:2 trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18, 150:7, 151:20, 161:17, 180:16, 182:18, 188:23, 201:24 TSUCHIYAMA [1] - 2:8	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 16:21, 18:1, 37:10, 43:3, 55:13, 73:24, 79:12, 82:10, 91:15, 143:10, 155:23, 157:11, 159:3,	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24, 126:21, 131:8, 134:13, 134:15, 136:23, 137:24, 142:4, 142:21,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13, 139:6 threat [2] - 53:4, 211:5 three [12] - 7:14, 9:6, 9:7, 13:25, 129:23, 130:2, 135:25, 136:6, 183:21,	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3, 159:3 TOWER [1] - 2:5 toxic [4] - 42:17, 122:23, 178:9, 179:8 toxicity [1] - 122:25	139:2 trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18, 150:7, 151:20, 161:17, 180:16, 182:18, 188:23, 201:24 TSUCHIYAMA [1] - 2:8 tube [7] - 142:20,	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 16:21, 18:1, 37:10, 43:3, 55:13, 73:24, 79:12, 82:10, 91:15, 143:10, 155:23,	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24, 126:21, 131:8, 134:13, 134:15, 136:23, 137:24, 142:4, 142:21, 142:22, 143:7,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13, 139:6 threat [2] - 53:4, 211:5 three [12] - 7:14, 9:6, 9:7, 13:25, 129:23, 130:2, 135:25, 136:6, 183:21, 187:2, 203:22	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3, 159:3 TOWER [1] - 2:5 toxic [4] - 42:17, 122:23, 178:9, 179:8 toxicity [1] - 122:25 TPWD [1] - 173:24	139:2 trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18, 150:7, 151:20, 161:17, 180:16, 182:18, 188:23, 201:24 TSUCHIYAMA [1] - 2:8 tube [7] - 142:20, 142:22, 144:5,	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 16:21, 18:1, 37:10, 43:3, 55:13, 73:24, 79:12, 82:10, 91:15, 143:10, 155:23, 157:11, 159:3,	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24, 126:21, 131:8, 134:13, 134:15, 136:23, 137:24, 142:4, 142:21, 142:22, 143:7, 143:9, 148:12,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13, 139:6 threat [2] - 53:4, 211:5 three [12] - 7:14, 9:6, 9:7, 13:25, 129:23, 130:2, 135:25, 136:6, 183:21, 187:2, 203:22 throughout [2] - 26:16, 42:4	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3, 159:3 TOWER [1] - 2:5 toxic [4] - 42:17, 122:23, 178:9, 179:8 toxicity [1] - 122:25 TPWD [1] - 173:24 tract [7] - 20:22,	139:2 trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18, 150:7, 151:20, 161:17, 180:16, 182:18, 188:23, 201:24 TSUCHIYAMA [1] - 2:8 tube [7] - 142:20, 142:22, 144:5, 144:6, 145:4, 145:5,	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 16:21, 18:1, 37:10, 43:3, 55:13, 73:24, 79:12, 82:10, 91:15, 143:10, 155:23, 157:11, 159:3, 159:22, 160:23,	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24, 126:21, 131:8, 134:13, 134:15, 136:23, 137:24, 142:4, 142:21, 142:22, 143:7, 143:9, 148:12, 154:25, 157:2,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13, 139:6 threat [2] - 53:4, 211:5 three [12] - 7:14, 9:6, 9:7, 13:25, 129:23, 130:2, 135:25, 136:6, 183:21, 187:2, 203:22 throughout [2] - 26:16, 42:4 thrust [1] - 25:25	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3, 159:3 TOWER [1] - 2:5 toxic [4] - 42:17, 122:23, 178:9, 179:8 toxicity [1] - 122:25 TPWD [1] - 173:24 tract [7] - 20:22, 134:11, 147:14, 148:10, 148:11,	139:2 trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18, 150:7, 151:20, 161:17, 180:16, 182:18, 188:23, 201:24 TSUCHIYAMA [1] - 2:8 tube [7] - 142:20, 142:22, 144:5, 144:6, 145:4, 145:5, 145:11	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 16:21, 18:1, 37:10, 43:3, 55:13, 73:24, 79:12, 82:10, 91:15, 143:10, 155:23, 157:11, 159:3, 159:22, 160:23, 164:5, 165:8,	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24, 126:21, 131:8, 134:13, 134:15, 136:23, 137:24, 142:4, 142:21, 142:22, 143:7, 143:9, 148:12, 154:25, 157:2, 159:3, 163:4,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13, 139:6 threat [2] - 53:4, 211:5 three [12] - 7:14, 9:6, 9:7, 13:25, 129:23, 130:2, 135:25, 136:6, 183:21, 187:2, 203:22 throughout [2] - 26:16, 42:4 thrust [1] - 25:25 tidal [5] - 168:17,	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3, 159:3 TOWER [1] - 2:5 toxic [4] - 42:17, 122:23, 178:9, 179:8 toxicity [1] - 122:25 TPWD [1] - 173:24 tract [7] - 20:22, 134:11, 147:14, 148:10, 148:11, 148:13, 155:16	139:2 trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18, 150:7, 151:20, 161:17, 180:16, 182:18, 188:23, 201:24 TSUCHIYAMA [1] - 2:8 tube [7] - 142:20, 142:22, 144:5, 144:6, 145:4, 145:5, 145:11 turn [6] - 89:9, 89:11,	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 16:21, 18:1, 37:10, 43:3, 55:13, 73:24, 79:12, 82:10, 91:15, 143:10, 155:23, 157:11, 159:3, 159:22, 160:23, 164:5, 165:8, 181:14, 184:19,	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24, 126:21, 131:8, 134:13, 134:15, 136:23, 137:24, 142:4, 142:21, 142:22, 143:7, 143:9, 148:12, 154:25, 157:2, 159:3, 163:4, 164:22, 171:7, 177:18, 183:11,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13, 139:6 threat [2] - 53:4, 211:5 three [12] - 7:14, 9:6, 9:7, 13:25, 129:23, 130:2, 135:25, 136:6, 183:21, 187:2, 203:22 throughout [2] - 26:16, 42:4 thrust [1] - 25:25 tidal [5] - 168:17, 173:3, 189:16,	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3, 159:3 TOWER [1] - 2:5 toxic [4] - 42:17, 122:23, 178:9, 179:8 toxicity [1] - 122:25 TPWD [1] - 173:24 tract [7] - 20:22, 134:11, 147:14, 148:10, 148:11, 148:13, 155:16 traffic [2] - 106:15,	139:2 trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18, 150:7, 151:20, 161:17, 180:16, 182:18, 188:23, 201:24 TSUCHIYAMA [1] - 2:8 tube [7] - 142:20, 142:22, 144:5, 144:6, 145:4, 145:5, 145:11 turn [6] - 89:9, 89:11, 105:17, 113:22,	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 16:21, 18:1, 37:10, 43:3, 55:13, 73:24, 79:12, 82:10, 91:15, 143:10, 155:23, 157:11, 159:3, 159:22, 160:23, 164:5, 165:8, 181:14, 184:19, 188:16, 191:17,	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24, 126:21, 131:8, 134:13, 134:15, 136:23, 137:24, 142:4, 142:21, 142:22, 143:7, 143:9, 148:12, 154:25, 157:2, 159:3, 163:4, 164:22, 171:7, 177:18, 183:11, 185:11, 185:22,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13, 139:6 threat [2] - 53:4, 211:5 three [12] - 7:14, 9:6, 9:7, 13:25, 129:23, 130:2, 135:25, 136:6, 183:21, 187:2, 203:22 throughout [2] - 26:16, 42:4 thrust [1] - 25:25 tidal [5] - 168:17, 173:3, 189:16, 203:23, 203:25	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3, 159:3 TOWER [1] - 2:5 toxic [4] - 42:17, 122:23, 178:9, 179:8 toxicity [1] - 122:25 TPWD [1] - 173:24 tract [7] - 20:22, 134:11, 147:14, 148:10, 148:11, 148:13, 155:16 traffic [2] - 106:15, 174:15	139:2 trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18, 150:7, 151:20, 161:17, 180:16, 182:18, 188:23, 201:24 TSUCHIYAMA [1] - 2:8 tube [7] - 142:20, 142:22, 144:5, 144:6, 145:4, 145:5, 145:11 turn [6] - 89:9, 89:11, 105:17, 113:22, 120:8, 131:19	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 16:21, 18:1, 37:10, 43:3, 55:13, 73:24, 79:12, 82:10, 91:15, 143:10, 155:23, 157:11, 159:3, 159:22, 160:23, 164:5, 165:8, 181:14, 184:19, 188:16, 191:17, 192:12, 213:10 underneath [3] -	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24, 126:21, 131:8, 134:13, 134:15, 136:23, 137:24, 142:4, 142:21, 142:22, 143:7, 143:9, 148:12, 154:25, 157:2, 159:3, 163:4, 164:22, 171:7, 177:18, 183:11, 185:11, 185:22, 192:22, 193:12,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13, 139:6 threat [2] - 53:4, 211:5 three [12] - 7:14, 9:6, 9:7, 13:25, 129:23, 130:2, 135:25, 136:6, 183:21, 187:2, 203:22 throughout [2] - 26:16, 42:4 thrust [1] - 25:25 tidal [5] - 168:17, 173:3, 189:16, 203:23, 203:25 tide [8] - 155:17,	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3, 159:3 TOWER [1] - 2:5 toxic [4] - 42:17, 122:23, 178:9, 179:8 toxicity [1] - 122:25 TPWD [1] - 173:24 tract [7] - 20:22, 134:11, 147:14, 148:10, 148:11, 148:13, 155:16 traffic [2] - 106:15, 174:15 transcription [2] -	139:2 trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18, 150:7, 151:20, 161:17, 180:16, 182:18, 188:23, 201:24 TSUCHIYAMA [1] - 2:8 tube [7] - 142:20, 142:22, 144:5, 144:6, 145:4, 145:5, 145:11 turn [6] - 89:9, 89:11, 105:17, 113:22, 120:8, 131:19 turned [2] - 91:10,	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 16:21, 18:1, 37:10, 43:3, 55:13, 73:24, 79:12, 82:10, 91:15, 143:10, 155:23, 157:11, 159:3, 159:22, 160:23, 164:5, 165:8, 181:14, 184:19, 188:16, 191:17, 192:12, 213:10 underneath [3] - 189:10, 191:1,	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24, 126:21, 131:8, 134:13, 134:15, 136:23, 137:24, 142:4, 142:21, 142:22, 143:7, 143:9, 148:12, 154:25, 157:2, 159:3, 163:4, 164:22, 171:7, 177:18, 183:11, 185:11, 185:22, 192:22, 193:12, 197:15, 198:20,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13, 139:6 threat [2] - 53:4, 211:5 three [12] - 7:14, 9:6, 9:7, 13:25, 129:23, 130:2, 135:25, 136:6, 183:21, 187:2, 203:22 throughout [2] - 26:16, 42:4 thrust [1] - 25:25 tidal [5] - 168:17, 173:3, 189:16, 203:23, 203:25 tide [8] - 155:17, 155:21, 155:22,	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3, 159:3 TOWER [1] - 2:5 toxic [4] - 42:17, 122:23, 178:9, 179:8 toxicity [1] - 122:25 TPWD [1] - 173:24 tract [7] - 20:22, 134:11, 147:14, 148:10, 148:11, 148:13, 155:16 traffic [2] - 106:15, 174:15 transcription [2] - 1:22, 215:7	139:2 trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18, 150:7, 151:20, 161:17, 180:16, 182:18, 188:23, 201:24 TSUCHIYAMA [1] - 2:8 tube [7] - 142:20, 142:22, 144:5, 144:6, 145:4, 145:5, 145:11 turn [6] - 89:9, 89:11, 105:17, 113:22, 120:8, 131:19 turned [2] - 91:10, 185:25	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 16:21, 18:1, 37:10, 43:3, 55:13, 73:24, 79:12, 82:10, 91:15, 143:10, 155:23, 157:11, 159:3, 159:22, 160:23, 164:5, 165:8, 181:14, 184:19, 188:16, 191:17, 192:12, 213:10 underneath [3] - 189:10, 191:1, 203:10	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24, 126:21, 131:8, 134:13, 134:15, 136:23, 137:24, 142:4, 142:21, 142:22, 143:7, 143:9, 148:12, 154:25, 157:2, 159:3, 163:4, 164:22, 171:7, 177:18, 183:11, 185:11, 185:22, 192:22, 193:12, 197:15, 198:20, 201:18, 201:25,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13, 139:6 threat [2] - 53:4, 211:5 three [12] - 7:14, 9:6, 9:7, 13:25, 129:23, 130:2, 135:25, 136:6, 183:21, 187:2, 203:22 throughout [2] - 26:16, 42:4 thrust [1] - 25:25 tidal [5] - 168:17, 173:3, 189:16, 203:23, 203:25 tide [8] - 155:17, 155:21, 155:22, 173:4, 188:19,	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3, 159:3 TOWER [1] - 2:5 toxic [4] - 42:17, 122:23, 178:9, 179:8 toxicity [1] - 122:25 TPWD [1] - 173:24 tract [7] - 20:22, 134:11, 147:14, 148:10, 148:11, 148:13, 155:16 traffic [2] - 106:15, 174:15 transcription [2] - 1:22, 215:7 transfer [5] - 110:15,	139:2 trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18, 150:7, 151:20, 161:17, 180:16, 182:18, 188:23, 201:24 TSUCHIYAMA [1] - 2:8 tube [7] - 142:20, 142:22, 144:5, 144:6, 145:4, 145:5, 145:11 turn [6] - 89:9, 89:11, 105:17, 113:22, 120:8, 131:19 turned [2] - 91:10,	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 16:21, 18:1, 37:10, 43:3, 55:13, 73:24, 79:12, 82:10, 91:15, 143:10, 155:23, 157:11, 159:3, 159:22, 160:23, 164:5, 165:8, 181:14, 184:19, 188:16, 191:17, 192:12, 213:10 underneath [3] - 189:10, 191:1, 203:10 underwater [4] -	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24, 126:21, 131:8, 134:13, 134:15, 136:23, 137:24, 142:4, 142:21, 142:22, 143:7, 143:9, 148:12, 154:25, 157:2, 159:3, 163:4, 164:22, 171:7, 177:18, 183:11, 185:11, 185:22, 192:22, 193:12, 197:15, 198:20, 201:18, 201:25, 203:2, 205:10,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13, 139:6 threat [2] - 53:4, 211:5 three [12] - 7:14, 9:6, 9:7, 13:25, 129:23, 130:2, 135:25, 136:6, 183:21, 187:2, 203:22 throughout [2] - 26:16, 42:4 thrust [1] - 25:25 tidal [5] - 168:17, 173:3, 189:16, 203:23, 203:25 tide [8] - 155:17, 155:21, 155:22, 173:4, 188:19, 192:12, 193:16,	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3, 159:3 TOWER [1] - 2:5 toxic [4] - 42:17, 122:23, 178:9, 179:8 toxicity [1] - 122:25 TPWD [1] - 173:24 tract [7] - 20:22, 134:11, 147:14, 148:10, 148:11, 148:13, 155:16 traffic [2] - 106:15, 174:15 transcription [2] - 1:22, 215:7 transfer [5] - 110:15, 111:4, 135:3, 135:9,	139:2 trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18, 150:7, 151:20, 161:17, 180:16, 182:18, 188:23, 201:24 TSUCHIYAMA [1] - 2:8 tube [7] - 142:20, 142:22, 144:5, 144:6, 145:4, 145:5, 145:11 turn [6] - 89:9, 89:11, 105:17, 113:22, 120:8, 131:19 turned [2] - 91:10, 185:25	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 16:21, 18:1, 37:10, 43:3, 55:13, 73:24, 79:12, 82:10, 91:15, 143:10, 155:23, 157:11, 159:3, 159:22, 160:23, 164:5, 165:8, 181:14, 184:19, 188:16, 191:17, 192:12, 213:10 underneath [3] - 189:10, 191:1, 203:10 underwater [4] - 58:22, 188:9, 202:7,	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24, 126:21, 131:8, 134:13, 134:15, 136:23, 137:24, 142:4, 142:21, 142:22, 143:7, 143:9, 148:12, 154:25, 157:2, 159:3, 163:4, 164:22, 171:7, 177:18, 183:11, 185:11, 185:22, 192:22, 193:12, 197:15, 198:20, 201:18, 201:25, 203:2, 205:10, 205:17, 205:21,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13, 139:6 threat [2] - 53:4, 211:5 three [12] - 7:14, 9:6, 9:7, 13:25, 129:23, 130:2, 135:25, 136:6, 183:21, 187:2, 203:22 throughout [2] - 26:16, 42:4 thrust [1] - 25:25 tidal [5] - 168:17, 173:3, 189:16, 203:23, 203:25 tide [8] - 155:17, 155:21, 155:22, 173:4, 188:19, 192:12, 193:16, 207:10	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3, 159:3 TOWER [1] - 2:5 toxic [4] - 42:17, 122:23, 178:9, 179:8 toxicity [1] - 122:25 TPWD [1] - 173:24 tract [7] - 20:22, 134:11, 147:14, 148:10, 148:11, 148:13, 155:16 traffic [2] - 106:15, 174:15 transcription [2] - 1:22, 215:7 transfer [5] - 110:15, 111:4, 135:3, 135:9, 140:9	139:2 trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18, 150:7, 151:20, 161:17, 180:16, 182:18, 188:23, 201:24 TSUCHIYAMA [1] - 2:8 tube [7] - 142:20, 142:22, 144:5, 144:6, 145:4, 145:5, 145:11 turn [6] - 89:9, 89:11, 105:17, 113:22, 120:8, 131:19 turned [2] - 91:10, 185:25 turns [2] - 117:24,	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 16:21, 18:1, 37:10, 43:3, 55:13, 73:24, 79:12, 82:10, 91:15, 143:10, 155:23, 157:11, 159:3, 159:22, 160:23, 164:5, 165:8, 181:14, 184:19, 188:16, 191:17, 192:12, 213:10 underneath [3] - 189:10, 191:1, 203:10 underwater [4] - 58:22, 188:9, 202:7, 207:9	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24, 126:21, 131:8, 134:13, 134:15, 136:23, 137:24, 142:4, 142:21, 142:22, 143:7, 143:9, 148:12, 154:25, 157:2, 159:3, 163:4, 164:22, 171:7, 177:18, 183:11, 185:11, 185:22, 192:22, 193:12, 197:15, 198:20, 201:18, 201:25, 203:2, 205:10, 205:17, 205:21, 206:24, 211:24
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13, 139:6 threat [2] - 53:4, 211:5 three [12] - 7:14, 9:6, 9:7, 13:25, 129:23, 130:2, 135:25, 136:6, 183:21, 187:2, 203:22 throughout [2] - 26:16, 42:4 thrust [1] - 25:25 tidal [5] - 168:17, 173:3, 189:16, 203:23, 203:25 tide [8] - 155:17, 155:21, 155:22, 173:4, 188:19, 192:12, 193:16,	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3, 159:3 TOWER [1] - 2:5 toxic [4] - 42:17, 122:23, 178:9, 179:8 toxicity [1] - 122:25 TPWD [1] - 173:24 tract [7] - 20:22, 134:11, 147:14, 148:10, 148:11, 148:13, 155:16 traffic [2] - 106:15, 174:15 transcription [2] - 1:22, 215:7 transfer [5] - 110:15, 111:4, 135:3, 135:9,	139:2 trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18, 150:7, 151:20, 161:17, 180:16, 182:18, 188:23, 201:24 TSUCHIYAMA [1] - 2:8 tube [7] - 142:20, 142:22, 144:5, 144:6, 145:4, 145:5, 145:11 turn [6] - 89:9, 89:11, 105:17, 113:22, 120:8, 131:19 turned [2] - 91:10, 185:25 turns [2] - 117:24, 134:21	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 16:21, 18:1, 37:10, 43:3, 55:13, 73:24, 79:12, 82:10, 91:15, 143:10, 155:23, 157:11, 159:3, 159:22, 160:23, 164:5, 165:8, 181:14, 184:19, 188:16, 191:17, 192:12, 213:10 underneath [3] - 189:10, 191:1, 203:10 underwater [4] - 58:22, 188:9, 202:7, 207:9 unique [1] - 6:15	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24, 126:21, 131:8, 134:13, 134:15, 136:23, 137:24, 142:4, 142:21, 142:22, 143:7, 143:9, 148:12, 154:25, 157:2, 159:3, 163:4, 164:22, 171:7, 177:18, 183:11, 185:11, 185:22, 192:22, 193:12, 197:15, 198:20, 201:18, 201:25, 203:2, 205:10, 205:17, 205:21, 206:24, 211:24 upcoming [1] - 20:24
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13, 139:6 threat [2] - 53:4, 211:5 three [12] - 7:14, 9:6, 9:7, 13:25, 129:23, 130:2, 135:25, 136:6, 183:21, 187:2, 203:22 throughout [2] - 26:16, 42:4 thrust [1] - 25:25 tidal [5] - 168:17, 173:3, 189:16, 203:23, 203:25 tide [8] - 155:17, 155:21, 155:22, 173:4, 188:19, 192:12, 193:16, 207:10	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3, 159:3 TOWER [1] - 2:5 toxic [4] - 42:17, 122:23, 178:9, 179:8 toxicity [1] - 122:25 TPWD [1] - 173:24 tract [7] - 20:22, 134:11, 147:14, 148:10, 148:11, 148:13, 155:16 traffic [2] - 106:15, 174:15 transcription [2] - 1:22, 215:7 transfer [5] - 110:15, 111:4, 135:3, 135:9, 140:9	139:2 trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18, 150:7, 151:20, 161:17, 180:16, 182:18, 188:23, 201:24 TSUCHIYAMA [1] - 2:8 tube [7] - 142:20, 142:22, 144:5, 144:6, 145:4, 145:5, 145:11 turn [6] - 89:9, 89:11, 105:17, 113:22, 120:8, 131:19 turned [2] - 91:10, 185:25 turns [2] - 117:24, 134:21 twice [2] - 35:2, 35:16	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 16:21, 18:1, 37:10, 43:3, 55:13, 73:24, 79:12, 82:10, 91:15, 143:10, 155:23, 157:11, 159:3, 159:22, 160:23, 164:5, 165:8, 181:14, 184:19, 188:16, 191:17, 192:12, 213:10 underneath [3] - 189:10, 191:1, 203:10 underwater [4] - 58:22, 188:9, 202:7, 207:9 unique [1] - 6:15 Unit [1] - 103:23	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24, 126:21, 131:8, 134:13, 134:15, 136:23, 137:24, 142:4, 142:21, 142:22, 143:7, 143:9, 148:12, 154:25, 157:2, 159:3, 163:4, 164:22, 171:7, 177:18, 183:11, 185:11, 185:22, 192:22, 193:12, 197:15, 198:20, 201:18, 201:25, 203:2, 205:10, 205:17, 205:21, 206:24, 211:24 upcoming [1] - 20:24 upper [8] - 112:16,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13,	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3, 159:3 TOWER [1] - 2:5 toxic [4] - 42:17, 122:23, 178:9, 179:8 toxicity [1] - 122:25 TPWD [1] - 173:24 tract [7] - 20:22, 134:11, 147:14, 148:10, 148:11, 148:13, 155:16 traffic [2] - 106:15, 174:15 transcription [2] - 1:22, 215:7 transfer [5] - 110:15, 111:4, 135:3, 135:9, 140:9 transferred [5] -	trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18, 150:7, 151:20, 161:17, 180:16, 182:18, 188:23, 201:24 TSUCHIYAMA [1] - 2:8 tube [7] - 142:20, 142:22, 144:5, 144:6, 145:4, 145:5, 145:11 turn [6] - 89:9, 89:11, 105:17, 113:22, 120:8, 131:19 turned [2] - 91:10, 185:25 turns [2] - 117:24, 134:21 twice [2] - 35:2, 35:16 two [37] - 6:19, 13:24, 24:11, 25:10, 27:7,	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 16:21, 18:1, 37:10, 43:3, 55:13, 73:24, 79:12, 82:10, 91:15, 143:10, 155:23, 157:11, 159:3, 159:22, 160:23, 164:5, 165:8, 181:14, 184:19, 188:16, 191:17, 192:12, 213:10 underneath [3] - 189:10, 191:1, 203:10 underwater [4] - 58:22, 188:9, 202:7, 207:9 unique [1] - 6:15 Unit [1] - 103:23 unit [9] - 106:2, 107:9,	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24, 126:21, 131:8, 134:13, 134:15, 136:23, 137:24, 142:4, 142:21, 142:22, 143:7, 143:9, 148:12, 154:25, 157:2, 159:3, 163:4, 164:22, 171:7, 177:18, 183:11, 185:11, 185:22, 192:22, 193:12, 197:15, 198:20, 201:18, 201:25, 203:2, 205:10, 205:17, 205:21, 206:24, 211:24 upcoming [1] - 20:24 upper [8] - 112:16, 112:22, 113:10,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13, 139:6 threat [2] - 53:4, 211:5 three [12] - 7:14, 9:6, 9:7, 13:25, 129:23, 130:2, 135:25, 136:6, 183:21, 187:2, 203:22 throughout [2] - 26:16, 42:4 thrust [1] - 25:25 tidal [5] - 168:17, 173:3, 189:16, 203:23, 203:25 tide [8] - 155:17, 155:21, 155:22, 173:4, 188:19, 192:12, 193:16, 207:10 tight [1] - 117:11 tip [1] - 172:21	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3, 159:3 TOWER [1] - 2:5 toxic [4] - 42:17, 122:23, 178:9, 179:8 toxicity [1] - 122:25 TPWD [1] - 173:24 tract [7] - 20:22, 134:11, 147:14, 148:10, 148:11, 148:13, 155:16 traffic [2] - 106:15, 174:15 transcription [2] - 1:22, 215:7 transfer [5] - 110:15, 111:4, 135:3, 135:9, 140:9 transferred [5] - 108:22, 115:2,	trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18, 150:7, 151:20, 161:17, 180:16, 182:18, 188:23, 201:24 TSUCHIYAMA [1] - 2:8 tube [7] - 142:20, 142:22, 144:5, 144:6, 145:4, 145:5, 145:11 turn [6] - 89:9, 89:11, 105:17, 113:22, 120:8, 131:19 turned [2] - 91:10, 185:25 turns [2] - 117:24, 134:21 twice [2] - 35:2, 35:16 two [37] - 6:19, 13:24, 24:11, 25:10, 27:7, 44:24, 46:18, 67:12,	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 16:21, 18:1, 37:10, 43:3, 55:13, 73:24, 79:12, 82:10, 91:15, 143:10, 155:23, 157:11, 159:3, 159:22, 160:23, 164:5, 165:8, 181:14, 184:19, 188:16, 191:17, 192:12, 213:10 underneath [3] - 189:10, 191:1, 203:10 underwater [4] - 58:22, 188:9, 202:7, 207:9 unique [1] - 6:15 Unit [1] - 103:23	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24, 126:21, 131:8, 134:13, 134:15, 136:23, 137:24, 142:4, 142:21, 142:22, 143:7, 143:9, 148:12, 154:25, 157:2, 159:3, 163:4, 164:22, 171:7, 177:18, 183:11, 185:11, 185:22, 192:22, 193:12, 197:15, 198:20, 201:18, 201:25, 203:2, 205:10, 205:17, 205:21, 206:24, 211:24 upcoming [1] - 20:24 upper [8] - 112:16,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13, 139:6 threat [2] - 53:4, 211:5 three [12] - 7:14, 9:6, 9:7, 13:25, 129:23, 130:2, 135:25, 136:6, 183:21, 187:2, 203:22 throughout [2] - 26:16, 42:4 thrust [1] - 25:25 tidal [5] - 168:17, 173:3, 189:16, 203:23, 203:25 tide [8] - 155:17, 155:21, 155:22, 173:4, 188:19, 192:12, 193:16, 207:10 tight [1] - 117:11 tip [1] - 172:21 tissue [5] - 47:11,	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3, 159:3 TOWER [1] - 2:5 toxic [4] - 42:17, 122:23, 178:9, 179:8 toxicity [1] - 122:25 TPWD [1] - 173:24 tract [7] - 20:22, 134:11, 147:14, 148:10, 148:11, 148:13, 155:16 traffic [2] - 106:15, 174:15 transcription [2] - 1:22, 215:7 transfer [5] - 110:15, 111:4, 135:3, 135:9, 140:9 transferred [5] - 108:22, 115:2, 134:7, 142:23,	trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18, 150:7, 151:20, 161:17, 180:16, 182:18, 188:23, 201:24 TSUCHIYAMA [1] - 2:8 tube [7] - 142:20, 142:22, 144:5, 144:6, 145:4, 145:5, 145:11 turn [6] - 89:9, 89:11, 105:17, 113:22, 120:8, 131:19 turned [2] - 91:10, 185:25 turns [2] - 117:24, 134:21 twice [2] - 35:2, 35:16 two [37] - 6:19, 13:24, 24:11, 25:10, 27:7, 44:24, 46:18, 67:12, 69:13, 70:16, 70:18,	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 16:21, 18:1, 37:10, 43:3, 55:13, 73:24, 79:12, 82:10, 91:15, 143:10, 155:23, 157:11, 159:3, 159:22, 160:23, 164:5, 165:8, 181:14, 184:19, 188:16, 191:17, 192:12, 213:10 underneath [3] - 189:10, 191:1, 203:10 underwater [4] - 58:22, 188:9, 202:7, 207:9 unique [1] - 6:15 Unit [1] - 103:23 unit [9] - 106:2, 107:9,	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24, 126:21, 131:8, 134:13, 134:15, 136:23, 137:24, 142:4, 142:21, 142:22, 143:7, 143:9, 148:12, 154:25, 157:2, 159:3, 163:4, 164:22, 171:7, 177:18, 183:11, 185:11, 185:22, 192:22, 193:12, 197:15, 198:20, 201:18, 201:25, 203:2, 205:10, 205:17, 205:21, 206:24, 211:24 upcoming [1] - 20:24 upper [8] - 112:16, 112:22, 113:10,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13, 139:6 threat [2] - 53:4, 211:5 three [12] - 7:14, 9:6, 9:7, 13:25, 129:23, 130:2, 135:25, 136:6, 183:21, 187:2, 203:22 throughout [2] - 26:16, 42:4 thrust [1] - 25:25 tidal [5] - 168:17, 173:3, 189:16, 203:23, 203:25 tide [8] - 155:17, 155:21, 155:22, 173:4, 188:19, 192:12, 193:16, 207:10 tight [1] - 117:11 tip [1] - 172:21 tissue [5] - 47:11, 50:4, 50:7, 50:23, 211:5	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3, 159:3 TOWER [1] - 2:5 toxic [4] - 42:17, 122:23, 178:9, 179:8 toxicity [1] - 122:25 TPWD [1] - 173:24 tract [7] - 20:22, 134:11, 147:14, 148:10, 148:11, 148:13, 155:16 traffic [2] - 106:15, 174:15 transcription [2] - 1:22, 215:7 transfer [5] - 110:15, 111:4, 135:3, 135:9, 140:9 transferred [5] - 108:22, 115:2, 134:7, 142:23, 169:25	trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18, 150:7, 151:20, 161:17, 180:16, 182:18, 188:23, 201:24 TSUCHIYAMA [1] - 2:8 tube [7] - 142:20, 142:22, 144:5, 144:6, 145:4, 145:5, 145:11 turn [6] - 89:9, 89:11, 105:17, 113:22, 120:8, 131:19 turned [2] - 91:10, 185:25 turns [2] - 117:24, 134:21 twice [2] - 35:2, 35:16 two [37] - 6:19, 13:24, 24:11, 25:10, 27:7, 44:24, 46:18, 67:12, 69:13, 70:16, 70:18, 71:22, 104:1, 113:5,	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 16:21, 18:1, 37:10, 43:3, 55:13, 73:24, 79:12, 82:10, 91:15, 143:10, 155:23, 157:11, 159:3, 159:22, 160:23, 164:5, 165:8, 181:14, 184:19, 188:16, 191:17, 192:12, 213:10 underneath [3] - 189:10, 191:1, 203:10 underwater [4] - 58:22, 188:9, 202:7, 207:9 unique [1] - 6:15 Unit [1] - 103:23 unit [9] - 106:2, 107:9, 107:10, 107:13,	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24, 126:21, 131:8, 134:13, 134:15, 136:23, 137:24, 142:4, 142:21, 142:22, 143:7, 143:9, 148:12, 154:25, 157:2, 159:3, 163:4, 164:22, 171:7, 177:18, 183:11, 185:11, 185:22, 192:22, 193:12, 197:15, 198:20, 201:18, 201:25, 203:2, 205:10, 205:17, 205:21, 206:24, 211:24 upcoming [1] - 20:24 upper [8] - 112:16, 112:22, 113:10, 113:23, 113:25,
198:17 thousand [1] - 201:15 thousands [2] - 19:13, 139:6 threat [2] - 53:4, 211:5 three [12] - 7:14, 9:6, 9:7, 13:25, 129:23, 130:2, 135:25, 136:6, 183:21, 187:2, 203:22 throughout [2] - 26:16, 42:4 thrust [1] - 25:25 tidal [5] - 168:17, 173:3, 189:16, 203:23, 203:25 tide [8] - 155:17, 155:21, 155:22, 173:4, 188:19, 192:12, 193:16, 207:10 tight [1] - 117:11 tip [1] - 172:21 tissue [5] - 47:11, 50:4, 50:7, 50:23,	Total [1] - 70:24 total [2] - 201:3, 201:11 toward [2] - 115:3, 159:3 TOWER [1] - 2:5 toxic [4] - 42:17, 122:23, 178:9, 179:8 toxicity [1] - 122:25 TPWD [1] - 173:24 tract [7] - 20:22, 134:11, 147:14, 148:10, 148:11, 148:13, 155:16 traffic [2] - 106:15, 174:15 transcription [2] - 1:22, 215:7 transfer [5] - 110:15, 111:4, 135:3, 135:9, 140:9 transferred [5] - 108:22, 115:2, 134:7, 142:23, 169:25 transferring [2] -	trying [11] - 29:14, 30:24, 52:1, 66:18, 150:7, 151:20, 161:17, 180:16, 182:18, 188:23, 201:24 TSUCHIYAMA [1] - 2:8 tube [7] - 142:20, 142:22, 144:5, 144:6, 145:4, 145:5, 145:11 turn [6] - 89:9, 89:11, 105:17, 113:22, 120:8, 131:19 turned [2] - 91:10, 185:25 turns [2] - 117:24, 134:21 twice [2] - 35:2, 35:16 two [37] - 6:19, 13:24, 24:11, 25:10, 27:7, 44:24, 46:18, 67:12, 69:13, 70:16, 70:18,	um-hmm [1] - 41:25 unbiased [1] - 26:4 unclear [1] - 16:10 under [28] - 5:10, 9:4, 9:6, 9:8, 9:10, 16:21, 18:1, 37:10, 43:3, 55:13, 73:24, 79:12, 82:10, 91:15, 143:10, 155:23, 157:11, 159:3, 159:22, 160:23, 164:5, 165:8, 181:14, 184:19, 188:16, 191:17, 192:12, 213:10 underneath [3] - 189:10, 191:1, 203:10 underwater [4] - 58:22, 188:9, 202:7, 207:9 unique [1] - 6:15 Unit [1] - 103:23 unit [9] - 106:2, 107:9, 107:10, 107:13, 108:9, 109:7,	112:17, 112:20, 113:4, 114:19, 114:20, 120:9, 123:10, 124:24, 126:21, 131:8, 134:13, 134:15, 136:23, 137:24, 142:4, 142:21, 142:22, 143:7, 143:9, 148:12, 154:25, 157:2, 159:3, 163:4, 164:22, 171:7, 177:18, 183:11, 185:11, 185:22, 192:22, 193:12, 197:15, 198:20, 201:18, 201:25, 203:2, 205:10, 205:17, 205:21, 206:24, 211:24 upcoming [1] - 20:24 upper [8] - 112:16, 112:22, 113:10, 113:23, 113:25, 114:14, 126:17,

US [1] - 69:10 useful [4] - 106:25, 142:25, 150:3, 167:18 uses [1] - 102:14

V

vacuum [1] - 91:13

vague [1] - 128:14 **vain** [2] - 52:3, 53:3 valid [3] - 26:19, 27:22, 29:21 valuable [1] - 145:16 values [2] - 190:5, 190:10 variety [1] - 22:13 various [1] - 110:16 VCM [1] - 20:22 vegetation [1] -168:22 vegetative [1] - 168:25 verdict [34] - 5:7, 5:10, 5:17, 5:21, 5:25, 6:3, 6:11, 6:13, 6:21, 6:22, 7:5, 7:6, 7:13, 7:19, 8:16, 8:17, 8:19, 8:25, 9:1, 9:12, 9:14, 9:18, 10:5, 10:8, 10:10, 10:14, 26:17, 72:1, 72:8, 72:13, 72:15, 72:17, 74:7, 74:8 version [4] - 178:18, 205:15, 205:17, 211:9 versus [2] - 53:11, 180:8 vertical [4] - 120:14, 121:6, 123:21, 124:10 viable [1] - 147:6 vice [1] - 161:4 vice-president [1] -161:4 vicinity [2] - 132:22, 194:8 view [12] - 96:13, 98:20, 99:5, 100:23, 132:12, 132:22, 144:21, 199:3, 199:17, 199:22, 200:1, 211:16 viewed [3] - 101:5, 103:11, 173:9 viewpoint [1] - 99:16 VINCE [1] - 2:11 Vince [3] - 26:13, 29:7, 30:12

violates [2] - 65:4,

65:7 violating [2] - 23:14, 182:11 violation [8] - 5:23, 9:3, 9:4, 9:6, 153:7, 153:11, 153:14, 182:13 violations [1] - 9:7 Virgil [7] - 12:17, 12:18, 13:3, 134:8, 134:10, 134:24, 187:13 virtually [3] - 81:11, 103:25, 120:19 virtue [3] - 194:14, 200:7, 214:1 vis [2] - 7:12 vis-a-vis [1] - 7:12 visible [2] - 94:2, 203:9 visit [3] - 119:8, 165:19, 166:1 visited [2] - 40:3, 94:19 visually [2] - 125:11, 202:4 **VOLUME** [2] - 1:1 volume [8] - 24:24, 71:3, 106:13, 120:2, 146:14, 154:14, 215:9 Volume [14] - 10:23, 11:11, 32:15, 32:18, 33:4, 33:8, 44:13, 46:19, 46:20, 48:2, 48:16, 48:18, 48:23, 49:18

W

wait [2] - 45:9, 63:23

walk [3] - 142:15, 169:7, 173:12 wall [6] - 120:14, 121:6, 121:9, 123:21, 124:10, 190:8 wants [4] - 28:23, 35:22, 155:11, 161:18 **War** [1] - 90:16 warranty [1] - 134:16 washed [2] - 176:25, 194:17 washing [1] - 177:1 WASHINGTON [1] -3:22 waste [110] - 6:8, 6:9, 7:12, 7:17, 7:19,

42:21, 69:9, 79:20,

79:25, 80:6, 80:9, 80:15, 81:10, 81:16, 84:12, 85:4, 85:11, 87:11, 88:17, 89:18, 89:21, 90:7, 90:11, 90:16, 90:17, 90:19, 90:21, 91:14, 91:22, 91:23, 92:11, 92:17, 93:4, 93:12, 97:9, 98:2, 99:22, 100:1, 100:13, 101:21, 101:22, 102:2, 102:6, 102:15, 102:16, 104:16, 104:18, 105:5, 106:2, 106:22, 107:7, 108:11, 110:7. 118:17. 118:18, 118:24, 119:2. 119:4. 119:14. 119:23. 120:20, 123:20, 128:10, 128:20, 129:4, 129:5, 130:13, 133:18, 137:6, 138:10, 138:15, 170:7, 174:1, 175:6, 177:3, 180:2, 180:22, 185:1, 185:6, 186:3, 186:7, 186:12, 186:17, 186:22, 186:25, 187:2, 187:3, 187:10, 189:9, 189:18, 194:7, 194:11, 195:2, 195:23, 199:4, 199:9, 199:10, 199:16, 199:18, 200:3, 200:6, 200:8, 200:13, 203:2, 209:7, 209:8, 209:9 WASTE [4] - 1:10, 1:11, 3:4, 3:4 Waste [20] - 6:12, 6:22, 7:4, 8:22, 9:11, 26:21, 26:23, 68:24, 69:3, 72:3, 72:10, 73:9, 136:10, 136:11, 136:13, 138:12, 138:17, 139:9, 139:22, 192:4 wastepaper [1] -197:18 wastes [3] - 90:9, 91:5, 138:17 wastewater [12] -

87:10, 107:25,

108:14, 109:18,

154:21

watered [5] - 107:17,

111:16, 120:1, 123:4, 180:8, 180:9, 180:25, 181:24, 198:12 water [113] - 36:6, 40:19, 70:24, 79:19, 92:2. 92:20. 93:2. 102:3, 104:16. 106:23, 107:10, 107:17, 108:6, 108:18, 108:19, 108:21, 108:25, 109:4, 109:6, 109:7, 109:10, 109:12, 109:14, 110:12, 110:16, 110:20, 110:24, 111:9, 111:11, 111:12, 111:15, 111:18, 111:21, 111:23, 112:4, 112:6, 112:9, 112:12, 112:14, 113:6, 113:16, 114:1, 114:11, 114:15, 114:16, 114:17, 114:20, 114:24, 115:1, 115:4, 115:6, 115:8, 115:16, 116:11, 116:13, 116:21, 117:7, 117:20, 118:7, 118:13, 118:15, 119:20, 119:21, 119:22, 122:1, 122:4, 122:9, 122:15, 122:20, 123:22, 125:7, 125:19, 125:24, 127:3, 127:9, 127:13, 127:18, 128:21, 128:25, 129:3, 138:11, 140:7, 140:8, 140:9, 140:15, 144:10, 145:3, 151:13, 152:3, 152:7, 152:23, 153:7, 155:20, 168:7, 169:12, 178:20, 181:12, 187:4, 187:9, 188:16, 194:3, 195:23, 199:14, 200:19, 202:8, 202:9, 202:14, 203:9, 206:10 Water [6] - 136:7, 136:19, 152:10, 152:11, 152:15,

108:12, 116:1, 118:3, 128:24 watering [14] - 108:10, 113:2, 113:3, 114:19, 114:22, 116:2, 126:3, 127:5, 128:6. 128:17. 145:15. 186:17. 187:8, 199:14 waters [5] - 151:12, 189:16, 191:1, 191:17, 203:11 waterway [1] - 54:3 ways [3] - 91:5, 140:21, 180:19 week [2] - 201:5, 201:6 week's [1] - 118:14 weeks [2] - 67:12, 126:16 west [6] - 104:2, 106:23, 118:23, 127:15, 163:4, 194:5 western [29] - 107:11, 107:15, 107:16, 107:25, 108:3, 108:8, 109:1, 109:9, 111:8, 111:25, 112:23, 113:10, 114:9, 115:24, 116:6, 124:24, 126:18, 126:22, 128:24, 138:9, 138:10, 138:14, 168:19, 168:20, 169:19, 184:2, 193:25, 202:25, 204:11 wetland [1] - 169:16 wetlands [1] - 163:1 whatsoever [2] - 16:1, 27:23 wherein [1] - 76:20 whereof [1] - 131:21 whole [7] - 15:3, 15:4, 30:16, 46:4, 54:15, 90:19, 92:16 wife [2] - 100:6, 134:22 Wildlife [12] - 68:6, 70:22, 171:9, 171:22, 173:14, 173:24, 174:6, 174:18, 176:12, 205:13, 205:19, 206:5 wildlife [2] - 57:14, 68:17 wind [1] - 197:15 WINN [1] - 3:17

WINSTON [1] - 4:5 winter [1] - 93:25 wish [1] - 84:17 wished [1] - 151:23 withdraw [6] - 54:10, 54:14, 54:15, 65:14, 65:19 withdrawn [4] - 33:11, 45:18, 60:25, 66:12 withdraws [1] - 65:21 witness [11] - 11:3, 23:16, 62:12, 64:8, 129:16, 135:17, 177:14, 182:6, 209:14, 211:3, 211:11 WITNESS [4] - 135:20, 207:20, 210:12, 215:17 witnesses [1] - 178:5 word [5] - 7:25, 53:24, 179:9, 179:15 worded [2] - 7:23, 52:7 words [15] - 7:14, 85:10, 113:20, 114:7, 118:11, 118:23, 121:3, 122:4, 125:11, 126:3, 139:3, 143:5, 166:23, 206:22, 208:19 works [1] - 143:4 World [1] - 90:16 worried [1] - 104:18 WOTRING [61] - 2:4, 2:7, 8:6, 16:2, 16:19, 17:10, 17:14, 19:1, 19:4, 19:11, 20:5, 21:18, 23:1, 23:4, 26:15, 42:3, 55:10, 55:18, 59:20, 60:2, 60:18, 63:7, 65:12, 66:6, 89:24, 90:3, 98:24, 99:8, 105:11, 128:13, 135:15, 177:17, 178:3, 178:7, 179:7, 180:23, 181:7, 181:20, 181:25, 182:5, 182:21, 183:4, 183:11, 185:11, 185:17, 185:22, 187:25, 193:12, 195:12, 196:6, 201:18, 201:24, 205:10, 205:16, 207:18, 209:19, 209:22, 210:6, 213:9,

213:16, 214:5 Wotring [16] - 18:13, 26:7, 29:10, 30:23, 177:16, 178:6, 179:23, 183:2, 188:5, 195:11, 195:13, 196:8, 205:18, 206:1. 211:7, 211:15 Wotring's [2] - 17:2, 180:2 wound [2] - 90:17, 94:7 writing [5] - 41:8, 160:15, 173:18, 173:20, 215:8 written [4] - 63:20, 119:9, 160:19, 208:19 wrote [2] - 83:3, 208:6

Х

XYZ [1] - 32:8

Υ

y'all [2] - 105:25, 210:22 yard [3] - 141:22, 159:15 yards [3] - 152:25, 155:18, 155:25 year [5] - 17:13, 78:15, 95:8, 105:22, 106:3 years [9] - 75:22, 79:9, 81:5, 85:16, 140:20, 141:20, 171:1, 201:14, 206:11 yellow [1] - 125:2 yesterday [5] - 71:24, 72:7, 101:6, 103:12, 196:17 you-all [7] - 19:9, 27:20, 28:15, 42:24, 60:17, 66:3, 210:21

Ζ

yourself [2] - 75:14,

90:13

Z-o-c-h [1] - 75:5 **Zoch** [34] - 59:25, 60:4, 60:16, 74:19, 75:1, 75:5, 75:6, 75:12, 79:11, 81:22, 84:6, 89:5, 99:16, 100:19, 102:20, 115:13, 119:6, 128:4, 129:19, 131:11, 137:14, 137:22, 178:8, 179:14, 179:24, 180:14, 181:11, 183:5, 188:6, 189:3, 206:14, 207:18, 208:5, 211:24 **ZOCH** [1] - 74:22 **zoch** [1] - 53:17 **zone** [2] - 149:22 **zoom** [4] - 138:4, 153:21, 160:13, 169:3 **zooming** [1] - 167:24